Facility: F	FitzPatrick Date of Examination: Nov	vember 5, 2001
Examinat	tions Developed by: NRC	
Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examiner's Initials
6/5/01	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	5/30/01
6/5/01	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	5/30/01
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	5/30/01
06/5/01	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	5/30/01
[8/6/01]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	7/9/01
8/13/01	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	7/3/01
8/20/01	 Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e) 	7/11/01
9/17/01	8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	9/28/01
10/5/01	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	10/17/01
10/19/01	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	10/24/01
10/26/01	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	10/29/01
10/22/01	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	10/1/01
10/29/01	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	9/28/01 10/29/01
10/29/01	 Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204) 	10/29/01
10/29/01	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	10/29/01
10/29/01	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	10/29/01
The with	rget dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notif ey are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis h the facility licensee. plies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.	ication letter. in coordination

ES-201

Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Facility	: Fitz Patrick Date of Examination:	Nov	J, Z	001
			Initial	
ltem	Task Description	а	b*	c#
1. W	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	kg	NA	ghr
R I T	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	56	NA	qind
T E	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	50	AA	out
Ň	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	4	NA	NAN
2.	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	8	NA	gen
S I M	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	6	NA	AM
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	\$	NA	dim/
3. W / T	 a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks. 	¥	AN	sport
	 b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA. 	4g	NA	stm
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance- based activities.	3	NA	q m
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	ø	AN	4m
4.	 Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section. 	16	NA	OKIN
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	40	A'A	gim
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	X	NA	(Hm
R A	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	8	NA	ým/
L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	K	NA	Ym
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	8	AN A	gan
c. NRC	hor <u>Alan Blamey (M) 127</u> ility Reviewer (*) <u>NA</u> C Chief Examiner (#) <u>J.H.Williams / MWulliams</u> C Supervisor R.J. Confe			
Note:	* Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c:" chief examiner concurrence required.			<u></u>

ES-201		
E3-201	Examination Socurity Ages and	
	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES 201 2
		Form ES-201-3

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>SMOVOL</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement examination security may have been compromised.

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \underline{Swovol} . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DA	TENOTE
1. Richard W. DeVercelly		Rola W. Duky	8/28/01 Rate Willing	_11/14/01 A11
2. PETER R. RYAN	Control foon Supervisor		9/6/01	11/27/01 written-all
3. TONY ROBERTS	NUCLEAR TRAMINE SPICIALIST	Completer 1	9/28/01 Tom/Bohr	1/14/04 (71)
4. Maring W. Droke 5. 12 ndell Kenner	NUCTRE Spacialist	freeny a thanke	1/28/01 SEENATIANTO F	AX & "127/01 A 11
6. DONALD TORBIT	ASSIST. OPS Manager		10/3/01 and tul	(+1/27/0) Written-all
7. Lichons Weened	Ny U.A (un 1406 Rom OPERATOR	Donald Hellet	- 10/301 b cona 1 cht	1/2661 Waitfan-all
8. Kerry S Allen		Rightism al	- volsol Maring	- 1127/21 writer all
9. Michael A Fochtma	Hurs a Forther Sim Support	Kenny Celly	10/3/01 perus Celle	
10. GANY E. FRONK (AA NIG THE SPECIALIST	The to do	- 10/3/ c/ Matur & Focher	11/14(c) Sim Part - fors
11. Barban Page	Duchury Page - Cliste		10/22/0 15	11/2601 Writtensport
12. David E. Burch	Senior Design Ving EOPs	Jan U. Balen	- 1944 of Darkmin Paye	11/27/61 Written attach
13. Thomas L. Harriman	NELCA- TRAINING Specquiet	Ehon Ra	16/24/01 (Wardy, Buch	11/26/01 Written-attach
14. MARKA, CARPENTIER	SOFTWARE SPECIALIST	Mark	- 11/aloi thim I Vit	- "/iv/or Sim Openations
15. VILTOL WALZ	OPERATIONS SHIFT MANAGEN	mist	11/6/01 26 00 B	Il/14/01 Sim Support
			- in our or way	12/4/01 5.m. observer

NOTES:

ES-201		
	Examination Security Agreement	E 50 55 5
		Eorm ES-201-3
		Form ES-201-3

