

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\ddot{\bullet}$ 

 $\sim$   $t$ 





#### PROBLEM STATEMENT 1.0

The purpose of this calculation is to determine SNEC Facility Derived Concentration Guideline Levels (DCGLs) for volumetric contamination and their associated Area Factors (AF). These calculated DCGL values are determined using the dose modeling computer code RESRAD, version 6.1. The code offers a probabilistic calculation option which was used as a step to develop input to a deterministic analysis. These values were developed using a surface model that applies to the upper 1 meter of surface materials at the site.

This calculation supercedes revision 0 of the previous calculation with the same number.

#### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS** 2.0

2.1 Table 1 presents a summary of the DCGL values.

SNEC Upper 1 Meter of Soil - DCGL's			
		Limits in pCi/g for:	
Radionuclide	Peak Dose per pCi/g @ Time t (in years)	25 mrem/y TEDE	4 mrem/y DW
Am-241	9.70E-01 mrem/yr $@t = 0$	$\overline{\mathscr{L}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , and $25.7$ and a state	
<b>Am-241 DW</b>	1.93E-02 mrem/yr @t= 1062 $\pm 2$		<b>SPITES</b>
$C-14$	9.30E-01 mrem/yr @ $t = 0$	Charles 26.8 STARRES	
$C-14$ DW	8.87E-03 mrem/yr @t= 1.504 ± 0.003		<b>22 450 37</b>
$\overline{C_0}$ -60	7.13E+00 mrem/yr @t= 0		
Co-60 DW	5.62E-11   mrem/yr @ t = 114.8 $\pm$ 0.2		<b>※※ 7.1E+10 ※</b>
$\overline{\text{Cs}}$ -137	3.74E+00 mrem/yr @t= 0	$1.98398 \times 6.67889833$	
lCs-137 DW	2.32E-17 mrem/yr @t= 1189 $\pm 2$		<b>WEEK 7EHT&amp;</b>
Eu-152	2.46E+00 mem/yr @ $t = 0$	<b>NASA 10.1 MARSHIP</b>	
Eu-152 DW	±2 2.18E-15 mrem/yr $@t = 1037$		<b>EXAMPLE 1.8E+15\$</b>
IF+3	3.87E-02 mrem/yr $@t = 0$	<b>SULTAN 645 MARCHAN</b>	
<b>H-3 DW</b>	1.43E-02 mrem/yr @t= $0.913 \pm 0.002$		<b>3279 Wa</b>
<b>Ni-63</b>	3.34E-02 mrem/yr $@t = 0$	(3) 22.301 <b>747</b> (19 1968)	
Ni-63 DW	±1 2.07E-08   mrem/yr $@t = 661$		<b><i>MARCH 1.9E+08</i></b>
Pu-238	8.28E-01 mrem/yr $@t = 0$	$5.30.1$ and $35.7$	
<b>Pu-238 DW</b>	1.70E-01   mrem/yr @ t = 200.4 $\pm$ 0.4		* 23.5
$\overline{\mathsf{Pu}}$ -239	±1 3.63E+00 mrem/yr @t=642	2015 - 10 6.8 1997 - 201	
IPu-239 DW	±1 2.83E+00 mrem/yr @t= 556		<b>REPORT AND</b>
Pu-241	±0.1 2.89E-02 mem/yr @t= 54.9	Denne 1866 (1992)	
Pu-241 DW	±2 8.33E-04 mrem/yr $@t = 950$		4803
$Sr-90$	2.05E+01 mrem/yr $@t = 0$	不安班利2天物教授	
Sr-90 DW	5.03E-01 mrem/yr @t= 36.49 $\pm$ 0.07		2010.1910

Table 1 - SNEC Site DCGLw Values for Surface Materials

NOTE: Specific Activity Limit (SAL) for Cs & Eu is 8.70E+13 pCi/g & 1.75E+14 pCi/g respectively.



2.2 Attachment 1-1 presents a summary of the Area Factors developed for the above listed DCGL values.

# 3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 SNEC Site Characterization Report, GPU Nuclear, 1996.
- 3.2 "Summary for RESRAD Parameter Inputs (Revision 1), Dated October 18, 2001, from Haley & Aldrich, Incorporated of 150 Mineral Spring Drive, Dover, New Jersey (Underground Engineering & Environmental Solutions), 07801-1635 to J. Patrick Donnachie of GPU Nuclear.
- 3.3 ANL/EAIS-8, "Data Collection handbook to Support Modeling the Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil", Environmental Assessment and Information Sciences Division, Argonne National Laboratory, US DOE, April 1993.
- 3.4 Argonne National Laboratory Report, "K<sub>d</sub> Study of Site Soils and Construction Debris from the SNEC Decommissioning Project", February 2002.
- 3.5 URS Corporation, "Sub-Surface DCGLs for the Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Site Calculation Package", 756 East Winchester Street, Suite 400, Salt Lake City, UT 84107.
- 3.6 Microsoft Excel 97, Microsoft Corporation Inc., SR-2, 1985-1997.

# 4.0 ASSUMPTIONS AND BASIC DATA

4.1 While Cs-137 is the predominant radionuclide present at the SNEC Facility produced during plant operation, other radionuclides of lower concentration have been detected. This calculation determines applicable guideline levels (DCGL) for the eleven (11) radionuclides shown in the following Table.





NOTE: Table 2 radionuclides have been positively detected in samples from the SNEC Facility as reported in the SNEC "Site Characterization Report"(Reference 3.1)

- 4.2 Input for the RESRAD dose modeling computer code requires numerous groundwater hydrology and geological parameters. Many of these parameters have been determined by Haley & Aldrich, Inc., (Reference 3.2). The summary report from Haley & Aldrich includes the latest groundwater hydrology and geological information for the SNEC site. This information has been used as input to the RESRAD code. The Haley & Aldrich summary report is Attachment 2-1 of this calculation.
- 4.3 Argonne National Laboratories (ANL) was contracted by GPU Nuclear to determine distribution coefficients  $(K_d)$  for soils and construction debris from the SNEC Facility site.  $K_d$  values are the ratio of the mass of the solute species absorbed or precipitated on the solids per unit of dry mass of the soil, **S,** to the solute concentration in the liquids, C (Reference 3.3). The values ANL has provided were for a group of sample types including construction debris and flyash as well as soils and bedrock from the site. The analysis results of the ANL study of SNEC site materials is shown in Attachment 3-1.



