# SHEARON HARRIS EXAM 2002-301

# 50-400 AUGUST 26 - 29, 2002

# Administrative Documents

(Yellow Paper)

1.	Exam Preparation Checklist ES-201-1
<u>⁄2</u> .	Exam Outline Quality Checklist ES-201-2
3.	Exam Security Agreement ES-201-3
<u>⁄4</u> .	Administrative Topics Outline (Final) ES-301-1
∕5.	Control Room Systems and Facility Walk-through Test Outline (Final)ES-301-2
<u>∽ 6</u> .	Operating Test Quality Check Sheet ES-301-3
<b>∽7</b> .	Simulator Scenario Quality Check Sheet ES-301-4
8.	Transient and Event Checklist ES-301-5
<u>9</u> .	Competencies ChecklistES-301-6
10.	Written Exam Quality Check Sheet ES-401-7
15 1	Written Exam Review Worksheet ES-401-9 التحالي علي الحمي ال التحالي الحمي ا التحالي الحمي ا التحالي الحمي الحمي التحمي الحمي ال
	⊬ Post-Exam Check SheetES-501-1

#### Examination Preparation Checklist

Form ES-201-1

Facility:	Horris Date of Examination	on: <u>8/29/02</u>
Examinati	ons Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)	
Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	B
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	R.
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	æ
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	e
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	æ
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	P
-70	<ol> <li>Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)</li> </ol>	Ø
-45	8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	R
-30	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	Ø
-14	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared • (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	P
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	P
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	Ø
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	ME 8/20
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204)	(5a)
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	B
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	Ø
The with	get dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate not by are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis of the facility licensee. Nies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.	ification letter. s in coordination

.

#### Examination Outline Quality Assurance Checklist

	RRIS Date of Examination:	26-A	ug-02	
			nitials	<u>.</u>
Item	Task Description	a	b*	c#
a. Ver	rify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	WA	А <del>л</del> в	®*
W b. As: R ES-	sess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of 401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	W8	Atb	e *
I T c. As: T	sess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	W	ArB	e^
	sess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	W	ATB	e.
a. Us	ing Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal plutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	148	ATB	e1
I app M exa	seess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of plicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising am integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new scenario and scenarios will not be beated over successive days.	ye	ATB	e'
c. To	the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria ecified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Mª	AтВ	Ø,
a. Ve 3. (1) W (2) W (3)	erify that: the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, *no tasks are duplicated from the applicants audit test(s), and no more than 80% of the operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam bank.	w	(1) Arb	e
T b. Ve (1) (2) (3) (4)	erify that: the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	ur	ATB	@'
	erify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	Wf	ATB	P
d. De ens	etermine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and sure that no more than 30% of the items are duplicated on successive days.	wh	AT13	e
	ssess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate am section.	Wts	ATB	e.
	ssess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	WYS	Ang	0
	nsure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	into	AB	e,
	heck for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Who	ATB	Ø
A L e. Cl	heck the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Wp	Arb	C
f. As	ssess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	ws	ATB	P
<ul> <li>a. Author</li> <li>b. Facility Revi</li> <li>c. Chief Exami</li> </ul>	1 7 Alan plant	2		

HARRIS NUCLEAR PLANT - AUG 2002

In accordance with ES-301, Section D.1.a; Walk-Through Test Items B.1.c and e are similar to those tested on the Audit Examination.

Rueind and changes mode to B.I. C + e to ensure adequate difference. Halleand

	-	
ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3

#### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>August 26, 2002</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>August 26, 2002</u>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. William J. Gross	Author	Will forms	<u>5/1/02</u>	TTToler per telecu	9/10/02 D 8/3/02 8/30/02
2. T.T. Toler 3. Kenizailey	SRO	K Baily	<u>5-20-02</u>	KBailon	9-9-02
4. Sam Milles 5. Archie Lucky	 Instructer	Durit Fucky	5-20-02	antie traky	9-3-02
6. Andy T. Barbee 7. Advert Stychen son	Superintendent - Operations Tring	Candy Souther	<u>6-5-02</u> 6-22-02	Robert Aug	915/02
8. Dyane & Medano	Prine Spec Simulation Support. SCO	age indles	<u>(-26-02</u> 7-3-02	Rehmph.	9/4-02 9-9-02
9. Rob Winkuck 10. Teresa Midgette	DMA I	Min Mine		2 krac midetto	9-3-02
11. MITCH BUNTON 12. KEITH BUTNER	<u>BP</u> SRO	last Bat	8-12.02	-U.S.L_	7/9/02 9/3/02
13. Clarence Matthews 14. MICHAEL MUNROE	MANAGER-TRAINING	Clarence Matthews		Melyener	9/3/02
		Const Bright	<u>ejsijo</u>	a hand thigh	9/3/02
NOTES:	Signed for Bill Gross	partalecom 9/10/02	1500 -	/ · ac	

NUREG-1021, Revision 8

#### EXAMINATION SECURITY AGREEMENT

#### 1. Pre-Examination

γ.

