

Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Meeting on Electronic Maintenance
and Submission of Information

Docket Number: (not applicable)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Thursday, October 3, 2002

Work Order No.: NRC-566

Pages 1-64

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
+ + + + +
PUBLIC MEETING ON ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AND
SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION

+ + + + +

THURSDAY,

OCTOBER 3, 2002

+ + + + +

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+ + + + +

The public meeting was held at 8:30 a.m.
in the auditorium of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Headquarters, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville
Pike, John A. Skoczlas, Jr., EIE Project Manager,
presiding.

PRESENT:

JOHN A. SKOCZLAS, JR. EIE Project Manager,

Office of the CIO

STEVE CROCKETT Special Counsel, OGC

CHRIS DOUTHITT IMC

ARNOLD E. LEVIN, Director, Information Technology

Infrastructure Division, Office of the CIO

BRENDA SHELTON Chief, Records Management

Branch, Office of the CIO

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I-N-D-E-X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1. Introduction
 John Skoczlas, Jr., EIE Project Manager,
 Office of the CIO 3

2. Overview of the Rule
 Steve Crockett, Special Counsel,
 Office of the General Counsel 6

3. Overview of the Guidance Document
 Brenda Shelton, Chief,
 Records Management Branch,
 Office of the CIO 16

4. Parameters for Electronic Submissions
 Arnold E. Levin, Technology Infrastructure
 Division, Office of the CIO 27

5. Electronic Information Exchange
 John Skoczlas, EIE Project Manager,
 Office of the CIO 44

6. CD-ROM Submissions
 Brenda Shelton, Chief,
 Records Management Branch,
 Office of the CIO 49

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:37 a.m.

MR. SKOCZLAS: Good morning. I'd like to get started. A couple of things I'd like to just say right at the beginning. There will probably be people filtering in over the next few minutes.

The one document that is the presentation will be posted on the website, on the EIE page. And the way that you get to that is you just go to nrc.gov, and click on e-submittals, and this will be there.

Also, we'll have a feedback form for you. It's being prepared now and we'll hand it out in a little while. We're doing this presentation on the screen in Word Perfect to match the handouts. So bear with us, it's not something we've done recently.

But what we wanted to do was to make sure that everybody has a clear copy of what we're being, what's being said and be able to see it on the screen exactly as you have it in your handout.

So what I'd like to do is to, on the behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, I'd like to welcome you to the public meeting on the final proposed rule on the electronic maintenance

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and submission of information and the guidelines for
2 electronic submissions to the NRC.

3 The purpose of this public meeting is to
4 provide information and solicit comments on the
5 final and proposed rule as published in the Federal
6 Register on Friday, September 6th, 2002. My name is
7 John Skoczlas.

8 I'm the Electronic Information Exchange
9 or, as we sometimes call it, EIE Project Manager. I
10 am also one of the contacts listed on the Federal,
11 in the Federal Register Notice as it was published.
12 I'll act as the Moderator of this meeting, as well
13 as presenting a brief description of the EIE
14 project.

15 This meeting will provide the
16 background, intention and rationale for certain
17 information and requirements contained in the rule
18 and guidance documents. It is not the intention to
19 provide detailed technical information or to debate
20 the merits or shortcomings of various requirements
21 contained in the rule or guidance.

22 This meeting is being transcribed and
23 all comments will be captured and addressed if
24 practicable. During certain periods after
25 presentations I will ask the audience if there are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 comments relative to that section.

2 Please come to the microphones, provide
3 your name, affiliation and contact number or e-mail
4 address before providing your comment. This
5 information will be used to contact you if further
6 information or clarification is needed on your
7 comment.

8 As stated, we will not debate the merits
9 of information provided or the comments themselves,
10 but we will try to provide clarifying information as
11 we can. Moving on, I would like to introduce the
12 Presenters.

13 The first will be Steve Crockett,
14 Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel and
15 the lead attorney for the rule itself. Steve will
16 discuss the rule but will not address the technical
17 guidance that was published with the rule.

18 Mo Levin is the Director of Information
19 Technology Infrastructure in the Office of the Chief
20 Information Officer, and was responsible for
21 developing the technical infrastructure for the
22 Electronic Information Exchange.

23 Brenda Shelton is the Chief of Records
24 Management Branch, Division of Information Records
25 and Document Management in the Office of the Chief

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Information Officer. Brenda is the other contact
2 for the rule as it was printed in the Federal
3 Register Notice.

4 Beside other duties, Brenda is
5 responsible for the Agency's document processing
6 activities and the Agency's record management
7 activities, including developing the Agency policies
8 and procedures, record scheduling and disposition,
9 electronic filing and archival activities.

10 Steve will begin the meeting with an
11 overview of the rule itself. I will solicit
12 comments on the rule after Steve's presentation.
13 Steve, would you like to begin please.

14 MR. CROCKETT: Thank you, John.
15 Welcome. I hope this is helpful both to you and to
16 us. I want to say something briefly this morning
17 about why we're doing what we're doing. Exactly
18 what we're doing and how we went about it.

19 Let me discuss first the principle legal
20 motivation behind our rulemaking. The Government
21 Paperwork Elimination Act of a few years ago
22 requires that all federal agencies provide members
23 of the public with the option of electronic
24 maintenance and submission of information where
25 practicable.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And the word practicable is from the
2 statute. All this is to be done by about this time
3 next year, late October, 2003. The aim, of course,
4 is to reduce the paperwork burdens of members of the
5 public in dealing with the Agency and to reduce our
6 paperwork burden too.

7 And to gain some of the other advantages
8 of new information technologies. Now the word
9 practicable, which I emphasized a little earlier,
10 is, unfortunately, not defined in the statute.

11 And, as I'll discuss later, that's, the
12 meaning of that word is rapidly becoming the focus
13 of the discussion over our rulemaking. And I should
14 say right at the beginning that although, and I'll
15 explain myself a little bit later.

16 Although we published this as a
17 so-called direct final rule, we also published this
18 as a proposed rule at the same time. And I'm now
19 announcing, on behalf of the Agency, that we're
20 going to drop the direct final rule and proceed with
21 the alternative route, the proposed rule.

22 I'll talk about that a little bit later.
23 Let me now proceed to some of the pasts NRC actions
24 that have brought us into at least partial
25 compliance with the Government Paperwork Elimination

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Act.

2 First, there are our many record keeping
3 regulations scattered throughout our part of the
4 Code of Federal Regulations. Those already provide
5 for the possibility of electronic maintenance of
6 information and we are not changing any of those
7 regulations. At least I don't recall that we are.

8 They seemed sufficient the way they
9 stood. Although you, you may, in working with them,
10 believe that there are some changes that should be
11 made. And if so, please let us know. That would be
12 valuable to us during the comment period.

13 The second NRC effort to come into
14 compliance with the Act is the Electronic
15 Information Exchange Pilot that John runs. And the
16 follow up Regulatory Information Summary, 2001-05,
17 that was issued, I believe, in January of 2001, and
18 gave Part 50 Licensee new options.

19 Before that Regulatory Information
20 Summary was published, Section 50.4(c) of our
21 regulations required that Part 50 Licensees actually
22 come to us for prior approval. That's the phrase in
23 the regulation. Prior approval before they
24 submitted something electronically.

25 The Regulatory Information Summary

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 removes the need to come in for prior approval for
2 an electronic submission. Also in early August of
3 2001, we issued a letter to certain fuel cycle
4 facilities allowing them the option of electronic
5 submission in some situations.

6 But, at the end of all those efforts, we
7 still had not generalized the permission. We still
8 had not said anybody can use electronic submissions
9 except in the following circumstances. And it's the
10 point of the rulemaking to do precisely that. To
11 enlarge the group of people who have the permission
12 to file material with us without asking for prior
13 approval.

