

From: <julia.schmitt@hhss.state.ne.us>
To: <phl@nrc.gov>, <adw@nrc.gov>, <ljr2@nrc.gov>
Date: 12/3/02 3:17PM
Subject: NE's comments of draft IMPEP report

Hi everyone. Here (finally) are our comments on the draft IMPEP report. Feel free to call me at 402/471-0528 if you have any questions. The signed original will go out in tomorrow's mail.

(See attached file: NE's resp to 2002 draft Impep rtp.doc)

December 3, 2002

Paul H. Lohaus, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Mr. Lohaus:

We have reviewed the Draft IMPEP report and offer the following comments:

Page 4, Paragraph 1

The third sentence should be changed to read "The licensee did not have material or personnel in the State and did not perform work during the winter months."

Page 4, Paragraph 2

Add the following after the third sentence "New licensees are required by license condition to inform the Agency in writing when activities authorized by the license are initiated."

Page 7, Paragraph 3

Change the second sentence to read "Once the reviewer completes the action, a second review is performed by one of the other license reviewers."

Page 8, Paragraph 5

We fully support the review team's recommendation that the NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards review the contractor's procedure for inputting NMED data and review the database for accuracy and completeness.

Page 10, Paragraph 4

The Program has now submitted the five adopted final regulations for NRC review.

Page C.2, File No.: 10

The inspector's initials are HS and JF.

Page D.2, File No.: 11, Comment A

The original letter of intent is now maintained with other financial assurance documents.

Page D.2, File No.: 11, Comment C

On 02/28/97 we received the application for renewal in entirety. A deficiency letter was sent on 06/30/97 and the reply was received 07/21/97. In October of 1997, we were requested by the licensee to hold action on the renewal as the facility was undergoing a merger with a neighboring medical center. On May 28, 1997 we received a new renewal request reflecting the merged status. A deficiency letter was received on 09/11/98 and additional information was requested on 1/28/99. The renewal was issued on 02/28/99, a period of less than

12 months from receipt of the merger renewal application. We respectfully request that this comment be removed.

We appreciate the professional and constructive efforts of the entire review team. Linda McLean should be commended for her efforts as our Agreement State Officer as well. We have found her cooperative and helpful attitude to be a true asset as the program has evolved.

If you have any questions about our comments, please contact Julia Schmitt at 402/471-0528.

Sincerely,

Robert Leopold, Director
Public Health Assurance Division

From: <julia.schmitt@hhss.state.ne.us>
To: <phl@nrc.gov>, <adw@nrc.gov>, <ljr2@nrc.gov>
Date: 12/3/02 3:17PM
Subject: NE's comments of draft IMPEP report

Hi everyone. Here (finally) are our comments on the draft IMPEP report. Feel free to call me at 402/471-0528 if you have any questions. The signed original will go out in tomorrow's mail.

(See attached file: NE's resp to 2002 draft Impep rtp.doc)

December 3, 2002

Paul H. Lohaus, Director
Office of State and Tribal Programs
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dear Mr. Lohaus:

We have reviewed the Draft IMPEP report and offer the following comments:

Page 4, Paragraph 1

The third sentence should be changed to read "The licensee did not have material or personnel in the State and did not perform work during the winter months."

Page 4, Paragraph 2

Add the following after the third sentence "New licensees are required by license condition to inform the Agency in writing when activities authorized by the license are initiated."

Page 7, Paragraph 3

Change the second sentence to read "Once the reviewer completes the action, a second review is performed by one of the other license reviewers."

Page 8, Paragraph 5

We fully support the review team's recommendation that the NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards review the contractor's procedure for inputting NMED data and review the database for accuracy and completeness.

Page 10, Paragraph 4

The Program has now submitted the five adopted final regulations for NRC review.

Page C.2, File No.: 10

The inspector's initials are HS and JF.

Page D.2, File No.: 11, Comment A

The original letter of intent is now maintained with other financial assurance documents.

Page D.2, File No.: 11, Comment C

On 02/28/97 we received the application for renewal in entirety. A deficiency letter was sent on 06/30/97 and the reply was received 07/21/97. In October of 1997, we were requested by the licensee to hold action on the renewal as the facility was undergoing a merger with a neighboring medical center. On May 28, 1997 we received a new renewal request reflecting the merged status. A deficiency letter was received on 09/11/98 and additional information was requested on 1/28/99. The renewal was issued on 02/28/99, a period of less than

12 months from receipt of the merger renewal application. We respectfully request that this comment be removed.

We appreciate the professional and constructive efforts of the entire review team. Linda McLean should be commended for her efforts as our Agreement State Officer as well. We have found her cooperative and helpful attitude to be a true asset as the program has evolved.

If you have any questions about our comments, please contact Julia Schmitt at 402/471-0528.

Sincerely,

Robert Leopold, Director
Public Health Assurance Division