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the period indicated below as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the period indicated below. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

Examination Period8	126102 to 813010	DZ.				
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	PRE-EXAMINATION	DATE	POST-EXAMINATION	DATE	NOTE
1 Thomas Detchance	ndrs. EP specialist	SIGNATURE (1)	\$126/02	SIGNATURE (2)	9/9/02	2
2. Mark Ellinh	LEP Specialist	M Selin	\$/20/02	MJSKI	9/5/02	<u> </u>
3. SCOTTY SCATT	RO / BOAKD Operation	missett	8/26/12	mscott	9/5/02	
4	· · ·					
5		, <u>.</u>				
				·····		
7					<u> </u>	
8						
					<u></u>	
10				·····	<u> </u>	
		,				
14						
NOTES:						
TAP-410	Rev. 0		Page 17 of	18		

### Administrative Topics Outline

Facil	ity: HARRIS	
Exar	nination Level:	Operating Test Number: 7
	"Administrative Topic/Subject Description	<ul> <li>Describe method of evaluation:</li> <li>1. ONE Administrative JPM, OR</li> <li>2. TWO Administrative Questions</li> <li>(KA #)</li> </ul>
"A. 1	CONDUCT OF OPERATIONS	Determine Rod Misalignment Using Thermocouples (AOP-001) (2.1.19)
		Perform a Manual Power Range Heat Balance Calculation (OST-1204)
		(2.1.25)
″A. 2	EQUIPMENT CONTROL	Review an Equipment Clearance (OPS-NGGC-1301)
		(2.2.13)
″A. 3	RADIATION CONTROL	Perform Licensed Operator Actions to Establish a Liquid Waste Release @ (2.3.11) Radiological Controls @
″A. 4	EMERGENCY PLAN	Activate the Emergency Response Organization - Dialogic System (PEP-310) (2.4.43)

.

### Administrative Topics Outline

Facili	ty: HARRI	S	Date of Examination: 26-Aug-02
Exam	nination Level:	SRO	Operating Test Number: <u>Final</u>
	~Administrative Topic/Subject Description	1. ONE A	method of evaluation: dministrative JPM, OR dministrative Questions
<b>A</b> . 1	CONDUCT OF OPERATIONS	Perform R (OST-102 (2.1.18)	eview of Daily Surveillance Requirements Log 1)
		Perform a (OST-120	Manual Power Range Heat Balance Calculation 4)
		(2.1.25)	
″A. 2	EQUIPMENT CONTROL	Review ar (2.2.13)	n Equipment Clearance (OPS-NGGC-1301)
″А. З	RADIATION	Release v	Topic - License Requirements for Conducting a Waste with Inoperable Instrumentation and Administrative Ensuring Requirements Met (2.3.6)
	CONTROL	Emergend	Topic - Selection Process for Individuals Performing by Entries into Radiation Fields Resulting in Exceeding le Exposure Limits (2.3.4)
"A. 4	EMERGENCY PLAN	Perform a Recomme	n Emergency Action Level Classification and and Protective Actions (PEP-110)
		(2.4.41 / 2	2.4.44)

l

Control Room Systems and Facility Walk-Through Test Outline

FORM ES-301-2

Facil	lity:	HARRIS	Date	of Examination:	26-Aug-02
Exar	Examination Level: RO C		Operatir	ig Test Number:	2002-301
B.1	Control Room Sy	stems			
		System/JPM Title		Type Code*	Safety Function (KA #)
a.	Respond to a Fai	led High Pressurizer Pressure C	hannel (AOP-019)	NAS	3 (010.A2.03)
b.	LOOP While Para	alleling EDG from MCB for Testir	ng (OP-155)	NAS	6 (064A4.01)
C.	Secure One Trair	n of CCW to the RHR HXs (OP-1	145)	DS	8 (008A2.01)
d.	Manually Align C	ontainment Spray (PATH-1)		DASL	5 (026A4.01)
e.	Transfer to Hot L	eg Recirculation (EPP-011)		DASL	2 (006A4.05)
f.	Start an RCP Fol	lowing Maintenance (OP-100)		DSL	4P (003A4.06)
g.	Power Range NI	Gain Adjustment (OP-105)		NS	7 (015A4.02)
B.2	Facility Walk-Thr	ough			
а.	Local Actions for	a Dropped Rod Recovery (AOP	-001)	DL	1 (003AA1.02)
b.	Manually Align C	harging Due to a Loss of IA (AO	P-017)	DRL	2 (004A2.11)
C.	Start Up a Hydro	gen Recombiner (OP-125)		DL	5 (028A4.01)
	be Codes: (D)irect w-Power, (R)CA	from bank, (M)odified from bank	;, (N)ew, (A)lternate p	ath, (C)ontrol Roo	om, (S)imulator,