14 That's the main aim of the current
15 rulemaking. You can still submit in paper if you
16 want, but we think that many of you would prefer
17 electronic submissions and what I'm hearing from the
18 technical staff is that they would too.

19 So we hope that this improves matters
20 all around. I should say, fairly early in my
21 presentation, that this new rulemaking does not
22 apply to adjudication nor to the licensing support
23 system for the high level waste repository.

24 The rules governing the so-called LSN,
25 continue to be those in Subpart J of Part 2 of our

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 regulations. And we will have a pilot program and a
2 later rulemaking dealing with other adjudications.

3 The use of electronic media in
4 adjudication is still very much in flux in the
5 Agencies and in the Federal Courts. We think we
6 still have much to learn there. And so for the time
7 being, we're leaving it up to NRC Judges and the
8 parties in particular proceedings to work out to
9 what extent they want to use electronic media.

10 Let me say something next about the
11 typical changes to the existing rules. The main
12 change is to make explicit reference throughout the
13 rules, where ever communications are discussed. To
14 make explicit reference to the possibility of making
15 electronic submissions and to point readers to the
16 guidance which will take up the bulk of the
17 discussion this morning.

18 So, for example, in places where it says
19 you may deliver something to the Agency by hand
20 delivery to this address, or by U.S. Mail, we will
21 also explicitly add in, or by electronic submission,
22 for instance, EIE or CD-ROM. Those lists are not
23 meant to be exhaustive, necessarily.

24 Also, in places where some kind of
25 notification is required from regulated parties,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 notification to the NRC, we have also provided the
2 option of electronic submission for later
3 notifications.

4 In cases where immediate notification is
5 required, we still prefer the telephone. Because
6 even though e-mail can be rapid, it can also be
7 slow. In the last year I've seen some e-mails
8 arrive 48 hours after they were sent.

9 And e-mail is not, even today, as
10 interactive as the telephone. So we've left
11 requirements on the books that immediate
12 notification be by phone. There's often then a
13 second stage of notification within four hours or
14 eight hours, we also leave the current requirements
15 in place for whatever medium is used for those
16 notifications now.

17 But there's usually a follow up
18 notification within 30 days. Those can be by
19 electronic submission. So that's two forms of
20 changes to the rules. There are 100, I counted
21 them, there are 175 separate rule changes, 79
22 separate rule changes. Most of them fall into the
23 three categories that I'm discussing.

24 I've discussed two, so far. The third
25 is wherever we've mentioned an NRC form that a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 regulated party has to use, we've also said where
2 the party can find it electronically. And I believe
3 in some cases you can also file electronically.

4 But I'm not sure that we're quite as
5 good as the IRS about that yet. I should mention a
6 fourth kind of change. It figures less prominently,
7 but it is rapidly becoming the subject of some
8 controversy in this rulemaking. Namely the number
9 of paper copies that we require or the number of cd
10 copies that we require.

11 Throughout the regulations we have
12 reduced the number of paper copies and in some cases
13 we have also said you no longer need to keep certain
14 paper copies in reserve. You just need to have the
15 capacity to produce those paper copies, if you
16 choose to submit in paper.

17 But we also, in the, what used to be the
18 direct, final rule, but is now the proposed rule, we
19 also said that we wanted a certain number of cd
20 copies. And many of the comments that we've
21 received so far are directed particularly against
22 that requirement, which we will be rethinking.

23 But except for things like that, number
24 of cd copies or another item of controversy, whether
25 regulated parties can file on a page replacement

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 basis or whether they need to file the whole
2 document all over again.

3 Except for those two items and whatever
4 else you may bring to light in the remainder of the
5 comment, the technical details of electronic
6 submissions are all in the guidance. Which was also
7 published for comment and will be discussed later
8 this morning.

9 That way the rules, the 179 changes can
10 remain on the books for a long time to come. And
11 changes in technology, as they enable us to make
12 changes in our processes, can be dealt with more
13 easily by changes in guidance.

14 It would be much more difficult to
15 incorporate the new technologies into the rules.
16 The last thing I'd like to discuss is the direct,
17 the so-called direct, final rule process. The
18 process that we used in this rulemaking.

19 Because the 179 changes were basically
20 the granting of permission, and because technical
21 details were almost all in the guidance document, we
22 thought that there would not be much controversy
23 about this rule, and that we could use the process
24 which is often used by Federal Agencies of
25 publishing what is called a Direct Final Rule.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Now a Direct Final Rule is, the phrase
2 Direct Final Rule is a term of art. And I should
3 say just a little bit about it. Here's how it
4 works. It's not a final rule in the ordinary sense
5 of that term. We actually published two rules.

6 We published a so-called direct final
7 rule and we published a proposed rule. We were
8 hoping that that way we would have to issue only one
9 Federal Register Notice, just the direct final rule.
10 The proposed rule was only a couple of pages, and
11 for details it referred back to the full publication
12 and the direct final rule.

13 But we provided a comment period at the
14 same time on the direct final rule just as if it
15 were a proposed rule, because we wanted to hear
16 whether in fact, there would be controversy over any
17 of the provisions in the direct final rule. And if
18 there was going to be some controversy, that is, if
19 there was going to be, in our magic phrase,
20 significant adverse comment, then we would fall back
21 on the proposed rule.

22 That seemed to us the best of all
23 possible worlds. If there turned out to be
24 controversy, then we would proceed in the usual two
25 stage rulemaking. And if there turned out to be no

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 significant controversy, we wouldn't go through the
2 expense of a second large Federal Register
3 publication.

4 I was the person probably the most
5 hopeful that there would be no controversy, so you
6 can blame me for the direct final rule process, if
7 you want to. At any rate, I was also the first one
8 to say, this isn't going to work. Because the early
9 comments that we saw coming in raise at least two
10 basic questions about some of those 179 changes, and
11 I've mentioned them before.

12 The requirement for complete
13 resubmission of documents lack FSAR when they're
14 updated or the requirement for copies of CDs. And
15 there may be others that you will raise either this
16 morning or in written comments, before the end of
17 the comment period.

18 So, even though this affects few of the
19 roughly 180 rule changes, we think that nonetheless
20 these are significant adverse comments. And
21 therefore, we will soon be publishing a Federal
22 Register Notice that says we're going to go with the
23 proposed rule and this is no longer a direct final
24 rule.

25 Unless you have questions about what

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I've said or things that I've not said, that's the
2 end of my presentation. Thank you.

3 MR. SKOCZLAS: Are there any comments at
4 this point? Good, let's move on then. I'd like to
5 have Brenda Shelton, then, proceed with the next
6 part. And we're going to be moving into, I believe,
7 guidance document.

8 MS. SHELTON: Yes. We will give you a
9 brief overview on the guidance document that will
10 cover four basic areas. That will be the scope of
11 the guidance, the impact on current guidance, the
12 parameters for electronic submissions, and how to
13 make an electronic submission via the four
14 acceptable means, which are EIE, Electronic
15 Information Exchange, CD-ROM, e-mail, and
16 facsimile.

17 There will be three of us presenting,
18 just as John has already indicated. Moe will deal
19 with the parameters for electronic submissions.
20 John will deal with the EIE portion, and I will
21 cover the remainder.

22 The scope of the guidance, the guidance
23 applies to electronic submissions to the NRC via
24 EIE, CD-ROM, e-mail, and facsimile. And it also
25 defines the document types that can be voluntarily

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 submitted electronically for each medium.

2 Exceptions to the electronic submission.
3 Steve has already indicated immediate or prompt
4 notifications to the NRC. That was not changed in
5 the regulation. And he has indicated the reasons
6 why, because there is a need to ensure that there is
7 a means for immediate interaction between the NRC
8 and the Licensee or whomever it is that is providing
9 the information.

10 Notice of filing bankruptcy petitions,
11 financial assurance instruments, documents that are
12 served on the NRC as a participant in federal court
13 proceedings. Contractor proposals or invoices that
14 are submitted in response to specific contractual
15 requirements.