- 4.4 For purposes of this calculation only one set of  $K_d$  values were input into the RESRAD computer code. The K<sub>d</sub> values selected were the lowest values determined for all data provided by ANL (Reference 3.4). for each element type (or representative element), regardless of the sample from which they came. The reason for the selection of the lowest reported  $K_d$  value was to allow GPU Nuclear to calculate DCGLs for each radionuclide that could then be used for any surface site location. Subsurface volumetric DCGL values were developed separately through a GPU contractor and are reported in Reference 3.5.
- 4.5 This calculation models SNEC site surface materials located within the upper 1 meter of soil layer. The model was assumed to be a 1 meter thick contaminated zone without cover. A basic 10,000 square meter contaminated area is assumed for the base site surface model. Basic RESRAD input parameters are included in Attachment 4-1 through 4-3.
- 4.6 Area factors are developed from the surface modeling parameters. In the base case model the contaminated fraction of plant, meat and milk products is assumed to be equal to one (1) using the resident farmer scenario. Default values of -1 are substituted for these three variables for area sizes less than 10,000 square meters. The three default parameters (-1) appropriately size the contaminated fractions of plants, meat and milk obtained from the site when smaller and smaller area sizes are input into the REARAD computer code.
- 4.7 The most sensitive RESRAD input parameters have been determined using the probabilistic analysis feature of the RESRAD computer code. These parameters are listed on a per nuclide basis, in the accompanying data book titled, "Upper 1 Meter Surface Material DCGLs" (Attachment **<sup>6</sup>** 1). In addition, all RESRAD reports and the computer files are included on the computer file storage CD provided with the data book.
- 4.8 The logic flow for the RESRAD input parameters is included in Attachment 5-1 and 5-2.
- 4.9  $K_d$  values were included in the RESRAD probabilistic analysis. H-3 and C-14  $K_d$  values were included in the probabilistic analysis. However, since ANL determined that the most likely values for these  $K_d$ s were near 1 in either case, a limiting range for these  $K_d$ s was assigned. This range was postulated to be 0 to 0.5 for  ${}^{3}H$  and 0 to 5 for  ${}^{14}C$ . The results of the deterministic analysis are conservatively bounded by the use of these  $K_d$  value ranges.
- 4.10 Area Factor development was performed using the deterministic RESRAD option. As discussed previously, a default value of -1 was substituted for the contamination fractions for Plant, Meat & Milk food. This was done so that RESRAD could scale smaller contaminated areas (10,000 m<sup>2</sup>, 2,500 m2, 400 m2, 100 **in2 ,** 25 in2 and **I** m2).
- 4.11 In addition to computer runs for Area Factors and the 25 mrem/y dose limit, a drinking water RESRAD run was also performed for each radionuclide using only the drinking water option from the pathways options listing. However, a probabilistic analysis was performed for each drinking water analysis prior to running the deterministic analysis in order to determine the sensitive parameters. Once the sensitive parameters were developed, these values were inserted into the deterministic cases for each radionuclide.
- 4.12 Correlated values for each probabilistic run are shown in the following graphic file.



- 4.13 The individual computer file names provided in the included CD are listed below for Am-241. All other radionuclide files have the same format:
	- NEW AM241.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE, 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241 DW.RAD = 4 mrem/y drinking water, 10,000 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241A.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE, 2500 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241B.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE, 400 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241C.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE, 100 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241D.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE, 25 m<sup>2</sup> area dose
	- NEW AM241E.RAD = 25 mrem/y TEDE,  $1 \text{ m}^2$  area dose
- 4.14 The complete probabilistic output files for all radionuclides are contained in the included CD computer disk.
- 4.15 The complete deterministic output files for all radionuclides are contained in the included CD computer disk.



# **5.0 CALCULATIONS**

5.1 All calculations are performed using an Excel spreadsheet or are internal to the RESRAD 6.1 computer code. A "Read Only" CD ROM is provided with this calculation containing all RESRAD computer generated input & output files (see Attachment 6-1).

# **6.0 LIST** OF **ATTACHMENTS**

- 6.1 Attachment 1-1, Summary Table of RESRAD Area Factor output results.
- 6.2 Attachment 2- to 2-13, Haley & Aldrich communication (Reference 3.2).
- 6.3 Attachments 3-1, Summary Table of ANL provided  $K_d$  values (Reference 3.3).
- 6.4 Attachment 4-1 and 4-3, RESRAD input values for probabilistic  $\&$  deterministic analysis.
- 6.5 Attachment 5-1 and 5-2, Logic chart for developing the dose modeling DCGL values.
- 6.6 Attachment 6-1, addendum entitled "Upper 1 Meter Surface Material DCGLs", in a loose leaf binder that includes the RESRAD computer files on a CD.

# **Table 5-15**

# Area Factors (AF) For Open Land Areas

# Based on 25 mrem/y TEDE and Upper 1 Meter Volumetric Surface Modeling



\* Where "XXXXX" is the radionuclide computer file name, as an example "Am241".

NOTE 1: Base case DCGLs (in pCl/g) are for 10,000 square meter surface model only.

NOTE 2: The above set of DCGL values are used only to determine the Area Factors (AF) that will then be applied to the values listed in Table 5-1 (surface materials only).

NOTE 3: When AF values are calculated in the RESRAD computer code, the settings for contaminated fractions for plant food, meat and milk must be re-set to their default condition (-1) in order to allow the computer code to scale the food supply for the size of the areas appropriately.

ATTACHMENT.  $\overline{\mathbf{t}}$ 

ଚ  $6900 - 62 \mathbf{v}$ M .008  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ **Pay** 

 $7425$ 

**UNDERGROUND ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS** 

Haley & Aldrich, Inc. 150 Mineral Spring Drive Dover, NJ 07801-1635 Tel: 973.361.3600 Fax: 973.361.3800 www.HaleyAldrich.com

18 October 2001 File No. 74683-000

GPU Nuclear, Inc. Route 441 South Post Office Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057-0480

Attention: Mr. J. Patrick Donnachie

Summary for RESRAD Parameters Inputs (Revision 1) Subject:

Dear Mr. Donnachie:

As we discussed, the attached document presents the summary for an updated version of RESRAD (Revision 1) containing revised inputs which were identified in our 12 September 2001 report.

