

October 9, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Daniel M. Gillen, Chief  
Fuel Cycle Facilities Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

FROM: Scott Flanders, Section Chief */RA/*  
Environmental and Low-Level Waste Section  
Environmental and Performance Assessment Branch  
Division of Waste Management  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT FOR THE WESTINGHOUSE REQUEST FOR  
LICENSE AMENDMENT FOR EXEMPTION FROM FISSILE  
MATERIAL PACKAGE STANDARDS

The Environmental and Low-Level Waste Section has completed its review of the subject draft environmental assessment (EA) for the Westinghouse request for a license amendment for exemption from the fissile material package standards for shipment of certain bulk materials containing low concentrations of uranium-235 contamination. Based on the review, we have comments related to the content of the EA (attached). We would like to review the EA again if significant changes are made during future revisions of the draft.

If you have questions regarding this review, please contact Adrienne Lester, of my staff. She can be reached at 301-415-6681.

Attachment: Comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment

## **Comments on Draft Environmental Assessment for Westinghouse, Columbia, License Amendment Request**

Section 1.5 Consider stating the heading as Alternative to the Proposed Action.

In addition, the alternative available to the NRC should be stated as 1. No action and continued throughout the EA in this format as opposed to having alternative 1 and 2. Delete alternative 1 and 2 throughout the EA.

Section 3.1 This section should state whether doses from the proposed alternative would be more, less, or equal to the doses if the amendment is denied.

In the second paragraph, the regulations for the DOT are stated. This section may also want to state the radiation dose per hour the driver may receive during transport to be in compliance with the DOT regulations of 0.02 mSv (2mrem) per hour.

In addition, under No Action, consider rewording to the denial of this amendment will result in a larger number of shipments, therefore, greater nonradiological truck emissions and possible traffic injuries or fatalities.

October 9, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Daniel M. Gillen, Chief  
Fuel Cycle Facilities Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

FROM: Scott Flanders, Section Chief */RA/*  
Environmental and Low-Level Waste Section  
Environmental and Performance Assessment Branch  
Division of Waste Management  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT FOR THE WESTINGHOUSE REQUEST FOR  
LICENSE AMENDMENT FOR EXEMPTION FROM FISSILE  
MATERIAL PACKAGE STANDARDS

The Environmental and Low-Level Waste Section has completed its review of the subject draft environmental assessment (EA) for the Westinghouse request for a license amendment for exemption from the fissile material package standards for shipment of certain bulk materials containing low concentrations of uranium-235 contamination. Based on the review, we have comments related to the content of the EA (attached). We would like to review the EA again if significant changes are made during future revisions of the draft.

If you have questions regarding this review, please contact Adrienne Lester, of my staff. She can be reached at 301-415-6681.

Attachment: Comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment

DISTRIBUTION: NMSS/DWM r/f EPAB r/f

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\EPAB\acl\columbia EA comm.wpd

Log No.: 02-087

**ADAMS Accession No.: ML022820466**

<b>OFC</b>	DWM:EPAB	SC:DWM:EPAB			
<b>NAME</b>	Alester:ml	SFlanders			
<b>DATE</b>	10/ 08 /02	10/ 09 /02			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

This document **should not** be made available to the PUBLIC

ACL  
(Initials)

10 / 08/02  
(Date)