

January 3, 2003

Mr. David A. Christian
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Virginia Electric and Power Company
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

SUBJECT: NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - RESPONSE TO NRC BULLETIN 2002-02, "REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL HEAD AND VESSEL HEAD PENETRATION NOZZLE INSPECTION PROGRAMS" (TAC NOS. MB5903 AND MB5904)

Dear Mr. Christian:

On August 9, 2002, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Bulletin 2002-02, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head and Vessel Head Penetration Nozzle Inspection Programs." The Bulletin requested addressees provide information related to their reactor pressure vessel (RPV) head and vessel head penetration (VHP) nozzle inspection programs for their respective facilities, including a summary discussion of inspection program plans to supplement their required visual inspections with non-visual nondestructive examination (NDE) methods, or justification for reliance on visual examinations as the primary method to detect degradation. Addressees were requested to respond to Item (1) of the Bulletin within 30 days of its issuance, or within 15 days of its issuance, to provide an alternative course of action, including the basis for acceptability of the proposed action.

By letter dated September 12, 2002, Virginia Electric and Power Company provided a response to Item (1) of the Bulletin, indicating its plans to perform RPV head and VHP nozzle inspections at North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2. Your response also indicated that North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2, are in the category of plants considered to have high susceptibility to RPV head and VHP cracking, based on a susceptibility ranking of greater than 12 effective degradation years. In a supplemental letter dated October 18, 2002, you addressed the impact of the North Anna, Unit 2, inspection findings on your North Anna, Unit 1, inspection plans provided in response to Item (1) of the Bulletin.

The NRC staff has reviewed your response to Item (1) of the Bulletin as supplemented by letter dated October 18, 2002, in which you committed to perform bare metal visual examination of the RPV head; volumetric NDE of the VHP nozzles (with the exception of four thermocouple instrumentation nozzles); and surface NDE of the J-groove welds and associated butter layer, during the fall 2002 refueling outage for North Anna, Unit 2. In addition, you committed to perform this same combination of inspections during the next North Anna, Unit 1, refueling outage. The NRC staff finds this combination of NDE methods is sufficient to demonstrate compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and provides reasonable assurance of adequate protection of the public health and safety, if implemented properly with qualified and demonstrated equipment, personnel, and procedures.

In the response to Item (1) of the Bulletin, you specified that a "best effort" examination will be performed where potential limitations may restrict the area of coverage for the visual or volumetric NDE. You are requested to notify the NRC staff of any limitations that preclude examination of 100 percent of each VHP prior to completion of the inspection to ensure the adequacy of the examination. Additionally, you stated that the inspection plans for North Anna, Unit 1, may change based on the fall 2002 inspection results at North Anna, Unit 2, information obtained from other fall 2002 industry inspections, improvements gained in the industry's understanding of examination technology and crack growth rate, or NRC acceptance of the Electric Power Research Institute Materials Reliability Program (MRP) inspection program. You are requested to notify the NRC staff in writing of any changes to the upcoming North Anna, Unit 1, inspection plans 30 days prior to implementation.

The NRC staff also notes that your response to Item (1) did not address the frequency of non-visual examinations to be performed during future refueling outages. Also, your justification for reliance on visual examinations refers to the MRP inspection plan for pressurized-water reactor RPV upper head penetrations. The NRC staff has not completed its review of the MRP inspection plan and, as such, has not made a determination on the adequacy of the program for ensuring applicable regulatory requirements are met. You are requested to provide a summary description of your future inspection plans for each unit no later than 90 days prior to the fall 2004 and spring 2004 refueling outages for North Anna, Units 1 and 2, respectively. The NRC staff's assessment of your justification and the adequacy of your long-term inspection program plans will be determined upon completion of the staff's review of your proposed long-term inspection plan and the MRP proposed inspection plan.

