

From: Loren Plisco
To: [redacted] Randy Musser
Date: 5/13/02 11:14AM
Subject: Re: DPV

ex6

[redacted] - w46

We have received your differing professional view of May 1. As stated in MD 10.159 and ROI-2304, we do encourage any conscientious expression of professional judgement that disagrees with a management decision or policy position.

As stated in ROI 2304, DPVs should be submitted either thru the chain of command or directly to the Regional Administrator. We typically try to forward the DPVs to a panel within 5 days, but since your DPV didn't follow the prescribed process in the ROI, we will start the 5 day clock today. As stated in the ROI, the statement should also include a list of panel members recommended by you - if you have any recommendations, please forward them and we will use the list to establish the panel.

The panel will be in contact with you once it has been established.

Loren

>>> [redacted] 5/13/2002 9:21:18 AM >>>

I submitted this as a DPO to the EDO office since I thought this was an enforcement policy issue which only headquarters could change. The EDO office has communicated back to me that this should first be submitted to the region as a DPV.

Therefore I am submitting what I send to the EDO as a DPV to the region. I believe you have the CR referenced and the inspection report. Attached is the e-mail from EDO office, the discussion sent to EDO, statements from [redacted]

CC: Mallett, Bruce; McCree, Victor

C/40