5/29

Victor McCree

· Rady – Approached him when it happened.

* · Legitimate issue – need to followup.

F.b. to Rady ⇒ dissemes some followup due to management involvement. – Statements of consideration.

→ Institutional process/place.

→ Report drafted : Involved RI – involvement. ? w/licen

* Carolyn → Lawyer called → their review didn't ~~vote~~ agree. Wouldn't support the violation.

→ VP – Called Luis. Investigation didn't share the same. He (VP) couldn't take ~~investigation~~ action since their independent investigation wouldn't substantiate. Wouldn't fight violation.

Report being prepared –

Rady – talked to Vic's Anne –
Also some mis – communication with licensee.

B/20

He ~~Abide~~ suggested directed Rady follow criterion.

Need to agree with violation? Licensee takes C.A. – necessary – sufficient don't

→ No recollection of willful ⇒ didn't look at that criteria.

→ Didn't investigate: ARB.

→ ~~[illegible]~~ Question on willful & threshold

→

Investigation necessary.

→ Rady – need to followup ⇒ Dealt with by licensee.

→ ARB summary → Farley – review ⇒ the meeting minutes.