16 Guidance for electronic submissions in
17 this area will be issued separately and at a later
18 date. Hearing requests and documents pertaining to
19 hearings, or associated appeals with the exception
20 of rulemaking petitions. That means, you can send
21 these electronically.

22 Comments filed in rulemaking proceedings
23 under Part 2, Subpart H, request for enforcement
24 actions under 10 CFR Part 2.206, and documents
25 under Subpart B, procedures for imposing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 requirements by order or for modifications,
2 suspension, or revocation of a license or for
3 imposing civil penalties.

4 And, as indicated earlier, separate
5 rules and guidance will be issued in the future for
6 a public comment. And existing format requirements
7 contained in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart J, could be
8 superseded by the format standards that are
9 contained in the guidance document. So you must
10 take that under consideration as you are considering
11 any comments or concerns that you might have with
12 the rulemaking.

13 And you also might wish to note that, a
14 change that has occurred in the list of exceptions
15 to electronic submissions is the fingerprint cards,
16 FP-258. Those can now be submitted via the EIE.
17 Communications that can be submitted to NRC
18 electronically are acceptable EIE or CD-ROM
19 submittals, primarily regulatory submissions that
20 include documents or information submitted under
21 oath or affirmation, documents where secure transfer
22 is required or appropriate.

23 But you are to use CD-ROM only in those
24 instances where you wish to submit classified
25 information and sensitive unclassified information.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And that includes sensitive Homeland Security
2 information. Electronic files that are greater than
3 25 megabytes, and documents with special attributes.
4 They can only be submitted using CD-ROM.

5 Now, one of the most critical areas that
6 we will be talking about today, I believe, is the
7 impact on the current guidance. You've already
8 heard that we issued the Regulatory Issue Summary
9 2001-5, which was specific to the Part 50 Licensees.
10 In that particular RIS, or Regulatory Issue Summary,
11 the Licensees were permitted or given the option to
12 make their submittal via EIE and that would satisfy
13 the regulatory requirements.

14 They could submit one CD-ROM, that would
15 satisfy the copy requirements. Or, if the Licensees
16 were to choose to submit on paper, one copy would
17 satisfy the regulatory copy requirements. However,
18 since that time, the guidance document that has,
19 that you have before you for review, and the
20 regulation as Steve indicated, we made a change.

21 We now require that if you are to submit
22 on CD-ROM, you are to submit the number of copies
23 required by the regulation, plus one paper copy.
24 And we have addressed our rationale for that. We
25 talked about the expense associated with our trying

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to produce a paper copy from CD-ROM.

2 These costs were exorbitant and we
3 thought that it would be more efficient for the
4 document, the paper document to be generated from
5 the source. And that's why we made that change. We
6 also made the change from submitting one paper copy
7 to the number of copies required by the regulation,
8 if one were to choose to submit via paper.

9 Again, we were looking at what our
10 purpose, what was the intent behind our trying to
11 promote electronic submissions? Were we effective
12 in that by saying submit just one paper copy? So,
13 when we thought about that, it's like, if we say one
14 paper copy, does that really promote electronic
15 submissions?

16 And also, we also found that the staff
17 had a need for paper copies, after going back
18 examining what the true needs were. So we decided
19 that we would change the requirement so that if one
20 were to make a submittal on paper, they would make
21 the number of copies that were required by the
22 regulations.

23 However, as Steve has indicated, we have
24 received adverse comments in this regard. One of
25 the concerns was that we have a requirement that for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 documents that we consider living documents, such as
2 the updated Final Safety Analysis Report, which now
3 allows you, or requires you to make page change
4 submissions in lieu of the entire document.

5 We are now saying submit on the CD-ROM,
6 submit the entire document. So that what, implied
7 that you're also to submit a complete replacement in
8 paper, which would be a lot more burdensome. And
9 those are the types of feedbacks that we are getting
10 about these changes that we made between the
11 issuance of the RIS and the rulemaking package that
12 we are now discussing.

13 We are rethinking that position. We
14 have heard you. We cannot say just what our
15 decision will be because we are not here today to
16 make decisions on what the outcome will be. We have
17 other comments that we're sure are forthcoming,
18 because the phones have been ringing off the hooks,
19 the e-mails have been coming in.

20 So, we know that there are other
21 comments that are coming in and we will take all of
22 these comments under consideration before we decide
23 what our position will be. But we do want you to
24 know that we are rethinking our position in this
25 regard. And I've already addressed where we are in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this regard, and that also pertains to what happened
2 with the August 10, 2001, letter that was issued to
3 certain fuel cycle facilities that extended to them
4 the option of electronic submittals.

5 This was a materials pilot program, and
6 there were requests for exceptions that had to come
7 in, and these would no longer be required under the
8 current guidance and regulation. All Licensees who
9 choose to make submissions electronically may do so,
10 under the materials side of the House.

11 Now, that concludes the portion that I
12 was to discuss before getting back to more specifics
13 about the four means of making electronic
14 submittals. At this time I will turn it over to Moe
15 --

16 MR. SKOCZLAS: Yes, before we go on to
17 the parameters, etc., if there's anyone that would
18 like to comment on anything that Brenda has said at
19 this point, you can step forward now, please.

20 MR. DACKO: I'm Bob Dacko with TXU
21 Energy, Comanche Peak. Let me see, my telephone
22 number is (254) 897-0122. When RIS 2001-5 was
23 issued, that was a big benefit to Comanche Peak.
24 We had been embarking on an electronic, updated FSAR
25 for about six months prior to that time, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 elimination of the paper copy was the big thing that
2 we found with that RIS.

3 In fact, we eliminated all paper copies
4 of the updated FSAR. With this new requirement, we
5 would now have to generate a new paper copy. We
6 have been able to survive for the last two years
7 now, internally, without ever having to access a
8 paper copy. And for those people who do need parts
9 of it, it is not a difficult process to produce a
10 printed copy.

11 So, I'd like you to bear that in mind
12 when you try to make your decision in this regard.

13 MS. SHELTON: Thank you for your
14 comment, and we will take that under consideration.

15 MS. HAYES: Good morning, my name is
16 Lori Hayes with Progress Energy, Florida Power
17 Corporation and Carolina Power and Light. I notice
18 here on the communications that can be submitted to
19 the NRC electronically, for CD-ROM use only, you
20 have classified and sensitive unclassified,
21 basically meaning Homeland Security information.

22 But you don't specifically call out,
23 which I'm sure you mean, safeguards information, in
24 here. So we've jumped from high to low and I'm
25 wondering if you've encompassed that in the whole --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SHELTON: Safeguards information,
2 that is included. Right, it's included in
3 sensitive.

4 MS. HAYES: In sensitive unclassified?

5 MS. SHELTON: Yes, yes.

6 MS. HAYES: Thank you.

7 MR. MCINTIRE: Hi. John McIntire,
8 Nuclear Energy Institute, jdm@nei.org. Brenda, you
9 had mentioned that you're rethinking some of these
10 things that you're receiving some push back on. I
11 wanted to know when we could possibly be hearing
12 what you're thinking about?

13 MS. SHELTON: As Steve indicated, we're
14 in the proposed rule mode at this point. So, we
15 will have to address the comments in the final rule.
16 So, it will be tying with the final rule.

17 MR. MCINTIRE: Okay. Thank you.

18 MR. SKOCZLAS: Anyone else?

19 MR. CROCKETT: I don't know whether this
20 will clarify it or just make it slightly more
21 confusing. I think, had we gone the direct final
22 route, this would have become effective, I believe
23 in early December, was that it? Now that we're
24 going the proposed rule route, that December date is
25 not necessarily the date.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We'd like to move as quickly as
2 possible, of course. But it wouldn't necessarily be
3 that December date, that the final rule came out. We
4 will have to issue a Federal Register Notice of some
5 kind to indicate that we're, that we're in it. I
6 think the language is withdrawing the direct final
7 rule and proceeding with the already published
8 proposed rule.