The primary reason for the revisions is that recent supplemental field investigations provided site-specific information. These recent reports which were a follow-up to these field investigations are noted in Section I of the attachment while the recommended inputs for RESRAD are incorporated into Section II.

Figure 1, which accompanied our 12 September 2001 is also attached and replaces Figure 1 that was included with the initial RESRAD parameter inputs.

Figure 1 provides a generalized sketch of subsurface conditions. In the center column, subsurface materials are identified (labeled geologic), while hydrogeologic and RESRAD considerations are presented in the left and right columns respectively. Regarding specific inputs to RESRAD, in some instances revisions were made to total porosity, effective porosity, field capacity, hydraulic gradient, hydraulic conductivity and other related parameters.

In Figure 1, under the geologic heading, three subsurface materials are noted: Fill (A), Boulder layer (overburden) unit B and the Siltstone bedrock (C). The interface between the base of the boulder layer and the bedrock (B/C) is also characterized in our 12 September 2001 report.

Based on our 12 September 2001 report we recommended the following considerations for RESRAD. The Fill (A) unit is unsaturated throughout most of the hydrologic year and contains the contaminated zone. The Boulder layer (overburden) unit (B) a feature associated with the rivers depositional processes, is saturated, however, due to its low

ATTACHMENT 2 - 1

**SNEC Facility DCGL Values<br>B. Brosey, 2/5/02** for Volumet **OFFICES** سنے<br>ا Boston Massachusetts ಕ Cleveland δď Ohio isminal Dayton Ohio ğ Denver  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  Colorado P. Donnachie, 2/5/02 Michigan  $\overline{\tilde{S}}$  Hartford  $\epsilon$  Connecticut **C** Los Angeles<br>O California ΰ. Manchester o *wianchester*  $\sum_{\alpha}$  Portland :Aame े PRochester<br>References San Diego California Tucson . Hrizona Vashington District of Columbia

 $8425$ 

GPU Nuclear, Inc. 18 October 2001 Page 2

permeability serves as a hydraulic barrier to flow between the Fill and the underlying Siltstone bedrock. Regarding the saturated interface (B/C) between the Boulder layer (B) and the underlying saturated Siltstone bedrock (C) we recommend using inputs for the bedrock, since the aquifer testing results for hydraulic conductivity for both units are similar (the same order of magnitude). The saturated thickness of the bedrock is much thicker than the interface layer.

If you have any questions regarding Revision 1, please contact us.

Sincerely yours, HALEY & ALDRICH, INC.

 $M_{\rm{max}}$  R. B.

Charles R. Butts, P.G.

Enclosures

c: Mr. Art Paynter Mr. Barry Brosey

**G** \documents\74\74683\74683rnO7.doc

ATTACHMENT **2.** 2

 $9925$ 

The preceding cover letter dated October 18, 2001 provides a brief introduction and overview to this attachment (referred to as Revision 1). This revision contains some new inputs to RESRAD for the SNEC facility, based on the results of supplemental subsurface information from recent site investigations. Revisions have been made in some cases to total porosity, effective porosity, field capacity, hydraulic gradient and hydraulic conductivity and other related parameters.

#### RESRAD Input Parameters:

- R013 Cover depth (m)
- R013 Density of cover material (g/cm<sup>3</sup>)
- R013 Cover depth erosion rate (m/yr)
- R013 Density of contaminated zone  $(g/cm^3)$
- R013 Contaminated zone erosion rate (m/yr)
- R013 Contaminated zone total porosity
- R013 Contaminated zone effective porosity
- R013 Contaminated zone hydraulic conductivity (m/yr)<br>R013 Contaminated zone b parameter
- Contaminated zone b parameter
- R013 Average annual wind speed (m/sec) **R013** Humidity in air  $(g/m<sup>3</sup>)$
- R013 Humidity in air  $(g/m<sup>3</sup>)$
- R013 Evapotranspiration coefficient
- R013 Precipitation (m/yr)
- R013 Irrigation  $(m/yr)$
- R013 Irrigation mode
- **C•** R013 Runoff coefficient
- R013 Watershed area for nearby stream or pond  $(m<sup>2</sup>)$
- R014 Density of saturated zone  $(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)$
- 
- **<sup>q</sup>**R014 Saturated zone total porosity R014 Saturated zone effective porosity
- R014 Saturated zone hydraulic conductivity  $(m/yr)$
- R014 Saturated zone hydraulic gradient
- R014 Saturated zone b parameter
- R014 Water table drop rate (m/yr)
- 
- **PERITH:** Well pump intake depth (m below water table) **R014** Model: nondispersion (ND) or Mass-balance (MB)
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  **C**  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  R014 Well pumping rate  $(m^3/yr)$ 
	- R015 Number of unsaturated zone strata
	- R015 Unsaturated zone 1, thickness **(in)**
	- R015 Unsaturated zone 1, soil density  $(g/cm^3)$
	-
	- R015 Unsaturated zone 1, total porosity<br>**R015** Unsaturated zone 1, effective porosity
	- R015 Unsaturated zone 1, soil-specific b parameter
	- R015 Unsaturated zone 1, hydraulic conductivity (m/yr)
	- **N** Contaminated Zone Thickness (m)
	- **E** Field Capacity

**ATTACHMENT** 2 . 3



#### Section I - Background Information and Objectives for Site Investigations

Several investigations concerning subsurface conditions at the site have been undertaken since 1981. The following section provides a brief summary of the scope, objectives and results for these investigations that formed the basis for the initial RESRAD inputs. However, three additional Haley & Aldrich investigations (one geotechnical and two hydrogeologic reports dated May 1999, March 2001 and August 2001 respectively) provide additional site-specific information which is the basis for this revision (Revision 1). Revisions that were noted in the Haley & Aldrich 12 September 2001 report have been incorporated into Section II.

Groundwater Technology's, Inc. investigation (1981) provided the basic hydrogeologic framework for the site, serving as a guide for future groundwater monitoring. Monitoring well installations have occurred, since the initial investigation in 1981, in a staged approach, first by GEO Engineering (1992, and 1994) and by Haley & Aldrich (1998). Depending on the purpose for monitoring, wells were installed at several locations typically adjacent to site structures of interest. Monitoring wells were installed in both soil and bedrock.