Please note that as stated in Item (2) of the Bulletin, you are to report to the Commission your inspection scope and results within 30 days after plant restart following the inspection of the North Anna, Unit 1, RPV head and VHP nozzles.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at (301) 415-1544.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen R. Monarque, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate II
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339

cc: See next page

In the response to Item (1) of the Bulletin, you specified that a "best effort" examination will be performed where potential limitations may restrict the area of coverage for the visual or volumetric NDE. You are requested to notify the NRC staff of any limitations that preclude examination of 100 percent of each VHP prior to completion of the inspection to ensure the adequacy of the examination. Additionally, you stated that the inspection plans for North Anna, Unit 1, may change based on the fall 2002 inspection results at North Anna, Unit 2, information obtained from other fall 2002 industry inspections, improvements gained in the industry's understanding of examination technology and crack growth rate, or NRC acceptance of the Electric Power Research Institute Materials Reliability Program (MRP) inspection program. You are requested to notify the NRC staff in writing of any changes to the upcoming North Anna, Unit 1, inspection plans 30 days prior to implementation.

The NRC staff also notes that your response to Item (1) did not address the frequency of non-visual examinations to be performed during future refueling outages. Also, your justification for reliance on visual examinations refers to the MRP inspection plan for pressurized-water reactor RPV upper head penetrations. The NRC staff has not completed its review of the MRP inspection plan and, as such, has not made a determination on the adequacy of the program for ensuring applicable regulatory requirements are met. You are requested to provide a summary description of your future inspection plans for each unit no later than 90 days prior to the fall 2004 and spring 2004 refueling outages for North Anna, Units 1 and 2, respectively. The NRC staff's assessment of your justification and the adequacy of your long-term inspection program plans will be determined upon completion of the staff's review of your proposed long-term inspection plan and the MRP proposed inspection plan.

Please note that as stated in Item (2) of the Bulletin, you are to report to the Commission your inspection scope and results within 30 days after plant restart following the inspection of the North Anna, Unit 1, RPV head and VHP nozzles.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at (301) 415-1544.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen R. Monarque, Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate II
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	AMendiola	MMarshall	WReckley	RidsOGCRP
PDII-1 R/F	TChan	AHiser	RidsRgn2MailCenter	
SBloom	LMarsh	E. Dunnington (paper copy)		
TTate	BPham	RidsNrrDlpmLpdii	G. Hill (2)	
RidsACRSACnwMailCenter				

G:\PDII-1\NOANNA\Bul2002-02letter.wpd

*See previous concurrence

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER:

OFFICE	LA:PDII/S2	PM:PDII/S1	DLPM/LPM	EMCB/SC	SC/PDII/S1
NAME	BClayton for EDunnington	SMonarque	TTate	TChan*	JNakoski
DATE	01/03/03	01/02/03	01/03/03	01/02/03	01/03/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. David A. Christian
Virginia Electric and Power Company

North Anna Power Station
Units 1 and 2

cc:

Mr. C. Lee Lintecum
County Administrator
Louisa County
P. O. Box 160
Louisa, Virginia 23093

Mr. David A. Heacock
Site Vice President
North Anna Power Station
P. O. Box 402
Mineral, Virginia 23117-0402

Ms. Lillian M. Cuocco, Esq.
Senior Nuclear Counsel
Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.
Millstone Power Station
Building 475, 5th floor
Rope Ferry Road
Rt. 156
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Mr. Richard H. Blount, II
Site Vice President
Surry Power Station
Virginia Electric and Power Company
5570 Hog Island Road
Surry, Virginia 23883-0315

Dr. W. T. Lough
Virginia State Corporation
Commission
Division of Energy Regulation
P. O. Box 1197
Richmond, Virginia 23209

Mr. Robert B. Strobe, M.D., M.P.H.
State Health Commissioner
Office of the Commissioner
Virginia Department of Health
P. O. Box 2448
Richmond, Virginia 23218

Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
4201 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Mr. William R. Matthews
Vice President-Nuclear Operations
Virginia Electric and Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060-6711

Mr. Stephen P. Sarver, Director
Nuclear Licensing & Operations Support
Virginia Electric Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060-6711

Office of the Attorney General
Commonwealth of Virginia
900 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Senior Resident Inspector
North Anna Power Station
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1024 Haley Drive
Mineral, Virginia 23117