9 That will have to come out. Otherwise,
10 before that December date, otherwise, the direct
11 final rule will become effective, and that's not
12 going to happen. So, but we don't have a definite
13 schedule in place, other than working as quickly as
14 we can.

15 MS. HAYES: This question may be better
16 directed at John. Based on the EIE finger print
17 submittal that we're a pilot program on, and I
18 notice that, you know, you're going to pull it out
19 of the other rule, because it would have obviously
20 had great implications on us.

21 If we're going to implement that pilot
22 program prior to, well, we're already implementing
23 the pilot program. If we're going to go final and
24 do that based on the access authorization orders
25 that are coming out, how will that affect us if the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 rule is not into effect and will we be circumventing
2 some other rules or be outside of the scope of other
3 rules?

4 MR. SKOCZLAS: No. Actually, the
5 program has been implemented, and we do have people
6 submitting. That was done with the permission of
7 the Division of Security and NRR. So, they can go
8 ahead and set the regulations as they choose for
9 those particular types of submittals. And they've
10 done that.

11 I believe that the people have received
12 a memo or a note stating as to how to file and what
13 the rules are and everything.

14 MS. HAYES: That's correct, and we are
15 filing that way. And I just wanted to know if we're
16 rolling out of the pilot program into actually
17 implementing it?

18 MR. SKOCZLAS: Yes, yes we have.

19 MS. HAYES: Is that going to be
20 effective? Because we are doing that.

21 MR. SKOCZLAS: Yes, we have. We have.
22 Actually, what the memo stated, I believe, was that
23 once you've successfully submitted a CD-ROM, then
24 you can go ahead and start submitting all the finger
25 print files in the electronic format.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 That was noted in here because this was
2 published well before that program, or it was
3 printed, you know, proposed, well before that
4 program came into effect. So, we just deleted it
5 from here.

6 MS. HAYES: Thank you.

7 MR. SKOCZLAS: You're welcome. If there
8 are no other comments or questions, what I'd like
9 to do now is turn it over to Moe Levin, who is going
10 to discuss the parameters for electronic
11 submissions.

12 MR. LEVIN: Good morning. What I'd like
13 to do is just briefly go over some of the reasoning
14 behind how we set the parameters for electronic
15 submissions, and then obviously ask for your
16 comments.

17 As you know, the electronic submission
18 is voluntary. So in the guidelines we tried to
19 strike a balance between what is cost effective to
20 implement and what is comfortable for most people
21 who need to communicate with NRC.

22 And the parameters we've broken up into
23 four main areas, file formats, naming conventions,
24 file size limitations and image scan resolution.
25 First I'll talk about file formats and I don't know

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if you can see it up there and it didn't come
2 through very well on the copies, but there are some
3 areas that are highlighted, they are in red. Really
4 it's --

5 MR. SKOCZLAS: Moe, Moe, excuse me for
6 one second. If there are people who need copies of
7 what's being shown up on the, we do have it here.
8 It's a little easier to read than on the screen. I
9 guess everyone has one? Okay. I'm sorry, Moe.

10 MR. LEVIN: And really, the changes are
11 only in one area. And if you look at the column
12 that says version, for all the different PDF, that's
13 where the changes were. And the changes originally
14 we said, I think, that we required PDF with a
15 certain version, I think it was 1.3.

16 And we weren't, that really, we didn't
17 feel that would be clear enough for most people. So
18 we've changed that to say what we required for PDF
19 is, PDF that can be read by Adobe Acrobat Reader
20 4.0. We thought that was a little clearer.

21 And that it had to be obviously produced
22 by an Adobe compatible product, or a PDF compatible
23 product. And that was, that was the highlighted
24 changes on here, which you may not be able to see.

25 PDF is our preferred format. Portable

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 document format, that's what PDF is. And some of
2 the reasoning behind that is PDF is the industry
3 defacto standard for file printing and reproduction
4 that maintains fidelity across all computer
5 platforms and printers.

6 There's a, PDF files are easily viewed
7 and accessed by just about anybody who has a
8 computer, because the reader for PDF is free and
9 available to everybody. The PDF output driver is
10 either packaged or available for almost all current
11 document creating software.

12 So PDF is relatively easy to create.
13 You just print the PDF. PDF files are not easily
14 altered. Once they are created, it's difficult to
15 change them without it being obvious that they were
16 altered. And also, something for the future,
17 positions us to be able to do a little, maybe
18 easier, job of digital signatures.

19 When we started EIE and implemented
20 digital signatures, PDF or the PDF format did not
21 support digital signatures. It does now. So in the
22 future we may be able just to digitally sign the PDF
23 document itself and it will make the whole process a
24 lot easier.

25 So PDF is our preferred format for text

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and image based information. We still accept TIFF.
2 We do that for historical reasons, because for a
3 long time now we've allowed, under certain
4 circumstances, organizations to send information in
5 TIFF and we felt that it's been established and we
6 needed to continue to support that.

7 But again, PDF is our preferred format.
8 Next slide. We also accept several spread sheet
9 formats. And these are not for, these are for what
10 we would call maybe non-textual-oriented
11 information. In other words, when there's a need to
12 transmit data to us that is meant to be manipulated,
13 we find out that that is a current practice. That
14 is a current need.

15 So we decided to accept, make an
16 exception to the PDF and the TIFF and accept the
17 three spread sheet formats that are listed here.
18 These are the major players in the spread sheet
19 arena, obviously. And you'll notice that the
20 version of the spread sheet files we accept are
21 somewhat outdated, older ones.

22 That's because not everybody in NRC has
23 access to current software that handles the current
24 versions. And until we upgrade, this was like the
25 least common denominator. So I thought, I wanted to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 point that out. Next slide.

2 And then there's file size limitations.
3 And there's limitations for EIEs, CD-ROM and e-mail.
4 The limitation for EIE is the entire EIE package,
5 which includes the form you fill out and all the
6 attachments.

7 We limit that right now to 25 megabytes
8 or less. The reason for that is we found, through
9 experience with the different means of access that
10 people have to the internet and the speeds of
11 transmission, anything larger becomes problematical
12 for them.

13 They get time outs. There's errors in
14 the transmission. And also it's a size that we know
15 that we can deal with once the EIE transmission gets
16 to our server. Now this started out a lot lower. I
17 think it started out five or ten megabytes when we
18 first started EIE.

19 And as bandwidth has increased,
20 capabilities increased, our capacity to process
21 these things has increased, we have gradually raised
22 our limits. So we would expect over time to
23 throttle that up. But we just want to make sure we
24 do it carefully so that we don't get a flood that we
25 can't handle.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So that's the reason behind that limit.
2 CD-ROM, you can fill up an entire CD-ROM. But what
3 we request is that any given file on the CD does
4 not, is not larger than 20 megabytes. This is for
5 the ability of people to process and use and
6 manipulate and view the files in a reasonable time
7 at their desktop PC.

8 Again, that limit we'll probably raise
9 as PCs get faster and capabilities get stronger.
10 E-mail, we have a hard limit. It's got to be less
11 than or equal to ten megabytes. If it's greater
12 than ten megabytes it will not get into our system.

13
14 The reason for that is we want to
15 prevent a lot of larger files, or actually,
16 conceivably, a relatively small number of large
17 files flooding our e-mail system. E-mail was not
18 designed to handle these large of attachments in any
19 kind of a volume, so we had to limit that.

20 And I think that's about all the
21 comments I had on that. Next slide. Resolution,
22 these are for scan, these are for image files, for
23 scanned documents. TIFF files, tagged image file
24 format, files and portable document files, PDF
25 files, must be created using the following minimum

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 resolution.

2 You could use higher resolution. We
3 don't recommend that, either. It makes for larger
4 files. It's an efficiency thing. But we had to set
5 a minimal resolution. The main reason we did that
6 was for viewing clarity. For if we ever did want to
7 OCR.