The Preliminary Hydrological Investigation, Saxton Nuclear Station, Saxton, Pennsylvania. 1981 by GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY, INC. reviewed information obtained from the Pennsylvania State Geologist and the United States Geological Survey (Water Resource Branch). In conjunction with geologic reconnaissance, this provided the initial geologic interpretation for the Saxton site. Test borings located near the containment vessel (CV) and . the radioactive waste disposal facility (RWDF) were installed to characterize the soils and bedrock and the groundwater in each media.

Results of investigation lead to following conclusions:

- **<sup>0</sup>**The result of this investigation identified three distinct subsurface materials: fill, a boulder layer with silty clay matrix and bedrock (occurring in this order from ground
- **9 1** Surface when present). **1 Field permeability tests were conducted in boreholes and soil laboratory mechanical <sup>a</sup>**analysis was performed on construction fill materials. Based on the field permeability testing, the highest permeability is at the boulder layer/bedrock interface.
- The boulder layer appears to act as a barrier to the flow of groundwater between the construction fill and the bedrock.
- **\*** Preliminary groundwater level observations in test borings indicate a hydraulic gradient of 10 to 15 feet of a distance of 600-800 feet from the site to the river.
- **W 0** The combination of hydraulic gradient, bedrock permeability, and bedrock structure **<sup>0</sup><sup>0</sup>** (bedding and fracture patterns) indicates that the groundwater has a potential to flow from the site to the river.

The main objective of the Phase I Report of Findings-Groundwater Investigation Saxton Nuclear Experimental Station, Saxton, Pennsylvania, 1992 GEO Engineering was the installation of 8 monitoring wells at locations near the CV and RWDF. The wells were screened (and sanded) across the top bedrock and boulder layer contact. This was an area identified in the 1981 investigation as an area of relatively higher permeability compared to its immediate surroundings. Other pertinent information follows:

*\** A relative elevation survey of each well using an arbitrary datum of 100.00 feet at GEO-1 was completed. Water level information from these eight wells was used to produce **0•** computer-generated contour maps of the groundwater surface. The resultant direction of

ATTACHMENT 2 **10/18/01** 



groundwater flow (groundwater flowing perpendicular from locations with higher contour to those with lower elevations) is in a westerly direction towards the Raystown Branch of Juniata River.

- "\* For the purpose of monitoring radionuclide contamination in groundwater, several wells were installed hydraulically downgradient of the CV and several other upgradient of this structure.
- "\* The investigation confirmed the orientation of potential groundwater pathways in the bedrock (fractures and bedding)
- A recommendation was proposed for the installation of two groundwater monitoring wells in bedrock adjacent to the CV.

In 1994, two gas-actuated monitoring wells devices were installed into the bedrock near the CV as reported in GEO Engineering's, Summary of Field Work, 1994. These two devices were installed into the bedrock to a depth similar to the base of the CV. The devices were installed west and northwest of the CV, at approximately 25 degree angles, to facilitate the interception of groundwater flowing in the bedrock.

**z** During this field activity a 50-foot observation well (GEO-9) was installed in bedrock making **0r0** it possible to obtain water level elevation data from the bedrock unit. As part of this field activity, monitoring wells GEO-1 to GEO-8 were retrofitted with gas actuated samplers.

In The Report of Field Work by Haley & Aldrich (1998) two additional gas actuated groundwater monitoring devices were installed adjacent to the RWDF (to the depth of the sump) to investigate the potential presence of tritium in groundwater. Also, GEO-10 was installed at the bedrock /soil interface to supplement the existing monitoring wells. It was situated downgradient of GEO-5 to evaluate trace amounts of tritium detected in the groundwater at GEO-5.

#### Section **II** - Summary of Descriptions and Justifications for Individual Parameters

#### $\overline{R}013$  Cover depth (m) - Physical Parameter

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear, cover will not be placed over the area for which the modeling applies, and therefore, the cover depth was input as 0.0 meters.

#### **R013** Density of cover material  $(g/cm^3)$  – Physical Parameter

Since the above-described parameter (cover depth) is input as 0.0, density of the cover material is not applicable and therefore not input into the RESRAD model.

#### R013 Cover depth erosion rate (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

Since the above-described parameter (cover depth) is input as 0.0, density of the cover material is not applicable and therefore not input into the RESRAD model.

# R013 Density of contaminated zone (g/cm<sup>3</sup>) – Physical Parameter

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear regarding locations and depths of sampling points with radionuclide concentrations above background, the contaminated zone is located between approximately 0 and 1.0 meters, as shown on Figure 1. Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section I, the geologic stratigraphy at this depth is described as mixed soils, sandy or silty clay to well graded sand and gravel with rock fragments. Based on the May 1982 Department of Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) DM-7.1 Manual, dry weight density for this type of material is in the range of 80 to 120 lb./ft<sup>3</sup> (or 1.28 to 1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). The range for dry weight as opposed to wet, or submerged

ATTACHMENT **2 · 5** 10/18/01

 $12 \frac{75}{4}$ 

weight, was used as the depth of soil contamination is unsaturated. The value input into the RESRAD model was  $1.60$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R013 Contaminated zone erosion rate (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

Based on the Data Collection Handbook to Support Modeling Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil (C. Yu, C. Loureiro, **J.** J. Cheng, L.G. Jones, Y.Y Wang, Y.P Chia, and E. Faillace, Environmental Assessment Division Argonne National Laboratory - www.ead.anl.gov) and assuming future use scenario as row-crop agriculture with an approximate 2% slope, the range of  $9x10^{-5}$  to  $6x10^{-4}$  to m/yr was used. The value input into the RESRAD model was  $3.45x10<sup>-4</sup>$  m/vr, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R013 Contaminated zone total porosity - Physical Parameter

Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section I, the geologic stratigraphy of the contaminated zone is described as mixed soils, sandy or silty clay to well graded sand and gravel with rock fragments. Referencing Maidment, Handbook of Hydrology (pp. 5.14), 1993, these soil types correspond to total porosity ranges of between 0.35 and 0.56. Total porosity is defined as the part of the soil volume that is void space. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.46, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R013 Contaminated zone effective porosity - Physical Parameter

The effective porosity range for the site was based on the soil types. Referencing Maidment, Handbook of Hydrology (pp. 5.14), 1993 expressed the effective porosity range of 0.28 to *G)* 0.54 based on the soil types found at the site. Effective porosity is defined as the percentage **<sup>r</sup>** of inter-connected void space, and is applied to characterize soil. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.41, the average of the above-stated range. This value is not used by RESRAD 6.0.

