

Form TI-1 Case Resolution

Case Status	Open	Closed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
RCODE: 58044		
Region: II		
OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: Peres Processing, Inc. Glen Alum Route 8, P.O. Box 86 Wharncliffe, WV 25651		
NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: N/A - Company and Plant no longer exist. For info, contact the vendor: Kanawha Scales Jim Jarvis 1 (800) 955-8321		
Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check)	Yes	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Moved to an Agreement State?	Yes	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) The Peres Preparation Plant was owned by Appalachian Excavators out of PA. This company went out of business in the early 90's and the plant was torn down. The inspectors visited mines that were active in the area to learn information about the Peres plant. The inspectors spoke to Gene Lily, a former Peres employee, who told inspectors that Peters Equipment in Bluefield, VA may have purchased the plant equipment when it was torn down. Mr. Lily also stated that Summit Engineering in Logan, WV did the landwork. Mr. Lily stated that Min, Inc. used to be a sister company of Peres, and told inspectors to contact the Min staff. The inspector spoke to Min engineers, who said that the vendor performed leak tests on the units at the Peres plant, and would know more information. Inspectors called Kanawha Scales (previously Scan Technologies) and spoke to Jim Jarvis (800) 955-8321. Jim Jarvis found records showing that Peres possessed one Coal Scan unit that contained a CS-137 source and an Am-241 source. According to the records, the device had been removed from service on 6/3/1994, and was transferred to Rocks Coal Company in Stoystown, PA. Mr. Jarvis stated that Scan Technologies had no records showing the sale of a second device to Peres during the second quarter of 1994 (as is reflected in GLTS). Through discussion with mine staff and the vendor, the inspectors feel that this second device is an error in NRC records, and GLTS should have shown a return to the vendor in 1994 2 , not the shipment of a new device from the vendor.		
Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: Jim Jarvis with Kanawha Scales (previously Scan Technologies) was contacted. He provided information about the location of devices from old records.		
Vendor Follow-up Action: None		
Final Action Taken: Forwarded all data gathered to HQ to update GLTS.		
Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned: Error in NRC records, failure of GL to issue transfer reports.		

RCODE: 58044

Licensee Name: Peres Processing, Inc.

Form TI-2: Device Information

RCODE: 58044								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity (mCi)	Sold Date	Vendor	Status (A or N)	Comments
	2500	80130	Cs-137	20	19914	Scan Technologies, Inc.	A	Returned to vendor in 19942
	2500	80130	Am-241	300	19914	Scan Technologies, Inc.	A	Returned to vendor in 19942
	2500		Cs-137	20	19942	Scan Technologies, Inc.	N	Error?
	2500		Am-241	300	19942	Scan Technologies, Inc.	N	Error?
For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection:								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	Status	Comments

Status Codes:

A - Source accounted for

N - Source not accounted for

RCODE: 58044

Licensee Name: **Peres Processing, Inc.**

Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

RCODE: 58044	
General Licensee Information:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Company and Plant no longer exist. For info, contact: Kanawha Scales Jim Jarvis 1 (800) 955-8321
Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for AN alternate purpose.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)
Gauge Information:	
ID	For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:
<input type="checkbox"/>	The two sources that were not accounted for should be shown as returned to vendor in 19942 transferred from vendor - GLTS error?
<input type="checkbox"/>	

Inspector: **N. Jeff Griffis / Andy Miller**
Materials Licensing/Inspection, Branch 1 / 2

Approved By: **Tom Decker, Chief** *J. Hanson* 12/23/02
MLIB1 *for*

Completion Date: **11/04/2002**

RCODE: **58044**

Licensee Name: **Peres Processing, Inc.**