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

Lacknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>Histor</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of $\frac{n/s/at}{s}$. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	, SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE	
4. <u>PAMICH BENNY</u> 5. <u>TOM TLLIMPTON</u> 6. <u>JOSEPH ROMONOUSU</u> 7.	OPS Training Spensor Simulator Support OPSTRAINING LOI PA TRAINING LOI PA SHIFT MRNAGER STRAINING INSTRUCTION	At Wernie Denne Thos: W. Plant Job. Studie	-1/1/01 E	How hem -	<u> </u>	m observer m Support m Support m observer m Surray Ate Escort
8			<u> </u>	······································		
9						
10						
11						
12						
13.		······································				
14.					<u> </u>	
15						

NOTES:

x ,		
ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>SMVO</u> as of the date of my signature. Fagree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>SNUVCI</u>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance leedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DA	TENOTE	
1. Richard W. DeVercally	Nuclear Training Specialist	All S.W. Outry	8/28/01 fall Walter	- 11/11/01	All written-all
2. PETER R. RIAN	Cantral From Supravisor		-9/6/01	11/27/01	All
3. Tur Rosizta	NUCLOBE TRAMMUR SPECIALISE .	Con the p	- 9/25/01 - Territorfie		- F41)
4. Maring He Droke	MUC TRG Spacetist	July State	- Will all Short		Written all
6. DANA TARBIT	FISSIST, OPS Manager	Jonely 16th	Use/ population		HR - MATTING
7. Richard WEANER	Now Conter Rom Oper Man 12	Rollusing of	- 10/3/01 Ralwa	_ 11/12/21	Writing all
8. Kerry S Allen	Kerry & Cillen SM op.	King f aller	01701		Locuitie (1- all Signal tor - pari
9. Michaol A Fochtma	Auchil a Footor Sin Support	Real Proton	10/3/ ct Mark a Forber	<u>//////</u>	upper to your
10. GAMY E. FRONK (ANA NUM THE SPECIALIST	- for	10/22/0 25-0-	_ <u>"''''</u>	- WASTERIU - ATTAC
11. Barbane tage	Doutres the - chik	parkuru luge	_ if if of Darlingh Bara	11/24/01	Waitten - Attach
12. David E. Burch	Sontor Delign Elig 18009	Wanter Bidch	16/24/01 (Driver) Sunch		Sim. Ofune hor
13. Thomas L. Hearr: Mad	Nelca- Training Sperautel	Ehonn K.	"Jabi Karen K. M	_ <u>_ pyp</u>	is im support
14. MARKA, CARPENTIEX	SOMMARL SALCIANS	The state	- 116/01 shares	!!!!lo!	- Cin- ObFrance
15. VILTOL WALZ	OOGRATIONS SHIFT MANAGEM	mity	//6/q/		

NOTES:

27.01

TUE

ю

TX RX NO

197

2/11 2002 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

1 31 24

Operating Test Quality Checklist

ES-301	Operating Test Quality Checklist	Form	IES-	301-3	3		
				-	Ŭ.		
Facility:	Fitz Patrick Date of Examination: 11/5-9/01 Operation	g Test	Numbe	er: /	No.		
			Initial	s			
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA	a	b*	c#			
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	Æ	13/m	om			
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	15	N/A	gian			
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	Б	Jin	and	N3		
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	B	When	gym!			
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	5	Jh	qini			
	2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA						
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:						
	æ	Njr	ytri	NI N2			
b.	The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	Þ	Nia	gan	-		
с.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	₽	vin	cjal	- - - -		
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	8	\$ }#	gar!			
	3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA						
а.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	*	NA	NM			
	Printed Name / Signature		Date				
a. Autho	Alan Blamey / GLIRT		4/28/	61			
b. Facili	ty Reviewer(*) NA						
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) J. Herb Williams (Julinto Williams 9/28/01							
d. NRC Supervisor <u>Richard Conte</u> / <u>Storet</u> <u>9/20/01</u>							
NOTE:	* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.						
		_			-		