FORM ES-301-2

Faci	lity:	HARRIS	Date	of Examination:	26-Aug-02	
Exar	mination Level:	SRO-I	Operatir	Operating Test Number:		
B.1	Control Room Sy	stems		· · · ·	<u> </u>	
		System/JPM Title		Type Code*	Safety Function (KA #)	
a.	Respond to a Fai	ed High Pressurizer Pressure	e Channel (AOP-019)	NAS	3 (010.A2.03)	
b.	LOOP While Para	alleling EDG from MCB for Te	sting (OP-155)	NAS	6 (064A4.01)	
C.	Secure One Trair	of CCW to the RHR HXs (OF	<sup>2</sup> -145)	DS	8 (008A2.01)	
d.	Manually Align Co	ontainment Spray (PATH-1)		DASL	5 (026A4.01)	
e.	Transfer to Hot L	eg Recirculation (EPP-011)		DASL	2 (006A4.05)	
f.	Start an RCP Fol	owing Maintenance (OP-100)		DSL	4P (003A4.06)	
g.	Power Range NI	Gain Adjustment (OP-105)		NS	7 (015A4.02)	
B.2	Facility Walk-Thre	ough	1994			
a.	Local Actions for	a Dropped Rod Recovery (AC	DP-001)	DL	1 (003AA1.02)	
b.	Manually Align C	narging Due to a Loss of IA (A	AOP-017)	DRL	2 (004A2.11)	
C.	Start Up a Hydro	gen Recombiner (OP-125)		DL	5 (028A4.01)	
	be Codes: (D)irect w-Power, (R)CA	from bank, (M)odified from ba	nk, (N)ew, (A)lternate p	ath, (C)ontrol Roc	om, (S)imulator,	

Facil	lity:	HARRIS	Date	of Examination:	26-Aug-02
Examination Level: SRO-U		Operatin	Operating Test Number: 2002		
B.1	Control Room Sy	stems			
		System/JPM Title		Type Code*	Safety Function (KA #)
a.	Respond to a Fai	led High Pressurizer Pressure C	Channel (AOP-019)	NAS	3 (010.A2.03)
b.	LOOP While Para	alleling EDG from MCB for Testi	ng (OP-155)	NAS	6 (064A4.01)
C.					
d.					
e.					
f.			<u></u>		
g.					
B.2	Facility Walk-Thr	ough	I		
a.	Local Actions for	a Dropped Rod Recovery (AOF	P-001)	DL	1 (003AA1.02)
b.	Manually Align C	harging Due to a Loss of IA (AC	)P-017)	DRL	2 (004A2.11)
C.	Start Up a Hydro	gen Recombiner (OP-125)		DL	5 (028A4.01)
	be Codes: (D)irect w-Power, (R)CA	from bank, (M)odified from bank	k, (N)ew, (A)lternate pa	ath, (C)ontrol Roc	om, (S)imulator,

Operating Test Quality Assurance Checklist

Form ES-301-3

1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initial	ls
	a	b*	c#
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	MO	ATB	R.
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	ubi	ATB	Q.A
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	yur	ATB	$Q^{\prime}$
d. Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	08	ATB	$\Theta^{\dagger}$
c. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Ma	ATB	Ø
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			
<ul> <li>a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedure</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>specific performance criteria that include: <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Mk	ATB	(Fr) HA
b. The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	Wfs	AB	R.
c. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	Mrs	АТВ	@1
d. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	08	ATB	CA
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			
a. The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	of	ATB	D,
a. Author William J. Gross William Source 6 b. Facility Reviewer(*) Andy T. Barbee Matt Double 7 c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) Coorge T. Hopper Marge 7 Marge 7 Marge 8	ate /30/0 /11/0 /20/0	2	

Harris – August 2002

H	Facility: <b>Harris</b>	Date of Exam: 26 August 2002	Operating '	Гest No.	:	
		QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials	T [
1.	The initial condition out of service, but it	s are realistic, in that some equipment and/or in does not cue the operators into expected event	nstrumentation may be	a Mgr	b* ATTS	c# (L) AP
2.		t mostly of related events.		ufs	ATB	P A
3.	<ul> <li>the malfunction(</li> <li>the symptoms/cu</li> <li>the expected operation of the symptoms/cu</li> </ul>	on consists of scenario when it is to be initiated (s) that are entered to initiate the event les that will be visible to the crew erator actions (by shift position) ation point (if applicable)		Njo	AT6	e
4.	No more than one no	on-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is inco edible preceding incident such as a seismic ev		ws	ATE	Q
5.	The events are valid	with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		up	ATB	R
6.		ng of events is reasonable, and allows the exar results commensurate with the scenario object		uffe	ATB	Ø
7.		techniques are used, the scenario summary cle cient time to carry out expected activities with given.		MAS	ATB	Ø
8.	The simulator model	ing is not altered.		one	ATB	$\mathcal{O}$
9.		een validated. Any open simulator performance sure that functional fidelity is maintained while		vys	ATB	Ø
10.	Every operator will t All other scenarios h	be evaluated using at least one new or signification ave been altered in accordance with Section D	ntly modified scenario. .4 of ES-301.	Mys	ATB	Ø
11.		or competencies can be evaluated, as verified ng with the simulator scenarios).	using Form ES-301-6	MAS	AT5	Ø
12.		e significantly involved in the minimum numb form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simu		Ms	ATB	Ø
13.	The level of difficult	y is appropriate to support licensing decisions	for each crew position.	Mas	ATB	Ø
		QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES ENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)	Actual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (	5-8)	7/6	Mrs	Ans	$\square$
2.	Malfunctions after E	OP entry (1-2)	2 / 1	vyr	ArB	Ø
3.	Abnormal events (2-	4)	4 / 4	WB	ATH	Ø
4.	Major transients (1-2	))	2 / 1	Uff	Arts	P
5.	EOPs entered/requiri	ng substantive actions (1-2)	2/3	MF	AL	$\rho$
6.	EOP contingencies re	equiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/1	outs	AT6	P
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)		2 / 2	Wes	ATB	Ø