R013 Contaminated zone hydraulic conductivity  $(m/yr)$  – Physical Parameter

Hydraulic conductivity values are based on the results of field tests, soil characterization, empirical relationships, published values and the experience of our expert consultant. Packer **0** tests (rock pressure tests) were performed during the 1981 Preliminary Hydrogeologic Investigation in order to estimate the range in values for hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock below the site. The packer test involves pumping water under pressure into selected sections of an open borehole isolated using pneumatic packers. Packer tests indicated hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock to range between  $1x10<sup>-3</sup>$  cm/s to negligible flow.

In addition to packer testing for the 1981 report, sieve analyses were conducted on samples of **0"** the silty sand fill and ash fill. Initial estimates of hydraulic conductivity suggested a high of  $1x10^{-6}$  cm/s for the fill.

Based on recent analyses for the purpose of the RESRAD modeling, data from the grain size distributions of the fill collected from the 1981 investigation were entered into several : empirical relationships in order to refine the estimates of hydraulic conductivity. These empirical relationships relate median effective grain diameter (typically, the grain diameter which represents the 10% finer by weight on a grain size distribution) as well as other **'<** properties including sorting and porosity to hydraulic conductivity. The empirical relationships used have been shown to correspond well with field measured hydraulic conductivity (Vukovic, Milan and Soro, Andjelko, Determination of Hydraulic Conductivity of Porous Media from Grain-Size Composition, 1992).

ATTACHMENT **2** - **6** 10/18/01

 $13$  of  $\frac{25}{1}$ 

The following presents one of the empirical equations as taken from Vukovic, Milan and Soro, Andjelko, Determination of Hydraulic Conductivity of Porous Media from Grain-Size Composition, 1992:

Slichter:

$$
K = \frac{g}{v} * C_s * n^{3.287} * d_{10}^2
$$

Where:  $K =$  hydraulic conductivity (cm/sec)  $g =$  acceleration due to gravity: 980 cm/sec<sup>2</sup>  $v =$  kinematic viscosity of water [at 20 deg C]:  $1.125 \times 10^{-2}$  $C_s = constant: 1.0x10^{2}$  $n =$  porosity  $d_{10}$  = effective grain diameter

Hydraulic conductivity values for the fill generated through the empirical relationships using  $\overline{P}$  Preliminary Hydrogeologic Investigation report. Specifically, the original report suggested hydraulic conductivity values ranging from  $1x10^{-6}$  to  $1x10^{-5}$  to cm/s (0.315 to 3.15 m/yr). However, recent evaluations of grain size data (soil samples S-1, S-2 from the 1981 Ground/Water Technology investigation and TB-1, similar to TB-3 from the Haley&Aldrich geotechnical investigation 1999) suggest hydraulic conductivity values ranging from  $1x10^{-6}$  to  $8x10^2$  cm/s (3.62 x 10<sup>-1</sup> to 2.54 x 10<sup>4</sup> to m/yr), which is the range used in the RESRAD modeling. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 32.3 m/yr (1 x **10'**  cm/sec), the geometric mean of the above-stated range.

In addition to methods described above, hydraulic conductivity was qualitatively assessed given the experience of our expert consultant and based on their visual observation during installation of the soil borings and soil sample characterization. The values given above also **0** correspond with published values for silts, sandy silts, and clayey sands (Applied Hydrogeology, Fetter, C.W., 1988).

# R013 Contaminated zone b parameter - Physical Parameter

**P**<br> **O**Mgrain size data were somewhat higher than the estimates initially suggested in the 1981<br>
Preliminary Hydrogeologic Investigation report. Specifically, also engins 10<br>
Dydramic conductivity values ranging from 1AI As described in the Data Collection Handbook to Support Modeling Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil (C. Yu, C. Loureiro, J.-J. Cheng, L.G. Jones, Y.Y Wang, Y.P Chia, and E. Faillace, Environmental Assessment Division Argonne National Laboratory www.ead.anl.gov) the soil-specific exponential b parameter is an empirical and dimensionless parameter that is used to evaluate the saturation ratio (or the volumetric water saturation) of the soil. Published data based on laboratory testing indicate a range of the exponential b parameter is between 4.05 and 7.12. The RESRAD input for this parameter was based on the values corresponding from sand to loam in Table 13.1 of the Data Collection Handbook. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 5.6, the average of the above-stated range.

R013 Average annual wind speed (m/sec) **-** Physical Parameter

The input value for this parameter were based on data provided from the National Climatic Data Center (1999 Annual Summary Local Climatological Data for Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania). The RESRAD input reflects the minimum monthly mean wind speed (3.13 m/sec or 7.0 mph) and maximum monthly mean wind speed (4.83 m/sec or **10.8** mph) over a

ATTACHMENT **2 7 10/18/01** 

42 year span. The value input into the RESRAD model is the annual mean wind speed based on 42 years of data of  $4.07$  m/sec (9.1 mph).

R013 Humidity in air  $(g/m^3)$  – Physical Parameter The default value of  $8.0 \text{ g/m}^3$  was used in the RESRAD model.

# R013 Evapotranspiration coefficient - Physical Parameter

The value range for this input parameter was based primarily on guidance in the Data Collection Handbook to Support Modeling Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil, and was estimated through an iterative solution with other parameter values including precipitation, irrigation and runoff coefficient. The range was based on a runoff coefficient **(Cr)** range of 0.3 to 0.4; a precipitation range (P<sub>r</sub>) of 0.688 to 1.327 m/yr; and an irrigation value (IR<sub>r</sub>) of 0.2 (refer to individual parameter justifications, as described below). Using these values, an evapotranspiration rate (ETr) was estimated (this value is not used implicitly in RESRAD, but is an intermediate step in calculating the evapotranspiration coefficient). Based on the Evaporation Atlas of the United States (NOAA, 1982), evaporation is estimated to be approximately half of the total (annual) precipitation for the Saxton, PA area. Given this, the **z** evapotranspiration coefficient was estimated as follows:

$$
C_e = \frac{ET_r}{(1 - C_r)^* P_r + IR_r}
$$

Calculated Range of  $C_e = 0.50$  to 0.67 m/yr

The value input into the RESRAD model was 0.59, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R013 Precipitation (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

The input values for this parameter were based on data provided from the National Weather Service (1999 Annual Summary Local Climatological Data for Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania). The RESRAD input range reflects the 30 year minimum (0.688 m/yr or 27.09 inches/yr) and maximum (1.327 m/yr or 52.24 inches/yr) annual precipitation amounts. The value input into the RESRAD model was 0.936 m/yr (36.85 inches/yr), which is the **30** year annual precipitation average.