23 of 26 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

Review based a validation connets

Atwillion 11/21/21/21/ Dig Com 10/21/01

I

1

1

ES-301	Simulator Scenario Quality Checkl	ist	Form	n ES	-301-4	Vote
Facility:	FitzPotrick Date of Exam: 11/5-9/01 Scenario Num	ibers: 1/2/3 Ope	erating 1	est N	o.: /	
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			ls		
			a	p.	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumen service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	tation may be out of		NA	qiinv	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		B	NA	ġ#	
3.	Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)		pitziele	NA	ym	N4
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	into the scenario	B	NA	Yor	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		8	NA	gitter/	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	team to obtain	ON THE	NA	Am	N
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so in have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time consigiven.		B	NA	çhy	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		R H	NA	de/	NS
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance defici evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the pl	encies have been anned scenarios.	10 14°	NA	de	۸∕≚
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modi other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-30		æ	NIN	9:01	
11.	 All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios). 				9111	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of trans specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events	10	NA	(hor)	
13.	13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				gin	
TARGE	QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)	Actual Attributes			-	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	61617	\$	NA	41N	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	3 121 Z	B	NA	nd	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 1315	5	NA	ant	
4.						
5.						
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 /0/ 1	B	μα	an	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	21212	BÅ	NA	M	

1

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 24 of 26

Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist

Form ES-301-4

I

	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials			
 			a	b*	c#	
_						
	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be o service, built does not cue the operators into expected events.	ut of 🔷				
	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.					
	3. Each event description consists of the point in the ccenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)					
4	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenari without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	0				
5	The events are valid with regard to physics and the modynamics.					
e	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.					
7	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Opera have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues ar given.	ators 'e				
8	The simulator modeling is not altered.					
9	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios	អា ទ.				
1	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. A other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.	4				
1	 All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (su the form along with the simulator scenarios). 	bmit	\square			
1:	. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	;		\searrow		
1:	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				\geq	
ised -	ARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D) Actual Attribut	tes ·	-	-		
<u>د</u> <u>ا</u>	Total malfunctions (5-8)	65	,	NA		
lation 2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) 3 / 1 /	2: \$	-)	JA	for	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4) 3 / 3 /			NA	K/W	
4.		3 1		NA	afor	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) 2 / 1 /	2 8		IA	4M	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)		-	AL	and	

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 24 of 26

Notes for ES-301-03

N1 Administrative JPM A.1 Verification of Core Thermal Power

The JPM requires a computer Power & flow log for 80% power. This must be inserted into both the RO and SRO JPM.

Completed During validation week.

N2 Control Room JPM B.1.d Failure of the "A" Reactor Recirculation Pump no. 1 & no. 2 Seal.

This is a new JPM and must have a time validation on the simulator.

Complete. This task was changed to "A" Reactor Recirculation Pump high vibration during validation week. The seal leak would provide to much distraction to other personnel in the control during the exam.

N3 Duplication of JPMs.

There were four JPM that were identified as being duplicates. The licensee will change these duplicate JPMs in the audit exam before administration.

Complete. The JPMs were not duplicated.

Notes for ES-301-4

N4 Event Descriptions

The event descriptions will be completed at the simulator during the validation week.

Competed and included into the scenarios.

N5 These items will be completed during the simulator validation week.

Completed during validation week.

ES-301

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5

Romin

Valele

OPERATING TEST NO .: TEAM-I (AI, BI)						
Applicant Type	Evolution	Minimum Number			Num	
'Туре	- Туре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1		EI		
•	Normal	1	E2			
RO	Instrument / Component	4	E7,E8			
	Major	1	E4,E6	E5		
			1			
	Reactivity	1	E/			
	Normal	0				
As RO	Instrument / Component	2	E3, E5		6	
	Major	1	E4,E6			
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0		EI	ļ	
	Normal	1		EZ		
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		E3, E4 E6, E7, E8		
	Major	1		ES		
		·······		1	T	TJ
	Reactivity	0	EI	ļ	 	ļ
	Normal	1	E2		ļ	<u> </u>
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2	E3, E5 E7, E8		<u> </u>	
	Major	1	E4, E6			

Instructions: (

(1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

(2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

(3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Alan Blamer Author: VIL WALLAM? NRC Reviewer:

25 of 26 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

I

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5

	OPERATING TEST NO .: TEAM-2 (AZ, BZ)						
Applicant		Minimum Number	1		o Numi		
Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Number	1	2	3	4	
	Reactivity	1		EI			
	Normal	1	EZ				
RO	Instrument / Component	4		E3. E0			
	Major	1	E4, E6	E5			
		,					
	Reactivity	1	EI				
	Normal	0					
As RO	Instrument / Component	2	E3, E5				
	Major	1	E4, E6				
SRO-I					·····		
	Reactivity	0		E١			
	Normal	1		EZ			
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		E3,E4 E6,E7 E8			
	Major	1		E 5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
				<u> </u>	T	1	
	Reactivity	0	EI	ļ	<u> </u>	L	
	Normal	1	EZ		_		
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2	E3,E5 E7,E8				
	Major	1	E4, <i>E</i> 6				

Instructions: (1)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Alon Blamey Author: HUS Abiama **NRC Reviewer:**

25 of 26 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

ł

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5

OPERATING TEST NO .: TE	АМЗ ((A3, B	,3)
-------------------------	-------	--------	-----

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	S	cenario	Num	ber
'Туре	Iype	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1		EI		
	Normal	1	E2			
RO	Instrument / Component	4	E7, E8	E3.E6	£.	
	Major	1	64, E6	E5		
			1			
	Reactivity	1	EI			
	Normal	0				
As RO	Instrument / Component	2	E3,E5			
	Major	1	E4, E6			
SRO-I						T
	Reactivity	0		EI		ļ
	Normal	1		E2		
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		23.24 24.27 28		
	Major	1		E5		
		T		1	T	1
	Reactivity	0	<u> </u>			_
	Normal	1		 		<u> </u>
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2		<u> </u>		
	Major	1			<u> </u>	1

Instructions: (1)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Blamer Alan Author: aliama **NRC Reviewer:**

25 of 26 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

I

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5

I

Transient and Event Oneconist								
	OPERATING TEST NO .: TENM 4 (A4, B4)							
Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Minimum Sce		Num	ber		
'Туре	'Type Type Number	Number	1	2	3	4		
	Reactivity	1		El				
	Normal	1	E2					
RO	Instrument / Component	4	E7, E8	E3, E ()				
	Major	1	E4. E6	ES				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
	Reactivity	1	EI					
As RO	Normal	0						
	Instrument / Component	2	E3,E5					
	Major	1	E4.E6					
SRO-I						-		
	Reactivity	0		E				
	Normal	1		E2				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		E3,E4 E6,E7 E 8				
	Major	1		ES		<u> </u>		
		T	-1	1	1	T		
	Reactivity	0		 				
	Normal	1		ļ	╂───			
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2			<u> </u>			
	Major	1						

Instructions: (

: (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
 Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Alan Blamer Author: J. L. Leftlis arms NRC Reviewer: NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1 25 of 26

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: SRO U -1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	S	cenari	o Num	ber
Туре	туре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	11				-
RO	Instrument / Component	4				
	Major	1				
	Reactivity	1				
As RO	Normal	0				·
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	. 1				
SRO-I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			· ····································
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
	Reactivity	0	2			
	Normal	1	1,7			
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2	3,5,8 9			
	Major	1	4,6			

Instructions:

(1)

(2)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Blamer Willim min H. lian

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: SROU-2

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	S	cenario	o Num	ber
Type	Type	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
RO	Instrument / Component	4				
	Major	1				
<u></u>	Depetivity	1				
As RO	Reactivity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		
	Normal	0				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I		•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2	-			
	Major	1				
	I	T	2			· · · ·
	Reactivity	0				
SRO-U	Normal	1	1.7			
	Instrument / Component	2	3,5,8 9			
	Major	1	4,6			

Instructions:

- (1)
- (2)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Blamer); Illams

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

for

OPERATING TEST NO .: SROI -1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number		Scenario	Numb	ber
rype	Туре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
RO	Instrument / Component	4				
	Major	1				
	1		2		T	,
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0	1,7			
As RO	Instrument / Component	2	8,9			
	Major	1	4,6			
ŚRO-I						
	Reactivity	0		2		
	Normal	1		1		
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		ما, 4,3 7		
	Major	1		5		
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				-
uctions: (1) En eac (2) Re	ter the operating te ch evolution type. activity manipulation	est number an	d Form	ES-D-1	l event	num

ntrolled (2)

abnormal conditions may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan R lame