Harris – August 2002

à,

2

Form ES-301-5

### OPERATING TEST NO.: 2002-301

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario	Number / C	Candidate / I	Position
		-	SCENA	RIO #1	SCENAI	RIO #3
			RO-1 (RO)		RO-1 (BOP)	
	Reactivity	1	1			
	Normal	1			5	
RO	Instrument / Component	4	3-4		2-4	
	Major	1	5-6		6-7	
			SRO-11 (SRO)	SRO-I2 (RO)	SRO-I1 (RO)	SRO-I2 (SRO)
	Reactivity	1		1	5	
	Normal	0				
As RO	Instrument / Component	2		3-4	1-3	
	Major	1		5-6	6-7	
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1	1	1 6 1 1		5
As SRO	Instrument / Component	2	2-3-4			1-2-3-4
	Major	1	5-6			6-7
			SRO-U1 (SRO)		SRO-U2 (SRO)	
	Reactivity	0				
SDO U	Normal	1	1		5	
SRO-U	Instrument / Component	_ 2	2-3-4		1-2-3-4	
	Major	1	5-6		6-7	

HARRIS NUCLEAR PLANT – AUG 2002

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

7mg

Instructions: (1) Enter

(1)

- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Notes:

- Only those events prior to the major event are counted in the Transients and Events.
- (2) The simulator crew composition is as follows:

Position	Scena	rio #1	Scena	rio # 3
	Exam 1	Exam 2	Exam 1	Exam 2
USCO	SRO-II	SRO-U1	SRO-I2	SRO-U2
RO	RO-1	SRO-I2	SURROGATE	SRO-I1
BOP	SURROGATE	SURROGATE	RO-1	SURROGATE

- (3) Simulator exams will be split over 2 days.
- (4) Scenario #1 will be used one day, Scenario #3 the other.
- (5) Each RO and SRO-I candidate will get one Scenario each day.
- (6) The SRO-U candidates will get one scenario.
- (7) Surrogates will be used to fill 1 position in each of the scenarios.

Vill-Jours / William J. Gross Kathleen Omh

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

<b>OPERATING</b>	TEST NO.:
------------------	-----------

میں بین کی میں ہے۔ یہ کی تو ہوہی ہے۔ یہ کی تھی ہوہی ہے۔ یہ کی تھی ہو ہے ہے۔ یہ کی تھی ہو ہے ہے کہ تو ہو ہے ۔ ان ان ا	RC	)-1	RO	-2	SRC	D-II	SRC	)-I2	SRC	)-I3	SRO- Ul	SRO- U2	
SCENARIO	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	
POSITION	RO	вор	вор	RO	SRO	RO	SRO	RO	RO	SRO	SRO	SRO	
Competencies													
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3-4-5- 6	2-3-5	1-2-3- 5-6	1-2-3- 5	ALL	1-2-3- 5	ALL	1-2-3- 5	3-4-5- 6	1-2-3- 5	1-2-3- 5	1-2-3- 5	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3-4-5- 6	2-3-5	1-2-3- 5-6	1-2-3- 5	ALL	1-2-3- 5	ALL	1-2-3- 5	3-4-5- 6	1-2-3- 5	1-2-3- 5	1-2-3- 5	
Understand Plant and System Response	2-3-5- 6	2-4-5	2-3-5- 6	1-2-4- 5	2-3-5- 6	1-2-4- 5	2-3-5- 6	1-2-4- 5	2-3-5- 6	1-2-4- 5	1-2-4- 5	1-2-4- 5	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	2-3-4- 5-6	2-3-4- 5	1-2-3- 5-6	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	2-3-4- 5-6	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Operate Control Boards (2)	2-3-4- 5-6	2-3-4- 5	1-2-3- 5-6	ALL		ALL		ALL	2-3-4- 5-6		<u></u>		
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)					ALL		ALL			ALL	ALL	ALL	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	-				1-3		1-3			1-3	1-3	1-3	
Notes: (1) Includes Technica	Notes:         (1)       Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.												