8 And also, we've been told by Adobe that
9 to maintain ability to migrate to newer versions of
10 the PDF format, that this was the minimum acceptable
11 that they would guarantee that they support this for
12 the foreseeable future.

13 So that's why we set those minimums.
14 There is one other thing that I'd like to point out
15 here. And that is we will not, we really can't
16 process documents that contain integrated images of
17 text. And what we mean by that is you could have a,
18 what looks like an image file where you have scanned
19 a document and just taken the image and pasted it
20 into another document or another scanned file.

21 That will look to us like an image, we
22 will not attempt to do OCR, it won't be indexed for
23 searching or anything. It won't be converted to
24 text for use. So, if it's really supposed to be
25 text, we don't want it as an image.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And we don't, I mean, it's conceivable
2 that, and I think we've seen situations where
3 somebody has a Word or WordPerfect document and
4 they've cut and pasted from another document, rather
5 than retyping a section of text, only they, what
6 they did is they scanned it, and then cut and paste
7 it and just put the scanned information in there.

8 So, that just looks like an image to us
9 in the flow of text, and we don't recognize it as
10 text. Okay? Next slide, file naming conventions.
11 The file name must be limited to 116 characters and
12 that includes the period and the three-character
13 filename extension.

14 The reason for this is some of our
15 document processing software only handles filenames
16 up to 116 characters, although Microsoft
17 specifications go up to 255 characters.

18 We have some software that will not
19 handle that, so we have to limit it.

20 The filenames have to conform to
21 Microsoft file naming conventions. They can't
22 include any of the special characters that are
23 listed here.

24 Also, we're making another restriction,
25 and that is filenames cannot contain more than one

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 period. The Microsoft file naming convention would
2 allow you to go something dot something dot
3 something such. We want the one period, one dot and
4 then the filename extension, so it's just reserved
5 to delineate the file type.

6 The other thing is, we request that
7 nobody uses white-spacers or spaces in filenames.
8 Although that is perfectly legal, we have had
9 instances where some software doesn't seem to handle
10 that properly. That's not a hard and fast rule,
11 it's just kind of like a preference to us.

12 Also, we have to stress that to maintain
13 the three-character file extension that's associated
14 with the file format for portable document format is
15 not PDF or tagged image format file, it's .tif.
16 Also, we request that when you have multiple files
17 in a submission, that they include a numeric prefix.

18
19 The reason for that is so that we can
20 make sure we preserve the order and structure of
21 multi-part documents, in a sense, as we process them
22 and move them through the system. And that was all
23 I had planned to cover. Are there any comments,
24 questions?

25 MR. DACKO: Bob Dacko, TXU Energy. On

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 your Page 9, file formats, you have a
2 double-asterisked note that says not acceptable for
3 conversion of scanned documents. And basically you
4 are saying that you should not use PDF normal for
5 scanned documents, is that correct?

6 MR. LEVIN: No, what we're saying is
7 that, if it's a --

8 MR. SKOCZLAS: Excuse me, I'm going to
9 introduce Chris Douthitt. He's with the
10 organization IMC, and is one of the contractors that
11 is consulting with us on technical issues.

12 MR. DOUTHITT: What it's saying there is
13 the PDF version, it was known as PDF normal, which
14 now has a new name for it, formatted text and
15 graphics. That goes back to what Moe explained
16 before. If you take an image of text and convert it
17 to a PDF, it does not perform the OCR.

18 In that case, you need to run OCR, which
19 gives you the PDF original image with hidden text,
20 so that you convert the text. It's what we were
21 trying to explain before. We don't want an image of
22 a document that's not searchable.

23 MR. DACKO: Unfortunately, there's, like
24 our FSAR contains about 2000 figures which are
25 scanned, no electronic form is available other than

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 scanning. And that's converted with using PDF
2 normal.

3 MR. DOUTHITT: Once they're captured in,
4 essentially in Adobe, if you have them in PDF
5 format, you can run Paper Capture, which is a
6 plug-in, a pre-plug-in from Adobe. And it would
7 perform the OCR and then create the hidden text
8 behind it.

9 MR. DACKO: These are figures.
10 Typically they only have a figure title and so
11 forth.

12 MR. LEVIN: Let me try and explain.
13 That's okay. I mean, you can scan a drawing, a
14 blueprint, a diagram, that has text in it. But
15 really, the essence of that, it's an image. The
16 text is kind of just to clarify the image. The main
17 purpose is an image.

18 That can be scanned and stored as a PDF
19 file, and that's perfectly acceptable. What we're
20 talking about is really a text based document,
21 should be in PDF normal.

22 MR. DACKO: Yeah, and we have several of
23 those. We have an attachment to FSAR which is
24 several hundred pages long, which does not exist
25 electronically. It was some kind of industry

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 guidance that was put out. And we just made it
2 part of our FSAR, basically copied it in there. Now
3 we scan it in there, but we can't search it
4 ourselves, and we don't intend to search it.

5 MR. LEVIN: Okay. So let me see if I
6 understand. You have got text based documents from
7 elsewhere that you don't have the electronic version
8 of, and then you've scanned them and attached them.

9 MR. DACKO: It was one of the
10 requirements when we put it in there. They wanted
11 it in the FSAR, so that's what we did.

12 MR. LEVIN: Okay, we will look into
13 that.

14 MR. SKOCZLAS: Thank you for your
15 comment.

16 MR. LEVIN: Thank you, that's very
17 helpful.

18 MR. MCINTIRE: John McIntire from NEI.
19 One more question about Adobe. The version that you
20 have listed there, 4.0 or 4.05, that's, the document
21 needs to be readable by that version, not
22 necessarily created in that version?

23 MR. LEVIN: Correct.

24 MR. MCINTIRE: And that also goes for
25 your other office files?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. LEVIN: Correct. They could be
2 created, they could be created with a current
3 version of Word or WordPerfect and saved as the
4 older, I mean the, I'm sorry, not the Word, but
5 they spreadsheets Lotus or QuattroPro or whatever,
6 with the current version, and then do a save as '97
7 or whatever. And that's perfectly acceptable.

8 MR. MCINTIRE: Okay. And earlier when
9 you all were talking about the size limitations
10 coming in over EIE versus CD-ROM, one of the reasons
11 that you all were mentioning for the 25 megabyte
12 limit was bandwidth issues coming into NRC.
13 However, on the CD-ROM, you state there's a maximum
14 of 20 megabytes per file. Can you clarify that?

15 MR. LEVIN: That's a, the EIE could
16 contain multiple files, okay? Plus, they contain
17 the digital signature information, the envelope that
18 goes around, so it would be a little larger than
19 the, what we would require for a single file on a
20 CD-ROM. We had to allow a little bit larger for all
21 the extra.

22 Here again, the, and we'll look at that.
23 I think that's a good point that why are they
24 different, maybe they should be the same, because
25 once they get in here internally, or even are

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 transmitted, it's the same issue of manipulating
2 them internally. We'll look to see if we can, if we
3 shouldn't bring those closer into alignment.

4 MR. MCINTIRE: Right.

5 MR. SKOCZLAS: Yeah, we'll look at it as
6 a comment. But the 25, the five meg differential
7 was to compensate for the lost, what would be lost
8 in the form size. The form itself takes up a
9 certain amount of space.

10 MR. MCINTIRE: Okay, I was also
11 interested in why you are limiting it to 20 megs, if
12 bandwidth is no longer an issue once it comes in on
13 CD-ROM?

14 MR. LEVIN: That was an issue of
15 manipulating the document and viewing it at our
16 desktops, and the time it would take to down, to
17 bring up and view and traverse any given section of
18 the document. Like I said, as we get more
19 experience with these things, and our desktop PCs
20 get faster, our network gets faster, we're in the
21 process of upgrading our network right now. We
22 anticipate making that larger.