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: SROI - 2

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Scenario Numb			ber	
Type	туре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
RO	Instrument / Component	4				
	Major	1				
				-11		
	Reactivity	1	2			
	Normal	0	1,7			
As RO	Instrument / Component	2	8,9			
	Major	1	4.6			
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0		2		
	Normal	1		1		
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2		3,4,6		
	Major	1		5		
r		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Т			<u>_</u>
	Reactivity	0		_		
	Normal	1		ļ		
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2	ļ			
	Major	1				

Instructions:

- (1)
- (2)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Blamer Williams Willing

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: SROI-3

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	s	cenari	o Num	umber	
Type	Туре	Number	1	2	3	4	
	Reactivity	1					
:	Normal	1					
RO	Instrument / Component	4					
	Major	1					
			1	2			
	Reactivity	1					
As RO	Normal	0		1			
	Instrument / Component	2		4,7			
	Major	1		5			
SRO-I							
	Reactivity	0	2				
	Normal	1	1,7				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2	3,5,8				
	Major	11	4,6				
[Reactivity	0					
	Normal	1					
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2					
	Major	1					

Instructions:

- (1)
- (2)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Blame

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: RO -1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	6	Scenario	o Num	ber
Туре	lype	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1	2			
	Normal	1	ר,ו			
RO	Instrument / Component	4	8,9	3,6		
	Major	1	4,6	5		
		4				
	Reactivity	1	1			
As RO	Normal	0				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
[D	0		1		
	Reactivity	0	<u> </u>			
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				

Instructions:

NRC Reviewer:

(1)

(2)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

lamer inne

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: RO -2

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number		Scenari	o Numl	ber
fype	іуре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	1		1		
RO	Instrument / Component	4	3,5	4,7		
	Major	1	4,6	5		
	.		1			
	Reactivity	1				
As RO	Normal	0				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I			<u> </u>		•	
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	11				
	Reactivity	0		T		
SRO-U	Normal	1				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				

Instructions:

- (1)
- (2)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Blamer nn

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: RO-3

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Scenario Num Number		o Numt	ber	
Type	Туре	Number	1	2	3	4
	Reactivity	1		2		
	Normal	1		1		
RO	Instrument / Component	4	3,5	4 7		
	Major	1	4.6	5		
			1	T		
As RO	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	11				
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				
	1		1			
	Reactivity	0				
SRO-U	Normal	1				
	Instrument / Component	2				
	Major	1				

Instructions:

NRC Reviewer:

- (1)
- (2)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Klamer hno

Transient and Event Checklist

Form ES-301-5 (R8, S1)

OPERATING TEST NO .: RO-4

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number						
Туре	туре туре		1	2	3	4			
	Reactivity	1		2					
	Normal	1		1					
RO	Instrument / Component	4	3,5	4,7					
	Major	1	4,6	5					
[]		l		1					
	Reactivity	1							
	Normal	0							
As RO	Instrument / Component	2							
	Major	1							
SRO-I									
	Reactivity	0							
	Normal	1							
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2							
	Major	1							
	Boostivity	0							
	Reactivity								
	Normal	1							
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	2							
	Major	1							

Instructions:

NRC Reviewer:

(1)

(2)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

Alan Blamer n

ES-301		Competencies Checklist Fo						For	orm ES-301-6 (R8, S1)				
	RO-1, RO-2 RO-3, RO-4			SROI -1 SROI - 2 SROI - 3				SROU-1 SROU-2					
	Applicant #1				Applicant #2			Applicant #3 -RO/SRO-I/ SRO-					
Competencies		[ARIC		SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	1 1,3 4,6, 8,9	2 3,4 5.6 7	3	4	1 1,4, 7,8, 9	2 1,3, 4.5, 67	3	4	1 45. 68,	2	3	4	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3,4,5 6 8,9				4.4, 8,9	3,4 5,6, 7			3-8				
Understand Plant and System Response	3,4.5 6,7	4.5, 6,7			3,4, 6,7, 8,9	3.4. 5.6 7			3,4. 6,8				
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,3, 7,8	1-7			1, Z, 7,8, 9	3,5			1,3, 4,5, 6,7				
Operate Control Boards (2)	1-9	1-7			1. Z. 4.6, 7.89	NA			NA				
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	1-9	1-7			ALL	ALL			ALL				
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA			NA	3,4 5,6 7			ALL				
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	NA	NA			NA	ß			3				

Notes:

(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.