(3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

### Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-7

Facility:	Harris	Date of Exam	: 26-Aug	-02		E)	am Leve							
								Initial_						
		Item Description					a #	b*	c#					
1.	Questions and answers	technically accurate and a	pplicable	to facility	/		MB	ATE	Q. 1					
2.	a. NRC K/As reference b. Facility learning obje	d for all questions ctives referenced as availa	able				WF	ATB	iP.A					
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no r per Section D.2.d of ES	opriate	M	ATS	Q A									
4.	Question selection and appears consistent with				PA									
5.	indicated below (check √ the audit exam was the audit exam was the examinations we	om the license screening/ar the item that applies) and systematically and random completed before the licen are developed independent that there is no duplication	appears a ly develop se exam v ly; or	ppropria ed; or	ite:	as	Mr	ATB	R					
6.	Dent Medified New													
7.	Between 50 and 60 per the exam (including 10 written at the compreh- enter the actual question	ension/analysis level;	Memo	ory 6		C/A Difyo	Ms	ATB	$\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$					
8.	References/handouts p	rovided do not give away a	answers				WF	AT6	O'					
9.	Question content confo approved examination assigned; deviations a	orms with specific K/A state outline and is appropriate t re justified	ments in t for the Tie	he previ r to whic	ously h they	are	W	Arb	01					
10.		quality and format meet E	S. Append	lix B, gu	ideline	es	WAY	ATB	01					
11.		), one-point, multiple choic					Ma	ATE	$ \mathcal{Q} $					
Printed Name / Signature     Date       a. Author     Millian J. Gross / Hull Jama     4/30/02       b. Facility Reviewer(*)     Andy T. Barbee     11/02       c. NRC Chief Examiner(#)     George T. (Apper / Alg. Kopper     8/31/02       d. NRC Regional Supervisor     MICHASL E. EANSTES / Midland E. E. to 200     8/21/02														

### Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-7

<ol> <li>a. NRC k</li> <li>b. Facility</li> <li>RO/SRO per Section</li> <li>Question appears</li> <li>Question indicated</li> <li>d the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the icc</li> <li>other of</li> <li>Bank use percent f and the r question</li> <li>Between the exammed the exammed the exammed the exammed and the rest of the exammed assigned</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> <li>Question 11. The example</li> </ol>	consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/ard below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen caminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	able SRO ques vo NRC lic ocess udit exam appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	ensing ex ensing ex was contr ppropriat	rolled as e:	a Mga Mga Mga	Initial b* ATB ATB ATB													
<ol> <li>a. NRC k</li> <li>b. Facility</li> <li>RO/SRO per Section</li> <li>Question appears</li> <li>Question indicated</li> <li>d the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the icc</li> <li>other of</li> <li>Bank use percent f and the r question</li> <li>Between the exammed the exammed the exammed the exammed and the rest of the exammed assigned</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> <li>Question 11. The example</li> </ol>	s and answers technically accurate and a K/As referenced for all questions y learning objectives referenced as availat overlap is no more than 75 percent, and ion D.2.d of ES-401 selection and duplication from the last tw consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/and below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen caminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	able SRO ques vo NRC lic ocess udit exam appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	ensing ex ensing ex was contr ppropriat	rolled as e:	a Mga, Mga Mga Mga	ATB													
<ol> <li>a. NRC k</li> <li>b. Facility</li> <li>RO/SRO per Section</li> <li>Question appears</li> <li>Question indicated</li> <li>d the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the icc</li> <li>other of</li> <li>Bank use percent f and the r question</li> <li>Between the exammed the exammed the exammed the exammed and the rest of the exammed assigned</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> <li>Question 11. The example</li> </ol>	s and answers technically accurate and a K/As referenced for all questions y learning objectives referenced as availat overlap is no more than 75 percent, and ion D.2.d of ES-401 selection and duplication from the last tw consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/and below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen caminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	able SRO ques vo NRC lic ocess udit exam appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	ensing ex ensing ex was contr ppropriat	rolled as e:	vgs. Wf vys														
<ol> <li>a. NRC k</li> <li>b. Facility</li> <li>RO/SRO per Section</li> <li>Question appears</li> <li>Question indicated</li> <li>d the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the au</li> <li>the icc</li> <li>other of</li> <li>Bank use percent f and the r question</li> <li>Between the examwritten ar enter the</li> <li>Reference</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> <li>Question</li> <li>Question</li> </ol>	K/As referenced for all questions y learning objectives referenced as availated overlap is no more than 75 percent, and ion D.2.d of ES-401 a selection and duplication from the last two consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/and below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen commations were developed independent consec certifies that there is no duplication	able SRO ques vo NRC lic ocess udit exam appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	ensing ex ensing ex was contr ppropriat	rolled as e:	ug ugs	Arb	Q.A Q.A Q.A												
<ol> <li>RO/SRO per Section appears</li> <li>Question indicated √ the au the au the au the au the au the ex the lice the lice the lice the lice the au the au the au the au the ex the sec percent f and the r question</li> <li>Bank use percent f and the r question</li> <li>Between the exam written ai enter the</li> <li>Reference</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> <li>Question approved assigned</li> </ol>	overlap is no more than 75 percent, and ion D.2.d of ES-401 selection and duplication from the last tw consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/and below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen caminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	SRO ques vo NRC lic ocess udit exam appears a nly develop ise exam w tly; or	ensing ex was contr ppropriat bed; or	rolled as e:	M/S	AB	Q.A Q.A												
<ul> <li>4. Question appears of appears of the automaticated √ the automaticated of the automaticated for the automaticated of the automaticated for the automatica</li></ul>	selection and duplication from the last tw consistent with a systematic sampling pro- duplication from the license screening/a below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen caminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	ocess udit exam appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	was cont ppropriat ped; or	rolled as e:															
<ul> <li>indicated <ul> <li>indicated</li> <li>the au </li></ul> </li> <li>the au </li></ul> <li>6. Bank use <ul> <li>percent f <ul> <li>and the r </li> <li>question</li> </ul> </li> <li>7. Between <ul> <li>the exam </li> <li>written ai </li> <li>enter the</li> </ul> </li> <li>8. Reference </li> <li>9. Question <ul> <li>approved </li> <li>assigned</li> </ul> </li> <li>10. Question </li> <li>11. The exam </li> </ul></li>	I below (check the item that applies) and udit exam was systematically and random udit exam was completed before the licen kaminations were developed independent censee certifies that there is no duplication	appears a ily develop ise exam w tly; or	ppropriat ed; or	e:		appears consistent with a systematic sampling process													
percent f and the r question7.Between the exam written a enter the8.Reference9.Question approved assigned10.Question 11.	indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: √ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or _ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or _ the examinations were developed independently; or _ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or _ other (explain)																		
the exam written a enter the 8. Reference 9. Question approved assigned 10. Question 11. The exam																			
8.     Reference       9.     Question approved assigned       10.     Question       11.     The example	question distribution at right																		
approved assigned 10. Question 11. The exar	ces/handouts provided do not give away a	answers			MA	ATE	®^												
10. Question	n content conforms with specific K/A state d examination outline and is appropriate d; deviations are justified	ements in t for the Tie	he previo r to which	usly hthey are	wyr	ATB	P *												
11. The exar	n psychometric quality and format meet E	S, Append	lix B, guid	lelines	up	ATB	C.												
	m contains 100, one-point, multiple choic with value on cover sheet				US	ATB	O'												
Printed Name / Signature Date a. Author Milliam J. Groap / Well from 6/30/02 b. Facility Reviewer(*) Andy T. Barvee / Andy T. Barvee / The facility Reviewer(*) Barvee / The facility Reviewer(*) Barve T. Name / Well from 7/11/02 c. NRC Chief Examiner(#) Barve T. Name / Well from 8/21/02 d. NRC Regional Supervisor DI CHAELE. ERNITES / Millor L. C. transform 9/21/02 Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.																			

