

From: Charles R. Ogle /C2
To: Williamson, Len, C2
Date: 5/23/02 7:38AM
Subject: Re: DPV

Thanks for the reply. The problem is that the ARB meeting minutes only state that "No OI interest." I was trying to figure out how the agency decided that there was no willfulness involved in this situation and that an NCV was appropriate. I was looking for the insights that caused OI to decline reviewing this issue.

If you can't remember the specifics, is there some generic guidance that you can point me to that describes how OI decides whether or not to review a situation for wrongdoing? Thanks.

>>> Len Williamson 05/23/02 07:31AM >>>
Chuck;

I'm no longer in RII and I don't recall the details of the discussion but the ARB summary for the ARB should explain the ARB's decision.

Len

>>> Charles R. Ogle 05/22/02 02:31PM >>>
I've been tasked to chair a DPV panel looking into the NRC's handling of an issue at Turkey Point. The issue involved [REDACTED] belief that [REDACTED] presence was announced by the licensee's security personnel. The issue was identified as an NCV in TP [REDACTED] believes that the issue should have been treated as a cited violation.

This issue was brought to an ARB that you attended on February 5, 2002. It was determined to not be an allegation and NO OI interest is denoted on the ARB meeting summary.

Would you be available to discuss this issue with me and one of the members of the panel this Friday morning say at 9 or 9:30? We are trying to get at how the NRC determined that the violation was not willful. Thanks.

CC: Carroll, Robert; Dixon-Herrity, Jennifer