(2) Optional for an SRO-U.

(3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

Alon Blomay / an 1257 J.H. W. W. Arms / CHW/Marto

ES-301	Co	mpet	encie	s Ch	ecklis	t		For	m ES	-301	-6 (R	8, S1)
	Ro Ro	RO-1 RO-2 RO-3, RO-4			SROI-1, SROI-2 SROI-3, SROI-4				SROU-1, SROU-2			
		Applicant #1				Applicant #2 - RO ∕SRO-)∕SRO- U			Applicant #3 - RO/SRO-I/ SRO-U			
Competencies		SCEN	ARIC)	SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	4,6 7,8	3,6			3,5 6	2-8			3-8			
Diagnose Events and Conditions	4,6	3,6			3,5 7	2-8			2-8			
Understand Plant and System Response	4,6	3,1 6,7			5,7	3,4, 5,6,			2-8			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2,6 7,8				1,3 5	3.6			ALL			
Operate Control Boards (2)	2,6	6			1,3 5	NA			NA			
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	2,6 7,8	3.1			1, 3	ALL			ALL			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA				1 3-8			ALL			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	NA	NA			3	3			3			

Notes:

(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.

(2) Optional for an SRO-U.

(3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Alan Blamey / Qui FET JA Williams / (VIII) Mins Author: **NRC Reviewer:**

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility	: FitzPatrick Da	te of Exarr	n: Nov.	5, 200)1 E	xam Le	vel: SR	0			
							Initial				
	Item Description	<u>.</u>	.			a	b*	c*			
1	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable	to facili	ty		F	~	9 HW			
2.	 a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as avail 	able				R	-	giw			
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and per Section D.2.d of ES-401	I SRO que	stions a	re app	ropriate	æ	-	ghu			
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last to appears consistent with a systematic sampling pr	; 			QIW						
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/a indicated below (check the item that applies) and the audit exam was systematically and random the audit exam was completed before the licer _X the examinations were developed independen the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	B	-	gird							
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new,	Bank	Modi	fied	New			9 Mw			
	and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	14	5		81	B	-				
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on	Mem	ory		C/A						
	the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	45	5	5:	5	æ	^	qiftw Qiftw			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away	answers				k	-	onter			
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline and is appropriate assigned; deviations are justified	ements in t for the Tier	he prev r to whic	iously ch they	are	B	-	opin			
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet E	S, Append	tix B, gu	uideline	s	黟	-	dim			
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choic agrees with value on cover sheet	e items; th	ie total i	s corre	ect and	B		An			
a. Auth	Printe	d Name / S a_\R_	Signatur 7	e			Da _9]	ate 14/01			
c. NRC	ility Reviewer (*) C Chief Examiner (#) C Regional Supervisor	s /Jmb	y M. H.		770		9/2 9/2	v/• , 6101			
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not a # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Colu	mn "c;" ch	ief exan	niner c	oncurren	ce requ		. <u></u>			
	Kenend Dard on	VSI	12.5	1~	Com	met	5				
	J. S	Hurthe M	ins Cri	\mathcal{F})/31/. - 10) 1)~9]0]				

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-7 (R8, S1)