£.

1

## • Written Examination Review Worksheet

Form ES-401-9 (R8, S1)

l

	(F/H) (1-5) Stem Cues T/F Cred. Partial Job- Minutia #/ Back- Q= SRO U/E/S Explanation														
Q#			Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia						Explanation
	Instructions														
	[Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]														
1.	Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.														
2.	Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 - 5 (easy - difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 - 4 range are acceptable).														
3.	<ul> <li>Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:</li> <li>The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information).</li> <li>The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc).</li> <li>The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.</li> <li>More than one distractor is not credible.</li> <li>One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).</li> </ul>														
4.															
5.															signated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable
6.	Ba	sed on	the revi	iewer's	judgme	ent, is th	e quest	ion as	written (l	J)naco	eptable	(requi	iring re	pair or r	replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
	Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory? At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).														

	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.		7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S		Explanation
										RO/S	RO CO	MBINI	ED QU	ESTION	s	
							_				COMM	ON QI	JESTI	ONS		
1	н	2					Γ					~		s	N	055K3.1- no comment, 2 not 3
2	н	3								•		~		S	N	061A3.03- no comment
3	F	3									[	~		S	В	022A3.01 -no comment
4	F	2										~		S	N	071G2.2.25-no comment, 2 not 3
5	F	3			<u> </u>		1					V		s	N	025AK2.05 - no comment
6	F	3										~		E	м	056A2.04 - need bank question to validate modified :validated: QUES NOW SAT
7	н	2	1									~		s	в	065AA2.08 - no comment, 2 not 3
8	F	2				x					-	~		E	В	T3G2.2.24 - need TS to validate distractor wrong, 2 not 4: validated distractor adequate: QUES. NOW SAT