23 MR. MCINTIRE: All right. And one of
24 the other comments you made is that periodically you
25 adjust the amount that's allowed through EIE. Do

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you have a upcoming adjustment?

2 MR. LEVIN: There are none planned. We
3 plan to wait and see what happens when the rule,
4 when the EIE transmissions pick up when the rule is
5 published and we get more in as we, and like I said,
6 also, we are in the process here of upgrading our
7 bandwidth to the internet, and our internal network.

8
9 So as those upgrades are put in place,
10 and as the EIE traffic picks up we will get more
11 experience. And as we get more comfortable, we
12 will, we will look to increase it. But there are no
13 plans right now.

14 MR. SKOCZLAS: We're currently in the
15 process of testing larger documents. We just
16 haven't completed that.

17 MR. LEVIN: So, I mean, there are no
18 definite plans.

19 MR. MCINTIRE: All right, thank you.

20 MR. SKOCZLAS: Are there any other
21 comments or questions? Yes, Lori?

22 MS. HAYES: Lori Hayes. This is just a
23 general comment. When you make changes to the
24 appendix in the future, will you have to go through
25 the rulemaking process again?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. CROCKETT: No. It probably should
2 not have been called an appendix. I'm not quite
3 sure how that happened. But we did say in a couple
4 of places in the Federal Register Notice, that
5 that's not going to be published in the Code of
6 Federal Regulations. It won't show up in your
7 green, purple, gray, yellow, red, blue book, every
8 January.

9 It's going to be guidance available the
10 way guidance is usually available, and changed the
11 way guidance is usually changed. Now, we often do
12 comment periods on guidance, but it's almost never
13 as involved as ordinary rulemaking.

14 And then that's the reason why we, we've
15 got most of the technical detail in the guidance
16 document and not in the rule. So that we, even
17 though we make disciplined and orderly changes, they
18 won't be as procedurally involved as the typical
19 rulemaking.

20 MS. HAYES: So would it be in a vehicle
21 of like a RIS, or what is the, what is the Agency's
22 vehicle for transmitting that?

23 MR. CROCKETT: I'm not sure exactly what
24 it's going to be called. We'll have to talk to the
25 people who are experienced with generic

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 communications and make sure we get the right label
2 on this.

3 MS. HAYES: Thank you.

4 MR. SKOCZLAS: If there's no other
5 comments and questions at this time, what I'd like
6 to do is take about a five minute break. We're
7 about halfway through the program, and just, well,
8 why don't we get back at about 20 of, okay?

9 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went
10 off the record at 9:29 a.m. and went back on the
11 record at 9:44 a.m.)

12 MR. SKOCZLAS: Okay, can we get started
13 again, please? A couple of points before we
14 continue, we do have the feedback forms. They're on
15 the back table if you want to grab one on the way
16 out, or at some other point. You can either turn
17 them in now, by leaving it on the table, or it can
18 be mailed back to the Agency.

19 There's also a sign up sheet if you want
20 to get paper copy of the transcript. The transcript
21 at this point, we plan on putting it also on the
22 website, under the EIE submittals, and there will a
23 notification on that. So either way, if you want a
24 paper copy, you can go ahead and sign up.

25 We've decided that since we're running

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ahead of schedule, quite a bit, at the end of the
2 presentations, the formal presentations, we would
3 like to open up a discussion about some of the
4 controversial areas that have been identified.

5 So, once we do the formalized meeting
6 process, and the topics that we're going to be
7 discussing and presenting and soliciting comments, I
8 think we'd like to have just an open discussion
9 since there are people here who do have some
10 viewpoints. And just to be able to get some free
11 flow of information back and forth.

12 Okay. I'm next. John Skoczlas again,
13 I'm the EIE Project Officer, Manager, sorry. I'd
14 like to explain quickly why EIE came about. The
15 Electronic Information Exchange is a term, to the
16 best of my knowledge, although it's widely used
17 today, was actually developed by NIRMA back in the
18 early 1990's in conjunction with NRC.

19 And I believe it was at a meeting at
20 Calvert Cliffs. And we didn't have any term to talk
21 about what it is that we were talking or trying to
22 decide how we were going to get documents sent
23 electronically to the NRC, or back and forth. And
24 at that point, we were talking about modems and all
25 neat kinds of things.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So, lots of suggestions, people who are
2 pushing, you know, SGML as a solution and PDF.
3 Everybody tried to get there own little point in,
4 but we decided on a generic EIE, Electronic
5 Information Exchange. And, from what I understand,
6 other people picked it up pretty well, which is
7 good.

8 EIE actually was developed as a
9 formalized process for making regulatory submittals
10 via the internet. There's a couple of key words
11 there. That's formalized, regulatory and internet.
12 And just think about that for a second because every
13 other process will eliminate one of those words.

14 Also, it was developed mainly to provide
15 for that process, and to provide digital signatures
16 if necessary. When we first started designing the
17 program back in the mid 90s, there was a big concern
18 about how documents were going to be signed.

19 And we realized that what we wanted to
20 have, again, was a formalized process for signing
21 documents and digital signatures was our solution at
22 that time. EIE, as opposed to some of the other
23 processes here, it provides secure document
24 transmission. It provides certification and
25 authentication. So those again are key words.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 One of the nice things about the system
2 and something that was required early on, was that
3 the system itself notifies people when the document
4 has been received into the Agency. In the past,
5 unless you sent something certified mail, with
6 receipt requested, you didn't have that. Now you
7 do.

8 Okay, who can participate in EIE? Well,
9 basically, everyone now once this rule gets forth.
10 We're talking Applicants, Licensees, external
11 entities, including the federal, state, local
12 governments, vendors, and anyone else who wants to
13 submit, in a formalized process, to the NRC.

14
15 You can submit all your regulatory
16 submissions. They can be documents that are
17 submitted under oath and affirmation because we can
18 digitally sign those documents and there's guidance
19 that's available for that. You would want to use it
20 whenever the documents, when you are concerned about
21 the security of documents being sent over the
22 internet.

23 And again, documents under 25 meg, and
24 that has been addressed, but understand that we
25 realize that that's a limit. And we're looking at

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that limit constantly. NGIT, who is our contractor
2 in charge of the EIE process, is currently testing
3 and we're trying to get some real figures.

4 How long does it take to send a
5 document? How long does it take to receive? You
6 know, what's the appropriateness of how big a
7 document, whether it be 50, 100 or larger? How to
8 submit.

9 It looks like we don't know that, but we
10 do. What you want to do is just go to the NRC home
11 page and on the left side there's a little site map
12 and it says e-submittals, and that will take you to
13 the EIE home page. There's more information there
14 than you would ever need, but it walks you through
15 the process.

16 It tells you how to get a certificate,
17 because it's a PKI system and it's certificate
18 based. And it tells you the process of getting that
19 certificate and using it to submit documents. And
20 all the information that you would need plus some
21 legal basis, etc., and some other things.
22 And that's also where we put all notifications of
23 changes to the program, under what's new.

24 When we instituted the criminal history
25 file submittals, including finger print cards,. we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 notified the people that it was now available to go
2 ahead and submit that way. And we try to keep it
3 up-to-date as much as possible.

4 And where to submit? Well, this is an
5 easy one. All the documents that are regulatory
6 documents that are submitted on a generic form
7 through EIE, automatically go to the DPC, which is
8 where they're supposed to go. If you're submitting
9 criminal history files, you use a different form.

10 And that automatically goes to the
11 Division of Security. If you're submitting for, as
12 a participant in the adjudicatory pilot, which I
13 don't think I see anyone here from that, it also
14 goes to the DPC, but with the designation that it
15 belongs in the electronic hearing docket.

16 Now, on the generic form, we are making
17 some changes to make sure that documents that don't
18 go to the DPC, but are sent via the generic form,
19 will go to the proper entity, such as the Regions or
20 Operator Licensing Branch, those things that don't
21 get processed into ADAMS, will still get the proper
22 distribution.

