

5/29

Victor McGree

- * Lady - approached him when it happened.
- * Legitimate issue - need to follow through.
- * F.R. to Lady => discuss some other due to managing in columns. Statement of conductors.
- * Institutional norms / place.
- * Point drafted : involved RE - movement. Within Customer => Change called => their remain didn't - note agree. Would it support the violation.
- * VP - called Luis. Investigation didn't share the same. He (VP) could /^{not} take investigation : action since the "independent investigation" wouldn't be substantial. Didn't fight violation.
- * Park being proposed -
 - Parties - talked to Vic & Anne -
 - communication with licensee.
 - suggested
- * M. P. photo directed Rudy to follow customer.
 - 1. Need to agree with violation? Licensee takes C.A. necessary - sufficient don't

- No collections or exhibits \Rightarrow didn't look at spot of outcome.
- Didn't investigate. IRS.
- ~~the~~ Question on wills, threshold

Investigation necessary.

Kathy - need to follow \Rightarrow Dealt with by Louise.

→ AB summary \rightarrow July - review \Rightarrow the meeting minutes.