# R013 Irrigation (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

**Based on the agricultural use scenario, the default value for irrigation (0.2 m/yr) was input** into the RESRAD model.

R013 Irrigation mode - Physical Parameter It was assumed that the irrigation would be distributed overhead.

#### R013 Runoff coefficient - Physical Parameter

The input values for this parameter were based on data provided from two sources: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigation Atlas HA-710 - Average Annual Runoff in the United States, 1951-1980; and Table 10.1 of the Data Collection Handbook to Support Modeling Impacts of Radioactive Material in Soil. The RESRAD input range represents coefficient values corresponding to an agricultural environment comprised of flat to rolling land (slopes of 0.3 to 6.1 m/mi). The corresponding range for runoff coefficient is 0.3 to 0.4. The value input into the RESRAD model was 0.35, the average of the above-stated range.

**A---,ACHMENT 2** - **8** 



# R013 Watershed area for nearby stream or pond  $(m^2)$  – Physical Parameter

Based on the topographic quadrangles for Saxton, PA and Hopewell, PA, the watershed area surrounding the facility was estimated as the total area of land bounded by the Juniata River and surrounding topographic high areas. The value input in the RESRAD model was  $5x10^6$  m<sup>2</sup>.

#### R014 Density of saturated zone  $(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)$  – Physical Parameter

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear regarding water levels in the vicinity of the site, the saturated zone begins at between 0.7 and 2.3 meters below ground surface. Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section I, the geologic stratigraphy at this depth and below, is described as mixed soils and bedrock. Based on the May 1982 Department of Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) DM-7.1 Manual, density for this type of material is in the range of 80 to 120 lb./ft<sup>3</sup> (or 1.28 to 1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). The range for wet weight was used as this pertains to saturated soil/rock. The value input into the RESRAD model was  $1.60$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, the average of the above-stated range.

Both Saturated zone total porosity - Physical Parameter<br>
Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section Section Care and Care and Care considered a murd control in the Care Considered saturated minimistation o Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section I, the geologic stratigraphy of the saturated zone is described as mixed soils and bedrock. As denoted in Figure 1, units B, B/C and C are considered saturated and only units  $B/C$  and C subject to any appreciable flow of groundwater. Further, based on the thicker saturated thickness of unit C in relationship to unit B/C and other pertinent information we recommended combining the interface and bedrock units (B/C and C) and using values for the siltstone bedrock for the RESRAD inputs.

Referencing Domenico and Schwartz, Physical and Chemical Hydrogeology (1990, p. 29) the siltstone bedrock's total porosity ranges between 0.31 and 0.41. Total porosity in bedrock is related to fracture porosity, which for this rock type, provides the majority of the void space. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.36, the average of the abovestated range.

#### R014 Saturated zone effective porosity - Physical Parameter

The effective porosity range is based on bedrock. Referencing Domenico and Schwartz, Physical and Chemical Hydrogeology (1990, p.29) expressed the effective porosity range of 0.005 to 0.05 based on shale bedrock. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.028, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R014 Saturated zone hydraulic conductivity (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

The hydraulic conductivity for the bedrock based on testing of bedrock wells OW-3R, OW-**\*-C** 4R, OW-5R and OW-7R is'67.91 m/yr, the geometric mean of these four test results, (value **6** reported in Haley & Aldrich's August 2001 report) in the saturated zone. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 67.91 m/yr.

R014 Saturated zone hydraulic gradient - Physical Parameter

The hydraulic gradient in the bedrock based on water level information recorded on January<br>
11, 2001 ranges between 0.02 and 0.03 (gradient between the tunnel and the river and the si<br>
to the discharge tunnel respectively 11, 2001 ranges between 0.02 and 0.03 (gradient between the tunnel and the river and the site to the discharge tunnel respectively). A review of water level information on June 13, 2001 indicated a decrease in the hydraulic gradient from the tunnel to the river (0.013) while the value from the site to the tunnel remained the same as that calculated for the January water

ACHM **9 ý10/18/01 <sup>7</sup>----** ATTACHMENT\_

 $16$  of  $\frac{25}{1}$ 

level information. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.02, the average of the lower value of the tunnel to the river and the value of the site to the tunnel.

# R014 Saturated zone b parameter - Physical Parameter

Refer to the discussion of the zone b parameter for the contaminated zone, presented above. The recommended value input in the RESRAD model is 5.6.

# R014 Water table drop rate (m/yr) - Physical Parameter

Annual variation of the groundwater elevation at the SNEC facility is approximately 0.5 meters in the fill deposits and approximately 1.6 meters in the bedrock unit. This information is based on water level measurements collected over the period March 1999 to April 2001 by GPU Nuclear personnel. Although seasonal variation is up to approximately 1.6 meters, and possibly could be higher, a decline in long term groundwater elevations is expected to be significantly less, if any, since water table conditions are moderated by the strong hydrogeologic influence of the Juniata River, including existing river elevation controls. In addition, there is no unusually high consumptive use of groundwater locally. Based on this, a value of 0 m was input into the RESRAD model.

# R014 Well pump intake depth (m below water table) - Physical Parameter

Based on recommended well pump intake depths (Driscoll, Fletcher, Groundwater and Wells, Second Edition, 1986) and the subsurface conditions as described in Section I, the range for the well pump intake depth was input as 10 to 50 meters below the water table. Actual pump intake depths may vary based on the actual depth of the well, in addition to actual well yield and usage requirements. The value input into the RESRAD model was 30 m, the average of the above-stated range.

