10/2/02 67 FR 61932

From:

Kirk Lawrence <klawrence101@yahoo.com>

To:

<mtl@nrc.gov>

Date:

10/28/02 10:42AM

I would like the following comments on the LES white papers.

- (1) It is unacceptable that the NRC is even considering this effort by an intending license applicant to manipulate the licensing procedure in its favor.
- (2) The content of the white papers reveals that LES is seeking prejudgment on issue areas that have caused it trouble in the past, or on issues which are potentially problematic. The submission of these memoranda is an overt attempt on the part of LES to evade thorough public and government investigation in areas where the company knows itself to be vulnerable.
- (3) The specific issues raised by LES in the white papers are themselves problematic. To restrict or exempt full consideration of such weighty matters as the comparative environmental impact of a "no action" alternative, environmental justice, the consortium's financial qualifications, anti-trust concerns, foreign control and ownership issues, and the disposition of tailings, would reduce NRC's licensing procedure to a flimsy rubber-stamp and further erode public confidence in the agency as an effective regulator.
- (4) A dangerous precedent would be set if the NRC allows LES to manipulate the licensing procedure in this way. It is highly improper for the NRC to allow a potential license applicant to define the parameters of licensing considerations, in effect, calling for prejudgment in their favor. This violates NRC's own licensing regulations, undermines even the pretense of objectivity in the agency's licensing activities, and calls into question the ability of the NRC Commissioners to be a dispassionate appeals body for Atomic Safety and Licensing Board actions.
- (5) Also, the comment period on these white papers must be extended to at least 90 days. The allotted period is insufficient, if meaningful public participation is the goal, especially given the breadth of issues dealt with in the white papers, difficulties in accessing these documents, and the level of controversy surrounding the LES proposal.

Thank you,

Kirk Lawrence

Do you Yahoo!?
Y! Web Hosting - Let the expert host your web site

CC:

<dam2@nrc.gov>

E10-MOK-stolgmeT

EO-MOLIOSON 102011 - GBD CTCJ **Mail Envelope Properties** (3DBD5AE1.4BB: 8: 46267)

Subject:

Creation Date:

10/28/02 10:43AM

From:

Kirk Lawrence < klawrence 101@yahoo.com>

Created By:

klawrence101@yahoo.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

twf4_po.TWFN_DO MTL (Michael Lesar)

DAM2 CC (Doris Mendiola)

Post Office

twf4_po.TWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Date & Time

10/28/02 10:43AM

Size **Files MESSAGE** 2177 2370 Part.001 5408

Mime.822

Options

Expiration Date: Priority:

None Standard

Reply Requested: Return Notification: No None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard