CR / Site VP.

↳ Independent reviewer ⇒ No indication that inspectors were being monitored

References corporate security report –
- different conversation than what he had happened.

- Corporate guy → FPL/Security stuff

– Why didn't talk to RI → No good answer from licensee.

- Different than Roger conversation NRC walking around → different conversation.

– Not a strong feeling that this was guy was strong.

[redacted] → Told him to interview Roger He did come back a few days later ⇒ His report was already on the street.

3/28 Next day → Their attorney called Carolyn Evans – Would fight this to the end. Thinks Randy Musser communicated this was related to his inquiries as to a followup report on 3/28

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 6
FOIA 2002-361

Asked to follow up with the Site V.P. → He indicated, not aware of 2nd corporate security interview of Reyes.

Site V.P. ⇒ New caught in the middle unsure what to do.

Site VP called RA → (After the exit) cited violation → [redacted] ⇒ Exited with cited violation + lawyer call → Site VP calls — RA —

Day / two before report goes out → N Cited. ✓

Report sent in with violation ⇒ Randy Musser.

Randy — [redacted] ⇒ Strongly disagree — Talked to Vic + Lou Anne.

Disagreed with it on concurrence page.

No additional — review.

Why NCV →

∴ ① If entered into C.A program

→ What's wrong —

→ Visiting inspector may be in the area / asking security question is not what the purpose was of the call.

→ Plant Manage / site Security → Site VP
Not ok.

→ Stronger discipline
→ Didn't do anything wrong

⇒ A more in-depth review — extent of condition.

Clearly — Back to OI ⇔ ARB — may consider it.?

[redacted] — already reviewed.

He was told of another case similar in RIV.

[redacted] — Talked to Farley RI.
OI → security ∴ Deny it

Who was Son was the B.C. initially

Musser was the B.C. on the report.

Key card → 5 call link doesn't make sense to

Roger - Reyes - Security manager - very defensive. Didn't even look into it with response. Guaranteeing it wasn't security.