RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
PUBLIC ... CIRCUIT

02 NOV -7 PM 4:30

FILED
DOCKETED
DATE INITIAL

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

California Public Utilities Commission and County of San Luis Obispo, Petitioners	)	
v.	)	No. 02-72735
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Respondent	)	NRC No. NRC-50-275-LT

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND ENLARGEMENT OF BRIEF

Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 28-4, Extensions of Time and Enlargements of Size for Consolidated and Joint Briefing, the California Public Utilities Commission and the County of San Luis Obispo hereby request to join in a single brief, with the expanded page limit as provided for under this Rule. In addition, pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 28-4, the California Public Utilities Commission and the County of San Luis Obispo hereby request that the Court grant the Petitioners a 21-day extension in time for submitting its initial brief. A copy of Ninth Circuit Form 7 is attached in support of this request.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 7th day of November, 2002

Laurence G. Chaset, Esq.

Template 06C002

ERIDS 06C01

• This Document Should Be Filed in The District Court With The Notice of Appeal•
• If Filed Late, it Should Be Filed Directly With the U.S. Court of Appeals•

Form 7. Notice Under Ninth Circuit Rule 28-4

We certify that all

- (Appellants)
- Appellees
- Appellants/cross-appellees
- Appellees/cross-appellants

1. Will file a joint

- (Opening brief)
- Answering brief
- Reply brief
- Opening brief on cross-appeal
- Answering/opening brief on cross-appeal
- Reply/answering brief on cross-appeal
- Cross-appeal reply brief

2. Are responding to a joint or multiple brief.

We further certify that no previous extensions of time to file this brief or enlargements of brief length have been obtained.

Pursuant to Rule 28-4, the brief's due date will be extended for 21 days and the size enlarged by 5 monotype pages or 1,400 words.

Subsequent briefing will proceed as follows:

- The answering brief will be due 30 days from service of the joint opening brief.
- The reply brief will be due 14 days from service of the joint answering brief.
- The answering/opening brief will be due 40 days from service of the joint opening brief on cross-appeal.
- The reply/answering brief will be due 30 days from service of the joint answering/opening brief on cross-appeal.
- The cross-appeal reply brief will be due 14 days from service of the joint reply/answering brief.

Lawrence G. Chaset
Counsel for California Public Utilities Commission
Robert Dunlop
Counsel for County of Sonoma
Counsel for _____

Additional sheets may be attached for the signatures of additional counsel.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

California Public Utilities Commission and County of San Luis Obispo, Petitioners	)	
v.	)	No. 02-72735
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Respondent	)	NRC No. NRC-50-275-LT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND ENLARGEMENT OF BRIEF have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, or through NRC internal distribution with copies by electronic mail as indicated.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001	John Cordes, Esq. Jared Heck, Esq. Leo Slagie, Esq. Office of the Solicitor, General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001
Robert C. McDiarmid, Esq. Ben Finkelstein, Esq. Lisa G. Dowden, Esq. Meg Meiser, Esq. Tracy E. Connor, Esq. Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-4798	George A. Fraser, General Manager Northern California Power Agency 180 Cirby Way Roseville, CA 95678
David A. Repka, Esq. Brooke D. Poole, Esq. Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005	Richard F. Locke, Esq. William V. Manheim, Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale Street B30A San Francisco, CA 94105

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 7th day of November, 2002



Laurence G. Chaset, Esq.