# R014 Model: nondispersion (ND) or Mass-balance (MB) **-** Physical Parameter

Guidelines from Appendix C - Rev 0 of the NMSS Decommissioning SRP indicate that the nondispersion approach should be acceptable when the area of contamination is known to be larger than the assumed capture area of a hypothetical well. The guidance provides a calculation to estimate the capture area of a hypothetical well, however the calculation assumes a flat water table, which, based on subsurface investigations decried in Section **1,** is not the case at the SNEC facility. The gradient based on data from monitoring wells collected as part of the 18 November 1992 report entitled Phase I Report of Findings Groundwater Investigation, ranges from 0.02 to 0.04. Given static hydraulic gradient, . •hydraulic conductivity, and saturated thickness of the geologic strata, potential capture zone **0** *C* of a hypothetical well can be estimated as follows:

=r " *\*Downgradient flow boundary (null point):* 

$$
X_{l} = \frac{Q}{2\pi * K * b * i} \qquad X_{l} = 286.2/2\pi * 67.91 * 50 * 0.02} \qquad X_{l} = 0.67m
$$

**C)** *\*Transverse boundary (width of capture):* 

$$
Y_{l} = \frac{Q}{K * b * i}
$$
\n
$$
Y_{l} = \frac{286.2}{(67.91 * 50 * 0.02)}
$$
\n
$$
Y_{l} = 4.21m
$$

**A 77ACHMENT 2 10 10/18/01** 

 $17425$ 

#### Where,

 $Q = \text{average pumping rate of RESRAD input range (m<sup>3</sup>/yr) }$  $K = \text{average hyd}$  *raulic conductivity of RESRAD input range (m/yr)* 

*b* = *saturated thickness of the aquifer (m)* 

 $i = average hydraulic gradient of RESRAD input range (m/m)$ 

- Equation taken from Wellhead Protection Strategies, US Geological Society, 1991.
- Since the transverse boundary is equivalent to a linear distance (width of capture zone), to extrapolate to a total capture area, the transverse boundary is multiplied times pi.

 $A_c = Y_t * \pi$   $A_c = 13.23m^2$ 

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear, the area of contamination ranges from 182.4 to 1,161  $\text{m}^2$ . Based on the above equations, an estimate of capture zone for a hypothetical well at the SNEC facility is approximately 13.23 **in<sup>2</sup> .** Based on this, the area of the contamination is greater than the capture area of a hypothetical well, and therefore the non-dispersion mode was applied for the RESRAD modeling.

#### R014 Well pumping rate  $(m<sup>3</sup>/yr)$  – Physical Parameter

Based on data collected in the American Water Works Association Research Foundation's Residential End Use Study, 1998, the typical usage volume for a single domestic well varies EXTERD THE TEXT THE VALUE IS 1999 TO 2007.3 m<sup>3</sup>/yr. The value input into the RESRAD model was  $\approx$  286.2 m<sup>3</sup>/yr, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R015 Number of unsaturated zone strata - Physical Parameter

**<** As noted earlier, the contaminated zone is present to 1.0 m below ground surface for the modeled area (Figure 1). The saturated zone begins at approximately 1.5 m below ground surface, and given the seasonal variation of the water table, the unsaturated zone exists (and varies) from 1 to 1.5 m below ground surface. During a period of one to two months in the **0** spring water levels may be higher than throughout most of the hydrologic year resulting in a period of transient saturation. Therefore, 1 was input for the number of unsaturated zone strata.

#### R015 Unsaturated zone 1, thickness (m) - Physical Parameter

As noted above, the unsaturated zone exists (and varies) from 1 to 1.5 m below ground surface. Therefore, the unsaturated zone thickness ranges from 0 to 0.5 m (see Figure 1). The value input into the RESRAD model was 0.25 m, the average of the above-stated range.

### R015 Unsaturated zone 1, soil density  $(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)$  – Physical Parameter

Based on subsurface investigations as described in Section I, the geologic stratigraphy at this depth is described as mixed soils, sandy or silty clay to well graded sand and gravel with rock fragments. Based on the May 1982 Department of Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) DM-7.1 Manual, dry weight density for this type of material is in the **-Z** range of 80 to 120 lb./ftO (or 1.28 to 1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup> ). The range for dry weight as opposed to wet, or submerged weight, was used as the depth of soil contamination is unsaturated. The value input into the RESRAD model was  $1.60$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R015 Unsaturated zone 1, total porosity - Physical Parameter

The total porosity range for the site in the unsaturated zone is based in three soil types (fill materials). Specifically, soil samples S-1 and S-2 from the 1981 Ground/Water Technology<br>9

$$
\wedge \text{ 2.11}
$$



investigation and TB-1, similar to TB-3 from the Haley & Aldrich geotechnical investigation 1999 were selected. Referencing Maidment, Handbook of Hydrology (pp. 5.14), 1993, the on-site soil types correspond to a range in total porosity between 0.35 and 0.56. Total porosity is defined as the part of the soil volume that is void space. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.46, the average of the above-stated range.

#### R015 Unsaturated zone 1, effective porosity - Physical Parameter

The effective porosity range for the site was based on the soil types. Referencing Maidment, Handbook of Hydrology (pp. 5.14), 1993, the range of 0.28 to 0.54 for effective porosity is the recommended as the appropriate parameter input based on the soil types found at the site. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.41, the average of the above stated range.

R015 Unsaturated zone 1, soil-specific b parameter - Physical Parameter Refer to the discussion of the zone b parameter for the contaminated zone, presented above. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 5.6.

### R015 Unsaturated zone 1, hydraulic conductivity  $(m/yr)$  – Physical Parameter **w**  $\overline{M}$

Refer to the discussion of hydraulic conductivity for the contaminated zone, presented above.  $\frac{6}{9}$   $\frac{1}{10}$ As the geologic profile does not change significantly with regard to hydraulic conductivity,  $\frac{2}{N} \frac{\Omega}{m}$ the input range for the contaminated zone hydraulic conductivity was used again as the range for the unsaturated zone hydraulic conductivity. The recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 32.3 m/yr (1 x  $10<sup>4</sup>$  cm/sec), the geometric mean of three site soil types.

■ Contaminated Zone Thickness (m) – Physical Parameter

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear and for the specific application of the  $\mu$   $\leq$ **RESRAD** modeling, the contaminated zone is considered to be the upper 1 meter  $\mathbb{X}$ throughout the modeled area (Figure 1). The value input into the RESRAD model was therefore 1 meter.