23 And there'll be a pull down list for
24 distributions, if you know where the document
25 belongs. If not, the DPC will route it. Basically,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's about it for EIE. And the reason I'm not
2 going into too much detail, it's been around for a
3 couple three years now, and I think we've answered
4 an awful lot of questions about it. The website is
5 there.

6 If there's anything, you can contact me,
7 directly, or you can contact Patty Nibert, who's
8 sitting here, she's the local registration authority
9 for the certificates and sort of our troubleshooter.
10 So, if anything comes up.

11 Are there any comments or questions
12 about that specific part of this package? Oh,
13 that's wonderful. Thank you. CD-ROM submissions?
14 Would that be Brenda? Brenda will be doing that
15 section at this point.

16 MS. SHELTON: We will cover the same
17 basic areas that John covered on EIE. Who can
18 participate? That's primarily anyone who makes a
19 submittal of documents to the NRC can submit the
20 documents on CD-ROM. That includes our Licensees,
21 Applicants, state, federal, and local governments,
22 and any member of the public.

23 What can be submitted on CD-ROM? We've
24 already indicated that the documents that are in
25 excess of the 25 megabytes, which was the cap on the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 EIE submittals, documents that contain classified
2 information and sensitive unclassified information,
3 including our sensitive Homeland Security
4 information.

5 And that is because there are
6 requirements for marking and packaging and labeling
7 this material that will provide the necessary
8 security for such documents. And documents that
9 contain special attributes. Also, living documents,
10 which are large documents that historically have
11 been maintained using page replacements and pen and
12 ink changes.

13 And also, because as you're aware, we
14 are not able handle or process our living documents
15 into ADAMS, so the CD-ROM was a substitute for being
16 able to make those documents available
17 electronically without placing them in ADAMS.

18 How to submit. Each CD-ROM submittal
19 must be submitted by a signed letter in paper format
20 and it must include certain information to ensure
21 that we can manage the whereabouts of the CD-ROM and
22 have a record that we will place in ADAMS to
23 identify the location.

24 So there's the regulatory citation that
25 requires the submission must be noted in the subject

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 line or in the first paragraph of the transmittal
2 letter. The sensitivity level of the submission
3 must be noted to ensure that it is properly handled.
4

5 A description and identity of the
6 documents that are contained on the CD, particularly
7 when there are multiple documents that are
8 transmitted in a single submission. The name, phone
9 number, mailing address, and e-mail address of the
10 person who's knowledgeable of the submission in the
11 event that they are questions that we might have
12 about the content.

13 Any special instructions regarding the
14 use of the CD-ROM. For example, how to open the
15 files, how to access the publication. We would
16 require oath and signature of the person swearing to
17 the accuracy of the submission that must be made
18 under oath or affirmation.

19 If the CD-ROM contains non-public
20 information, then we ask that you submit the CD-ROM
21 material, public and non-public, and the number of
22 copies that's required by the regulation. And make
23 that as one submittal with both.

24 And if there is public information that
25 is also included, we would like you to submit one

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CD-ROM that contains only the public information.
2 And that information must be packaged and labeled in
3 accordance with 2.790 and in accordance with Part
4 95.39. And where to submit your CDs?

5 You send the CDs with the transmittal
6 letter to the mailing address that's specified in
7 the regulations. The order or other documents that
8 are governing that submission, or that particular
9 application report or correspondence, whatever you
10 know the case might be. You follow those
11 instructions with regard to where it should be
12 submitted.

13 Then we have e-mail and facsimile
14 correspondence that can also be communicated to the
15 NRC. And again, they are the same participants of
16 what can be submitted. These are primarily
17 documents with the exception of collaborative
18 communications with states and other federal
19 agencies that can be submitted by e-mail, including
20 the text of the e-mail or fax.

21 It should contain only that information
22 that could be made publicly available and may be
23 used for rulemaking petitions and comments in the
24 rulemaking proceeding. Requests for enforcement
25 actions under 10 CFR 2.206, which may be sent, so we

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 can tell you where you can, what the e-mail address
2 is for that, 2206petitions@nrc.gov.

3 Freedom of Information Act requests and
4 appeals, unless there's Privacy Act information
5 that's being sought. Responses to Federal Register
6 Notices or other Agency communications where NRC has
7 provided a specific e-mail address. Responses to
8 NRC licensing related questions. Now this is for
9 faxes only.

10 There are occasions in which,
11 particularly in the Regions, we've found that
12 they're communicating the questions that might be on
13 applications and they will fax the information and
14 permit the Licensees to fax answers to questions
15 that they might have.

16 And information from export and import
17 License Applicants and Licensees, and that's fax
18 only. That is not to be submitted via e-mail. But
19 primarily you will find that it's information that
20 can be made available to the public that can be
21 transmitted via e-mail and fax.

22 How to make your e-mail submissions?
23 You're to identify and describe each attachment in
24 the e-mail message itself, including the format that
25 you have used to generate the attached files.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Submit the files as attachments to the e-mail
2 message to retain the original format of the
3 documents.

4 Include in the e-mail message the
5 identifying information so that we will be able to
6 get in contact with the person who is knowledgeable
7 about the submission. And where to send e-mail
8 submissions? There are specific individuals that
9 might be listed as the contact in the regulations or
10 communications.

11 There are offices that might be
12 specified in the regulations or communications that
13 will have an e-mail address provided. And there are
14 addresses also included on the NRC website that
15 provides for individual program offices, specific
16 agency functions or services, or you can always
17 submit to the Office of Public Affairs.

18 And that e-mail address is provided,
19 opa@nrc.gov. How to submit facsimiles. You are to
20 submit a fax cover sheet that includes information
21 that is necessary for us to identify the submitter.
22 And where to submit? We have a matrix there that
23 provides the phone, the fax phone numbers,
24 verification information, and the location of those
25 fax sites.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And what we have provided, finally, is a
2 summary e-mail submission matrix that just shows you
3 in a nutshell how to submit, who can submit, what to
4 submit, the number of copies to submit and where to
5 submit. Do you have any questions on this aspect
6 of the presentation?

7 MR. SKOCZLAS: Any comments on this
8 area? Okay. I'd like to remind people that the
9 comment period ends 10/21, and to please have your
10 comments in, in whatever form you are going to be
11 sending them, before that or on that date.

12
13 If, for some reason, it comes in after
14 that, if it's a substantial comment, of course we
15 will consider it, but we would prefer to have the
16 time to be able to answer all the comments and
17 address them all so that we don't rush at the last
18 minute.

19 Okay, now what we'd like to do is to go
20 ahead and open this up to a discussion, if people
21 would like to. We basically have three areas that
22 seem to be the ones that people are concerned about.
23 One is the new requirement for multiple copies of
24 either CD-ROM or copies of paper, and CD-ROM with
25 paper.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Also, the FSAR, should it be, if it's
2 submitted on CD-ROM, should it be the entire
3 document updated or page inserts or whatever. And
4 the last thing that we think we need to discuss is,
5 if this CD-ROM with paper copy is such a
6 controversial issue, would it be helpful if it would
7 be the number of CD-ROMS necessary without the paper
8 copy.

9 We do have to make a distribution. I
10 mean that's reality. So, if anyone would like to
11 discuss any of these things, we'll be happy to get
12 into a dialogue with you. Please, just come up.

13 MR. WILLIAMS: Bill Williams, PPNL,
14 Susquehanna. My telephone number is (610)
15 774-7742. Basically, we don't care how many copies
16 of the Cd we have to send. We've adjusted our staff
17 levels so that we don't have to produce paper
18 anymore.

19 In fact, in our company we don't produce
20 any paper anymore. So we would prefer not to send
21 you paper. If we do send you paper, then we'd like
22 that it would only be copies of the changes
23 themselves and not the entire document, because that
24 would be quite a burden on us.

