

B-1855

**From:** Brian McCabe  
**To:** Alan Levin; Ho Nieh; James Beall; Jennifer Uhle; Kerri Kavanagh; Michael Tschiltz; Rick Croteau; Thomas Hiltz  
**Date:** 3/18/02 10:19AM  
**Subject:** Re: Fwd: DRAFT Bulletin

Good morning Ho.

Just one question on the draft bulletin. On pages 7 & 8, the staff outlines the 15/30/60 day reporting requirements. They appear reasonable. Then, on page 9, the staff gives a 7 day reporting requirement. My 2-part question is:

1. Is the 7 day reporting requirement needed for those plants that intend to meet the other reporting requirements? and
2. By giving the 7 day reporting requirement (Part (2)), are we opening ourselves up to numerous extension requests?

Ho, this may be standard boilerplate language that we put in Bulletins, and if so, just email me indicating that fact. If not, and given the seriousness of the Davis Besse findings, the staff may want to consider not giving licensees such explicit flexibility.

Brian

>>> Ho Nieh 03/15/02 04:58PM >>>

After further discussion, the staff is moving forward to issue the final bulletin by COB Monday, 3/18, so that stakeholders will have seen the bulletin prior to the meetings next week and that PWR licensees currently in outages or coasting down to outages can be made aware of the issue and the contents of the bulletin. You should still expect to see an info paper early on Monday. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Ho

**CC:** Brian Sheron; Jon Johnson; Joseph Shea; Kenneth Karwoski; Richard Borchardt; Samuel Collins; Steven Bloom

OCIO-045