

7.0 Environmental Impacts of Decommissioning

Environmental issues associated with decommissioning, which result from continued plant operation during the renewal term, were discussed in the *Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (GEIS)*, NUREG-1437 (NRC 1996, 1999)^(a). The GEIS included a determination of whether the analysis of the environmental issues could be applied to all plants and whether additional mitigation measures would be warranted. Issues were assigned a Category 1 or a Category 2 designation. As set forth in the GEIS, Category 1 issues are those that meet all of the following criteria:

- (1) The environmental impacts associated with the issue have been determined to apply either to all plants or, for some issues, to plants having a specific type of cooling system or other specified plant or site characteristic.
- (2) A single significance level (i.e., SMALL, MODERATE, or LARGE) has been assigned to the impacts (except for collective offsite radiological impacts from the fuel cycle and from high-level waste and spent fuel disposal).
- (3) Mitigation of adverse impacts associated with the issue has been considered in the analysis, and it has been determined that additional plant-specific mitigation measures are not likely to be sufficiently beneficial to warrant implementation.

For issues that meet the three Category 1 criteria, no additional plant-specific analysis is required unless new and significant information is identified.

Category 2 issues are those that do not meet one or more of the criteria of Category 1, and therefore, additional plant-specific review for these issues is required. There are no Category 2 issues related to decommissioning Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Catawba).

Category 1 issues in Table B-1 of 10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B, that are applicable to Catawba decommissioning following the renewal term are listed in Table 7-1. Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) stated in its Environmental Report (ER; Duke 2001) that it is aware of no new and significant information regarding the environmental impacts of Catawba license renewal. The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no impacts related to these issues beyond those discussed in the GEIS. For all of these issues,

(a) The GEIS was originally issued in 1996. Addendum 1 to the GEIS was issued in 1999. Hereafter, all references to the "GEIS" include the GEIS and its Addendum 1.

Environmental Impacts of Decommissioning

Table 7-1. Category 1 Issues Applicable to Decommissioning of Catawba Following the Renewal Term

ISSUE—10 CFR Part 51, Subpart A, Appendix B, Table B-1	GEIS Section
DECOMMISSIONING	
Radiation doses	7.3.1; 7.4
Waste management	7.3.2; 7.4
Air quality	7.3.3; 7.4
Water quality	7.3.4; 7.4
Ecological resources	7.3.5; 7.4
Socioeconomic impacts	7.3.7; 7.4

the staff concluded in the GEIS that the impacts are SMALL, and additional plant-specific mitigation measures are not likely to be sufficiently beneficial to be warranted.

A brief description of the staff's review and the GEIS conclusions, as codified in Table B-1, for each of the issues follows:

- Radiation doses. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that

Doses to the public will be well below applicable regulatory standards regardless of which decommissioning method is used. Occupational doses would increase no more than 1 man-rem [0.01 person-Sv] caused by buildup of long-lived radionuclides during the license renewal term.

The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no radiation doses associated with decommissioning following license renewal beyond those discussed in the GEIS.

- Waste management. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that

Decommissioning at the end of a 20-year license renewal period would generate no more solid wastes than at the end of the current license term. No increase in the quantities of Class C or greater than Class C wastes would be expected.

1 The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent
2 review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its
3 evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no
4 impacts of solid waste associated with decommissioning following the license renewal term
5 beyond those discussed in the GEIS.

- 6
7 • Air quality. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that

8
9 Air quality impacts of decommissioning are expected to be negligible either at
10 the end of the current operating term or at the end of the license renewal term.

11
12 The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent
13 review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its
14 evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no
15 impacts of license renewal on air quality during decommissioning beyond those discussed
16 in the GEIS.

- 17
18 • Water quality. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that

19
20 The potential for significant water quality impacts from erosion or spills is no
21 greater whether decommissioning occurs after a 20-year license renewal period
22 or after the original 40-year operation period, and measures are readily available
23 to avoid such impacts.

24
25 The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent
26 review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its
27 evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no
28 impacts of the license renewal term on water quality during decommissioning beyond those
29 discussed in the GEIS.

- 30
31 • Ecological resources. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that

32
33 Decommissioning after either the initial operating period or after a 20-year
34 license renewal period is not expected to have any direct ecological impacts.

35
36 The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent
37 review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its
38 evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no
39 impacts of the license renewal term on ecological resources during decommissioning
40 beyond those discussed in the GEIS.

- 1
2 • Socioeconomic impacts. Based on information in the GEIS, the Commission found that
3
4 Decommissioning would have some short-term socioeconomic impacts. The
5 impacts would not be increased by delaying decommissioning until the end of a
6 20-year relicense period, but they might be decreased by population and
7 economic growth.
8

9 The staff has not identified any new and significant information during its independent
10 review of the Catawba ER (Duke 2001), the staff's site visit, the scoping process, or its
11 evaluation of other available information. Therefore, the staff concludes that there are no
12 impacts of license renewal on the socioeconomic impacts of decommissioning beyond
13 those discussed in the GEIS.
14

15 **7.1 References**

16
17 | 10 CFR Part 51. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, *Energy*, Part 51, "Environmental
18 Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions."

19
20 Duke Energy Corporation (Duke). 2001. *Applicant's Environmental Report – Operating
21 License Renewal Stage Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2*. Charlotte, North Carolina.
22

23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1996. *Generic Environmental Impact Statement
24 for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants*. NUREG-1437, Volumes 1 and 2, Washington, D.C.
25

26 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). 1999. *Generic Environmental Impact Statement
27 for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Main Report*. "Section 6.3–Transportation, Table 9.1,
28 Summary of findings on NEPA issues for license renewal of nuclear power plants, Final
29 Report." NUREG-1437, Volume 1, Addendum 1, Washington, D.C.