25 Our FSAR, for example, is 19 volumes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 That's going to be expensive, and it's labor
2 intensive to send it.

3 MR. SKOCZLAS: In paper?

4 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

5 MS. BURBA: Laura Burba, Duke Energy.

6 Phone number?

7 MR. SKOCZLAS: Oh, we know where you
8 are.

9 MS. BURBA: Okay. My question is with
10 the one paper copy and I'm thinking of the UFSAR, if
11 we have to produce a version with the homeland
12 security information eliminated, and I'm assuming
13 that we would have to have then two paper copies
14 provided, one for public and one for internal use.
15 Is that not true?

16 MS. SHELTON: Now we have not really
17 gotten into the details about how we're going to
18 handle the homeland security information. As I'm
19 sure you know, we haven't really gotten a definition
20 of what that entails yet.

21 We just simply have some basic guidance
22 that was issued, and the COMSECY at the website,
23 which provides some general guidelines, but we have
24 not gotten a definition on that yet. So I'm sure,
25 once we get all of this map together, some specific

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 guidance we'll be provided in that regard.

2 MS. BURBA: I'm thinking down the road
3 that if we are eventually going to be providing one
4 paper copy, that we'll probably have to provide also
5 another paper copy for public consumption.

6 MS. SHELTON: And you're probably right.
7 But I cannot, you know, say at this point. But I
8 would just make a note of that to make sure that we
9 think about it.

10 MR. DACKO: Bob Dacko, TXU Energy. One
11 more elaboration on paper copies. One of the
12 beauties of going to an electronic version that's on
13 a CD-ROM was that every time we updated we could
14 repaginate without concern. That makes it difficult
15 to put page replacements if we have to issue another
16 paper copy.

17 In essence, for us, we would have to
18 issue an entire new FSAR for every update. That
19 makes it even more costly. So the paper replacement
20 option only works if you don't repaginate.

21 Because otherwise you're -- the second
22 thing, since you're now going into the proposed
23 rulemaking phase, is there intention to get some
24 kind of an industry-NRC group together which could
25 look at both your problems and our problems and come

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 up with a resolution that might meet both of our
2 concerns?

3 MS. SHELTON: We'll take that suggestion
4 under consideration. That's why we have this open,
5 we're trying to get ideas for how we can come up
6 with a resolution. So we'll just put that down as
7 something that we should consider.

8 MR. SKOCZLAS: Would anybody else like
9 to discuss any of the issues that have been raised?

10 MR. DACKO: You have actually resolved a
11 number of our concerns in this meeting and we have
12 not yet submitted our comments. I'm also a member
13 of the STARS group and they're putting together a
14 STARS letter for the same thing.

15 Do you want to go ahead and comment on
16 those things that you have already resolved here
17 that, for example, going to a direct versus a
18 proposed rule is one of our comments, but since
19 you've already suggested that's not going to happen,
20 I'm not sure we need to come back.

21 Or, the only thing that we have to
22 comment on is the actual rule, so there's a lot of
23 things that you've changed. The format in 405, for
24 example, is no longer a comment. Some of the other
25 ones I've already made here, we will also make

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 again.

2 But I guess it's just a matter of, do
3 you want us to make all the comments we were
4 originally going to make or eliminate those that no
5 longer apply because of this meeting?

6 MR. CROCKETT: We've had enough comments
7 on the direct final rule process, I don't need more.
8 I lost. I was told I would lose, but I was
9 stubborn. We would at this, or I, as one of the
10 people drafting the rule, would especially
11 appreciate comments on inconsistencies among the
12 changes.

13 I've gotten some comments this morning
14 that have indicated that. Sections that we've
15 missed. We found 179 sections to change, but maybe
16 there's 179 more. We've spent a lot of time looking
17 through the regulations, so if we've missed some,
18 let us know. Those kinds of things especially would
19 be appreciated.

20 MS. BURBA: Laura Burba, Duke Energy.
21 In your, looking back through the code, was it
22 considered to take a look at 50.71(e), as far as the
23 UFSAR is concerned, those requirements for lists of
24 effective pages, pages changed, a lot of that
25 rulemaking took place in the paper paradigm.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Current publishing convention kind of flies in the
2 face of its older requirements.

3 For example, pagination is applied at
4 the end of the revision process with electronic
5 publishing tools, current state. So that the pages
6 on which changes have occurred doesn't really make
7 much sense. It would be, if you want to know what
8 we changed since the last time, maybe the list of
9 sections on which content changed or text.

10 MR. CROCKETT: Right, not necessarily
11 pages, right.

12 MS. BURBA: So we're asking, probably,
13 that you go back and take a look at 50.71(e).

14 MR. CROCKETT: Right. That's especially
15 helpful, comments like that.

16 MS. HAYES: Lori Hayes, Progress Energy.
17 How do we know what sections of the code are
18 affected so we can look back and make sure. I mean,
19 is there a list that we know 179 are affected and
20 therefore if it's not in that 179, it's not
21 affected?

22 MR. CROCKETT: That's right. Now,
23 somebody raised, while this rulemaking was going on,
24 at least two other rulemakings were going on, Part
25 63 and Part 35. We thought we were keeping track of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it and after all, it's only 3,000 people at the NRC,
2 we can keep track of what each other do.

3 Well, we didn't, it didn't quite happen.
4 We kept track of Part 63, although there may be some
5 inconsistencies in what we did there, but we missed
6 35. And 35 was still in the works when we went up
7 to the Commission with our direct final rule.

8 But 35 got out before this rule did.
9 And so I'm, at this point, I know at least that Page
10 35 may be affected in ways which are not clear in
11 the Federal Register Notice. Because the Federal
12 Register Notice changes to Part 35 was directed at
13 the old 35 that went out of existence, I believe in
14 April of this year.

15 So we need to go back and I have been
16 looking, but I'm not through looking, at the new
17 Part 35, which is substantially different. But I
18 think, though, that the communications questions are
19 going to be roughly the same.

20 That the old 35 and the new 35 will have
21 said pretty much the same thing when it comes to
22 choice of medium for communicating with the Agency.
23 So I don't expect anything radical to happen, but
24 whatever we said in the Federal Register about 35,
25 maybe irrelevant and wrong.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But otherwise, I think -- we've not
2 discovered any other case in which we just missed
3 the boat because of lack of complete coordination.
4 Notice I didn't say complete lack of coordination,
5 just a lack of complete coordination. We tried.

6 So that if it's not discussed in the
7 Federal Register Notice, it is not changed.

8 MS. HAYES: Okay, thank you, that
9 answers the question.

10 MR. CROCKETT: Except for 35.

11 MS. SHELTON: Steve, we have had a
12 couple questions from Commentors recently, because I
13 think we did not include Parts 52 and 54. Those
14 particular parts I think we were --

15 MR. CROCKETT: Right, right.

16 MS. SHELTON: -- my thinking was that
17 it's tied back to Part 50. So I guess we might want
18 to relook at that.

19 MR. CROCKETT: I'll certainly look
20 again, but it's not only you who asked about 52 and
21 54, I think the CIO's Office asked, asked me at
22 least two or three times about 52 and 54. But, we
23 went through 52 and 54 a couple of times, and I
24 think I'm remembering this correctly.

25 It's been months since I've looked at it

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 again, but either by cross-reference to parts of
2 Part 50 or some other way, there didn't seem to be
3 anything in Parts 52 or 54 that either explicitly or
4 implicitly said you do not have an electronic
5 option. But we'll look at that again.

6 MR. SKOCZLAS: Anyone else from the NRC
7 that may have a comment or question? Anyone else
8 from the public? Well, at this point I'd like to
9 thank everyone for coming. Please feel free to
10 contact us as necessary. Other than that, thank you
11 for coming.

12 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter was
13 concluded at 10:13 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701