The Honorable Joe Barton, Chairman Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality Committee on Energy and Commerce United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

RE: Parents Concerned About Indian Point v. NRC, No. 02-4243 (2d Cir., decided

Nov. 27, 2002)

## Dear Chairman:

This petition for review challenged a Commission refusal to reopen emergency planning hearings, terminated nearly twenty years ago, concerning emergency planning at the Indian Point nuclear power reactors. The petitioner was a citizens group who had participated in the original emergency planning hearings. The citizens group filed its lawsuit <u>pro se</u>. We moved to dismiss the court case on multiple grounds. The court of appeals (Leval, Calabresi & Trager, JJ.) dismissed the case summarily, pointing to our argument that a citizens group could not proceed in court "without the representation of an attorney."

Petitioner has ninety days to seek Supreme Court review.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John F. Cordes, Jr. Solicitor

cc: Representative Rick Boucher

The Honorable Sonny Callahan, Chairman Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development Committee on Appropriations United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

RE: Parents Concerned About Indian Point v. NRC, No. 02-4243 (2d Cir., decided

Nov. 27, 2002)

## Dear Chairman:

This petition for review challenged a Commission refusal to reopen emergency planning hearings, terminated nearly twenty years ago, concerning emergency planning at the Indian Point nuclear power reactors. The petitioner was a citizens group who had participated in the original emergency planning hearings. The citizens group filed its lawsuit <u>pro se</u>. We moved to dismiss the court case on multiple grounds. The court of appeals (Leval, Calabresi & Trager, JJ.) dismissed the case summarily, pointing to our argument that a citizens group could not proceed in court "without the representation of an attorney."

Petitioner has ninety days to seek Supreme Court review.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John F. Cordes, Jr. Solicitor

cc: Representative Peter J. Visclosky

# January 3, 2003

The Honorable Harry Reid, Chairman Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

RE: Parents Concerned About Indian Point v. NRC, No. 02-4243 (2d Cir., decided

Nov. 27, 2002)

## Dear Chairman:

This petition for review challenged a Commission refusal to reopen emergency planning hearings, terminated nearly twenty years ago, concerning emergency planning at the Indian Point nuclear power reactors. The petitioner was a citizens group who had participated in the original emergency planning hearings. The citizens group filed its lawsuit <u>pro se</u>. We moved to dismiss the court case on multiple grounds. The court of appeals (Leval, Calabresi & Trager, JJ.) dismissed the case summarily, pointing to our argument that a citizens group could not proceed in court "without the representation of an attorney."

Petitioner has ninety days to seek Supreme Court review.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John F. Cordes, Jr. Solicitor

cc: Senator Pete Domenici

# January 3, 2003

The Honorable Harry Reid, Chairman Subcommittee on Transportation, Infrastructure and Nuclear Safety Committee on Environment and Public Works United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

RE: Parents Concerned About Indian Point v. NRC, No. 02-4243 (2d Cir., decided

Nov. 27, 2002)

## Dear Chairman:

This petition for review challenged a Commission refusal to reopen emergency planning hearings, terminated nearly twenty years ago, concerning emergency planning at the Indian Point nuclear power reactors. The petitioner was a citizens group who had participated in the original emergency planning hearings. The citizens group filed its lawsuit <u>pro se</u>. We moved to dismiss the court case on multiple grounds. The court of appeals (Leval, Calabresi & Trager, JJ.) dismissed the case summarily, pointing to our argument that a citizens group could not proceed in court "without the representation of an attorney."

Petitioner has ninety days to seek Supreme Court review.

Sincerely,

/RA/

John F. Cordes, Jr. Solicitor

cc: Representative James Inhofe