 $\mathbb{F}$  Field Capacity - Physical Parameter (All  $\mathbb{F}$ 

Based on information provided by GPU Nuclear and RESRAD developers at Argonne  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ National Laboratory, field capacity, a value included in the RESRAD 6.0 code, refers **.- 0**  to specific retention as it applies to unsaturated soil. Based on published values for  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ field capacity for soil materials classified as similar to on-site soil (Brady, The Nature  $\frac{6}{5}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ & Property of Soils, 1974) field capacity ranges from 0.079 to 0.192. The  $\frac{a}{b}$   $\frac{a}{c}$ recommended value input into the RESRAD model is 0.136, the average of the  $\frac{1}{6}$   $\frac{1}{6}$ minimum and maximum estimated values. **C** 

#### $E$ ndnote:  $\begin{bmatrix} \sim & \sim \\ \sim & \sim \end{bmatrix}$

*Anthony Bonasera originally compiled the list of RESRAD input parameters and references supporting the values. The equations presented in the text were hand checked by Daniel G. Eunch of Haley & Aldrich, Inc in March*  2001. *The June 2001 updated version includes revisions to the evapotranspiration and model parameter equations due to changes in the input parameters. The 12 June 2001 version includes edits to the evapotranspiration, precipitation values (increased precipitation decimal places, field capacity, hydraulic conductivity, effective porosity and model parameter equations. Nancy van Dyke & Charlie Butts of Haley & Aldrich, Inc checked the June 2001 equation revisions. As you requested, Haley & Aldrich, Inc. created afile of citations for this document, this file is being maintained and updated for GPU's future reference.* 

G.'documents\74\74683\74683mO6 **doc** 

 $2 \cdot 12$  10/18/01

**P3** *Qf* **z** 

# **SKETCH OF UNDERGROUND MATERIALS**



SNEC SAMPLES ASSAYED FOR Kd VALUES AT ANL Sample 2 Sample 3 Sample 4 Sample 5 Sample 6 Sample 7 Sample 8 Sample 1 CV Area, Near River (composite) Sediment South of Warehouse Switchyard CV Area CV Area CV Area SSGS SE Sump by Old Access Road CV Area Location Sample Unweathered **Back-Fill Materials** Fill Soll **Clay Material** Weathered Bedrock Bedrock (crushed) Material Type Sediment **Construction Debris** Fly Ash & Cinders AZ-129, 15' N by 12 Bank Above Bridge & Off Tip AZ-129, 14' W by 10' Reference Grid No. &  $1018'E$ AV-133  $\mathbf{N}$ BA-129, 1' N BA-129, 1' N by 2' W AZ-128, 13' N Of Island AJ-131, 21' N by 2' W Coordinates  $-80912$  $-800$  $-800$ Lowest Value | Highest Value  $-787E1$  $-811'$  $-795'$  $-8108$ Depth (Grade=811' El) 0'-1' Below Sediment Surface AVERAGE | SIGMA | Sigma as a % Elements  $00%$ ัง Iн  $-1$  $-1$ -1  $\bullet$ 1  $-1$  $-1$  $-1$  $-1$  $-1$ टि ᠴ  $\overline{4}$  $-1$  $\overline{4}$ ন  $\overline{\mathbf{t}}$  $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$  $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$  $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}$ 00%  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{1}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ 10000 7100 4082 57 5%  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ 10000 10000 10000 1300 1500 1300 10000 4000 Īм 10000  $157$ 34 2% 500 500 500 80 600 460 Zr, Nb 500  $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ , Nb 600  $\overline{80}$ 500 500 144 1%  $1.3$  $\overline{54}$  $\overline{16}$  $23$  $\overline{\mathsf{r}}$  $8.1$  $54$  $54$  $8.6$  $\overline{1.4}$  $1.6$  $1,3$  $\overline{1,3}$ Te 5200 1355  $\overline{2375}$ 175 3%  $\overline{0}$ 5200  $226$  $\overline{\mathbf{16}}$  $\overline{u}$  $\overline{37}$  $\overline{16}$ 5200  $\overline{17}$  $34$ 106  $152$ 34 1% 400 400  $600$ 400 160 600 445 |Pu 160 600 400 Pu 600 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000  $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$  $00%$ Ce, Eu 1000 1000 Ce, Eu 1000 1000 1000 1000 900  $283$  $314%$ ico 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 200 1000 1000 200 <sub>co</sub> 2864 28341 2131 28341 9453  $9205$ 97.4% lcs 13618 9746 2433  $2131$ 14149  $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ 2340 10000 10000  $\overline{\bullet}$ 00% Fe 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 Fe.  $00%$ Am, Cm 1000 1000  $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}$ 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 Am, Cm 1000  $\overline{11}$  $\overline{24}$  $\overline{114}$ 60  $\overline{11}$ 476 100  $155$ 1557%  $\overline{|\mathbf{s}_r|}$  $\overline{28}$ 475 lsr 60 25 1100 1800 5000 1900  $163$ 5200 2291 1838 80 2% İзь 1100  $153$ 6200 2070  $\overline{\mathbf{3b}}$ 762% 48553 81000 31000 98000 9700 26000 9700 160000 63713 Pb. লি 46000 1.60E+05 68000

 $\bullet$  .

s<br>b

B. Brosey, 2/5/02<br>SAEC Facility DCGL Values for Volumetric Contamination, Calculation No. 6900-02-008, Rev 0. Astro Contamined D<br>SAEC Facility DCGL Values for Volumetric Contamination, clientifying and the local state of

ATTACHMENT 3 - 1



ستعفر

SNEC INPUT 5-13-02  $\overline{ATTACHMENT} \quad 4 \quad \overline{4}$ 

**C** 

**9:ý**

 $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\bullet$ 



 $22$  $\tau$ **0ý Nl**  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ "

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

SNEC INPUT 5-13-02 8/9/2002

 $\sim$ 



NOTE: ANL Kd values may be "greater than" values. The ANL Min, value is the lowest reported value for this element and the ANL Max. value is the highest reported value. NOTE: Items in RED type face are SNEC input values.

NOTE: Items with BLUE background are D & D default values, while items with a background are RESRAD default values. Unlisted parameters are RESRAD defaults.

ATTACHMENT  $4.3$ 



 $|\%$ 

 $rac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

**-QAJ**

Figure 6-1 (Resident Farmer Scenario)



ATTACHMENT **S-** *-* **/**

 $25$ <br> $4\frac{25}{6900-02-008}$ <br> $700$ <br> $700$ 



ATTACHMENT<sub>5</sub>