· · ·		1.	2.	3	. Psyci	nometi	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.		7.
	Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.		Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S		Explanation
	9	н	2										v		s	м	001K4.11 - no comment, 2 not 3
	10	F	1		х		х						~		υ	B	103k4.04 - delete teaching, need better distractors, little discrimination validity (attribute for RO or SRO), 1 not 2: <i>licensee</i> <i>explained plant specific attributes; is 2 not 1</i> , <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
2	11	н	2		х								~		E	М	013K6.01 - delete teaching, 2 not 3, rephrased stem <u>QUES.</u> NOW SAT
	12	F	2										~		S	В	T3G2.3.11 - no comment, 2 not 3
	13	F	3										~		s	N	055G2.4.18 - F not H
	14	F	2										~		s	N	076G2.4.18 - no comment, 2 not 3
	15	н	2										~		s	м	016K4.03 - no comment, 2 not 3
	16	F	2				x						~		U	В	062G2.1.27 - distractors not realistic, 2 not 3, rephrased explained distractors. <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
	17	н	2	-									~		s	N	022G2.1.25 - (reference provided) no comment, 2 not 3
	18	F	3										~		S	м	062AA2.06 - no comment
	19	н	2										~		S	в	075G2.1.25 - (reference provided) no comment
	20	н	2							·			~		s	в	T3G2.1.25 - (reference provided) no comment
	21	н	3										~		E	м	001K5.42 - rephrase to use initial in stem vs each distractor : corrected: <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
	22	н	3										~		E	в	010K1.06 - "Progressively"?: deleted "progressively form stem: QUES. NOW SAT
	23	F	1										~		U	в	012K1.08 - little discrimination validity (attribute for RO or SRO), 1 not 2: replaced question: <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
	24	F	2										~		S	N.	029K4.02 - no comment, 2 not 4
	25	н	3										~		S	в	063K2.01 - no comment
	26	н	2										~		S	в	011K5.06 - no comment, 2 not 3
	27	F	2										~		S	в	086A1.01 - no comment, 2 not 3
	28	н	2										~		E	N	T3G2.3.10 - rephrase to avoid "not", 2 not 3: <i>revised:</i> <u>QUES.</u> <u>NOW SAT</u>
	29	н	3										~		S	в	015/017AA1.08 - no comment
	30	н	2										~		S	N	040AA1.22 - no comment, 2 not 3
	31	F	2										~		S	м	022K2.01 - no comment F not H, 2 not 3

<u></u>	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.		7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward		SRO Only			Explanation
32	Н	3										~		S	М	004A1.06 - no comment
33	F	1										~		U	В	008K4.07 -no discrimination validity (attribute for RO or SRO), not 2: revised quest.: <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
34	н	3	<u> </u>									~		s	N	001AK1.16 (reference provided), 3 not 4
35	н	2				x						~		S	м	014G2.1.11 - need better disractors, need reference, 2 not 3: revised
36	F	2						-				~		S	в	T3G2.1.2 - no comment
37	н	2										~		s	N	005K4.08 - no comment, 2 not 3
38	F	2										~		s	в	033A2.03 - no comment
39	F	3										~		s	B	002K6.03 - no comment
40	F	2										~		s	в	059AA2.05 - no comment, 2 not 3
41	F	3		-		×						~		U	в	T3G2.4.7 - rephrase distractors, B only one that has backfill capability at all (RCS < SG): revised C distractor: <u>QUES. NO</u> <u>SAT</u>
42	н	2										~		s	N	003G2.4.6 - no comment, 2 not 3
43	F	2		1								V		s	в	003A4.08 - no comment
44	н	3										~		S	N	039A1.05 - no comment (reference)
45	н	3										~		s	м	072K3.01 - no comment
46	н	2										V		s	м	026AK3.01 - no comment, 2 not 3
47	F	3										~		s	м	026A2.08 - no comment
48	F	3										~		s	N	078K1.03 - no comment
49	н	2										~		s	N	045A1.06- no comment
50	н	2										~	<u> </u>	s	в	W/E09EK1.02 -no comment 2 not 3
51	н	2										~		S	м	059K1.02 - no comment, 2 not 3
52	н	3										~	<u> </u>	S	м	056AA2.22 - no comment
53	н	3		<u> </u>							<u> </u>	~		s	м	008AA2.12 - no comment
54	н	3										~	<u> </u>	S	N	015K5.06 - no comment
55	F	2										~		s	м	068K1.07 - no comment
56	н	4										~		s	N	058AA2.03 - no comment

.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	nomet	ric Flaw	rs	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.		7.
Q#	lok (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S		Explanation
57	н	3										~		S	м	004K3.08 - no comment
58	н Н	3										~		E	м	017A3.01 - contains NOT - rephrase, F not H, rephrased H not F, QUES. NOW SAT
59	Н	3										~		S	В	T3G2.2.13 - no comment
60	н	3		·								~		s	N	064K3.03 - no comment 3 not 4
61	F	2										~		S	в	W/E08EK2.02 - no comment 2 not 3
62	F	3										~		s	В	073A4.02 - no comment
63	н	3										v		s	N	011EA1.01 - no comment
64	F	3										~		s	в	W/EO5EK2.01 - no comment
65	F	2										v		S	М	007EK1.03 - no comment
66	н	3					1					~		S	м	027AA2.15 - no comment
67	н	3										~		E	м	057AA2.15 - rephrase "not" statements: rephrased QUEST NOW SAT
68	н	3				x						~		E	N	037AA1.13 - rephrase distractor B too obvious: <u>revised</u> : <u>QUES.</u> NOW SAT
69	н	3										~		s	м	074EA1.05 - no comment
70	н	2			1							~		s	м	T3G2.4.2 - no comment, 2 not 3
71	F	2										~		s	в	068AA1.21 - no comment
72	н	3	1									~		s	м	035A3.01 - no comment
73	F	3	1		1							~		S	в	038EK3.08 - no comment
74	F	2	1				1				1	~		S	в	006A4.08 - no comment
75	F	2										~		S	в	029EK3.12 - no comment

