

From: Brian McCabe
To: John Craig; Stacey Rosenberg
Date: 11/5/01 9:53AM
Subject: October 31st Note Regarding CRDM Cracking

John

Good morning. I have a few questions for the staff regarding your 10/31 note to the TAs.

1. For Davis Besse, the staff indicates that it plans to issue its technical assessment by 11/5. When that happens, could you forward that up to the TAs?
2. (Davis Besse) How will the staff's technical assessment due on 11/5 differ from their assessment that formed the basis for the 18 month deadline?
3. Will the staff provide the licensee with time to review the staff's technical assessment for potential errors or misunderstandings?
4. For Davis Besse, when does the staff anticipate it will receive the licensee's responses to the RAIs?
5. The Surry 2 writeup seems to imply that discussions are over and the staff intends to pursue an Order. Is that correct?
6. I'm not sure of the bottom line for D.C. Cook? What is the staff's intention for D.C. Cook?
7. Are DC Cook, Surry 2, and Davis Besse the only plants in play right now for Orders? Over what period of time would discussions with plants in the red category of the moderate susceptibility table play out? What regulatory tool does staff intend to use if these licensees do not correct their "unacceptable response"?
8. When does the staff intend to forward Orders/formal plans to the Commission for review?

Thanks I know the staff is very busy, so I don't need a formal response to my Qs. An email response would be great. I have cc'd the other TAs so that they get the same information I am provided.

Thanks again.

Brian

CC: James Beall, Joseph Shea; Michael Tschiltz; Rick Croteau; Thomas Hiltz