

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Nov 08, 2002 17:21

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-02-0733

LOGGING DATE: 11/08/2002

ACTION OFFICE: EDO

To: Collins, ADM

AUTHOR: Robert Leyse

CYS: EDO
DEDMRS
DEDR
DEDM
AO
RES
ADM

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE: Sepp1ha@Westinghouse.com

SUBJECT: Concerns LTR-NRC-02-53 and PRM-50-76

ACTION: Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION:

LETTER DATE: 11/07/2002

ACKNOWLEDGED No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

From: <Bobleuse@aol.com>
To: <sepp1ha@westinghouse.com>
Date: Thu, Nov 7, 2002 9:42 PM
Subject: Fwd: LTR-NRC-02-53 and PRM-50-76

PLEASE REPLY

In the last paragraph of page 2 of the attachment to LTR-NRC-02-53, Westinghouse declares, "More recently, Westinghouse conducted tests with pure oxygen instead of steam."

I expect that this report is available to the public since it is referenced in the W response to PRM-50-76 that appears on the NRC's Rulemaking web site.

Robert H. Leyse bobleuse@aol.com

> Robert H. Leyse

CC: <chairman@nrc.gov>, <jcb@nei.org>, <Peter.skinner@oag.state.ny.us>

From: <Bobleuse@aol.com>
To: <sepp1ha@westinghouse.com>
Date: Thu, Oct 31, 2002 12:19 PM
Subject: LTR-NRC-02-53 and PRM-50-76

In the last paragraph of page 2 of the attachment, Westinghouse declares, "More recently, Westinghouse conducted tests with pure oxygen instead of steam."

Is this report available to the public? If so, please advise me on how I may procure a copy.

I note that in LTR-NRC-02-03, Westinghouse does not address the following matter:

The petitioner cites NUREG-17 and the following warning on its introductory page: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Energy Research and Development Administration/United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

The petitioner believes that inasmuch as the investigators do not warrant their work, and specifically assume no responsibility for the accuracy of their work, that the NUREG-17 is inapplicable to the regulation of nuclear power reactors in the U.S.A.

Robert H. Leyse bobleuse@aol.com

CC: <chairman@nrc.gov>, <jcb@nei.org>, <Peter.skinner@oag.state.ny.us>