<u>, aointy</u>	: FitzPatrick			n: Nov. 5, 2			evel: R	0
							Initia	<u>il</u>
		Item Description				a	b*	C#
1.	Questions and answer	s technically accurate and	applicable	to facility		F		QRM
2.	a. NRC K/As referenc b. Facility learning ob	ed for all questions ectives referenced as avai	lable			F	-	WH
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no per Section D.2.d of E	more than 75 percent, and S-401	l SRO que	stions are a	ippropriate	B	-	db
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process							dh
5.	Question duplication fr indicated below (check the audit exam was the audit exam was X the examinations w the licensee certifie other (explain)	₩ S	-	qim				
6.	Bank use meets limits	(no more than 75 at least 10 percent new,	Bank	Modified	New			7
		enter the actual question	19	5	76	B	-	am
7.	Between 50 and 60 pe the exam (including 10	rcent of the questions on	Memory C/A					1
	written at the compreh enter the actual questi	ension/analysis level;	46	46 54			-	dh
8.		provided do not give away a	answers			J.	~	dim
9.		orms with specific K/A state outline and is appropriate f re justified				A	-	(Th)
10.		quality and format meet E	S, Append	lix B, guideli	ines	B	-	diar
11.), one-point, multiple choice				B	-	gh
		Printed	d Name / S	Signature		<u>ht :::</u>	D	ate
a. Auth		Alan Blamey Q	LIP31	7			9/	14/01
	lity Reviewer (*) Chief Examiner (#)	NA Julian H. Will, ums /	Que à	A. W. H.	Ìm		9/2	4/01
	Regional Supervisor	R.J. Cont.	in ort	fre	~		<u>q)</u>	<u>()</u> ()
Note:		s initials/signature are not a						· · · · ·
	~	viewer initial items in Colur				e requi	rea.	<u></u>
					,,			
		YAN	1,111,110	10/2	1/01			
		bre	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		shalo	r)		

401-7 Notes for ES-301-03

N1 The written exam was reviewed in detail by the author, chief examiner and facility reviewer. The group 1 & 2 question were also reviewed in detail by the NRC regional supervisor but the group 3 & 4 questions were not reviewed in detail by the supervisor.

÷ .

FitzPatrick Written Exam Reviews

S.....

NRC Region I Reviews:	July 9, 2001, through September 7, 2001, the questions were reviewed via the chief examiner and branch chief. The final product was the DRAFT EXAM. This copy is in the FitzPatrick Written Exam Book 2.
NRC Review with Facility	During the week of September 10, 2001, two facility SROs reviewed the draft exam. This "DRAFT EXAM WITH FACILITY" is in the FitzPatrick Written Exam Book 2.
	These comments were included into the "FINAL DRAFT." ES- 401-9, Written Exam Review Worksheet," was completed for the FINAL DRAFT copy.
FitzPatrick Validation	October 3, 2001, FitzPatrick validated the FINAL DRAFT with 2 ROs and 2 SROs. Comments were incorporated into the "FITZ. FINAL WRITTEN EXAM." Changes made to the FINAL DRAFT to create the FITZ. FINAL WRITTEN EXAM were documented

on a ES-401-9, "Written Exam Review Worksheet."

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facility: FitzPatrick Date of Exam: November 5, 2001 Exam Level: RO								
	Initials							
Iten	n Description		а	b	с			
1. Clean answer sheets c	Þ	-	din					
2. Answer key changes an documented	5	~	appl					
3. Applicants' scores check			0 5	-) Afr/			
4. Grading for all borderlir detail	ne cases (80%	% +/- 2%) reviewed in	NA NA NA					
5. All other failing examina are justified	NA	NA	NA					
 Performance on missed deficiencies and wordin questions missed by hat 	Ha	1	AM					
	Printed	Name / Signature		D	ate			
a. Grader A	A. Blamey	Jul 7257	_	<u></u>	sloi_			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)		N/A	_					
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) F	11/21/01							
d. NRC Supervisor (*) R. Conte <u>12701</u>								
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.								

1) All exams were 100% reviewed.

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

I

. .

Facility: FitzPatrick Date of Exam: November 5, 2001 Exam Level: SRO								
,	Initials							
Item Description	а	b	с					
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	₩.	~	dirin					
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	A	~	and					
 Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) 	0 B	-	AP.					
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	NA	NA	NA					
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	NA	NA					
 Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants 	\$	Ĵ	spint					
Printed Name / Signature		C	ate					
a. Grader A. Blamey	11/15/01							
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	-		~					
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) H. Williams	<u> </u>							
d. NRC Supervisor (*) R. Conte		1)	2) (1)					
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.								

1) All exams were 100% reviewed.