· ,		1.	2.	з	. Psycl	homet	ric Flaw	rs	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.		7.
	Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward		SRO	U/E/S		Explanation
				Focus			25711525105		a minated	Line out the second	(in the second	SRO ON	e un muite	Sector 10	ONS		
	76	н	2			5835 699 (). 	upositerik i						~	~		N	T3G2.4.30 - no comment
	77	н	2										~	υ	U	N	028AA2.02 - this is not SRO only level: replaced with original RO only #86
	78	Н	2							:			~	~	E	В	W/E14G2.3.10 - inadequate reference material to validate.validated QUEST NOW SAT
	79	F	2										~	~	υ	N	W/E16EA2.01 - all answers could be argued to be true rephrased QUEST NOW SAT
	80	н	2	x									~	~	U	в	T3G2.1.4 - clarify correct answer, could argue no correct answer' rephrased QUES. NOW SAT
	81	- <del>г</del> - Н	2										υ	~	U	в	036G2.2.8 - quest is about interlocks not procedure <i>replaced</i> ques QUES NOW SAT NOW H NOT F
	82	Н	2										U	~	υ	в	T3G2.2.25 - questions how the TS is met not bases <i>rephrased ques <u>QUES NOW SAT</u></i>
	83	F	3				x						~	~	E	м	T3G22.26- 2 correct answers rephrased QUES NOW SAT
	84	н н	2										~	~	E	в	T3G2.2.6 - describe the situation and let them determine it requires a deviation and how to approve it: <i>replaced</i> <u>QUES.</u> NOW SAT NOW H NOT F
	85	н	3				x						~	~	E	м	054G2.4.16 - need references to validate question, correct answer stands out because of title, <i>rephrased</i> <u>QUES. NOW SAT</u>
	86	F	3	1									~	~	S	в	T3G2.1.33 - no comment
	87	н	2										~	~	S	М	W/E02EA2.02 - no comment
	88	н	3										~	•	s	м	W/E032.4.22 - no comment
	89	н	2										~	~	s	N	055G2.4.1 - no comment
	90	F	2										V	•	S	м	T3G2.4.40 - no comment
	91	н	2										~	~	S	в	024G2.1.20 - no comment
	92	н	3			1							~	~	S	В	W/E06EA2.01 - no comment
	93	н	2										~	~	U	N	W/E11EA2.02 - correct stem - as written all answers are correct rephrased, <u>QUES.NOW SAT</u>
	94	F	3	1	1								~	~	S	в	033G2.4.4 - no comment
	95	F	2										υ	~	S	B	T3G2.4.21 - does not meet K/A, NRC re-assigned K/A QUES NOW SAT
	96	н	2										~	~	S	в	T3G2.4.4 - no comment

• • •		1.	2.	з	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.		7.	
-	Q#	LOK (F/H)		Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward			U/E/S		Explanation	
	97	F	2										~	~	s	м	W/E04EA2.01 - no comment	
	98	н	2										2	2	S	м	005AA2.03 - no comment	
	99	н	2										2	~	E	N	067AA2.13 - Typo (no comment ) corrected	
	100	н	3										1	~	s	N	009EA2.01 - no comment	

	1.	2.	3.	. Psycł	nometi	ic Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.		7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S		Explanation
									tik og sing		ROON		Strain and	ONS		
76	F	2									1	~		s	в	T3G2.3.2 - no comment
77	F	2										~		E	м	007A4.01 - need system diagram validated QUES NOW SAT
78	F	<del>-1</del> 2										~		U	м	024AK2.01- no discriminating value: replaced ques <u>QUES NOW</u> SAT
79	F	2				х						~		E	В	033AK1.01 -Need new distractors, BC not feasible <i>explained site</i> <i>specific</i> <u>QUES NOW SAT</u>
80	F	2										~		S	N	059a1.03 -no comment
81	F	2										~		S	В	079A4.01 - no comment
82	н	3	х									~		Е	N ·	T32.4.17 - typo, delete teaching rephrased QUES NOW SAT
83	F	+ 2										~		U	в	028G2.1.32 - replace with another L&P #6, no doscriminating value replaced ques <u>QUES NOW SAT</u>
84	- <del>Г</del> Н ·	- <del>1</del> 2	х			х						~		U	в	051AK3.01 - incorrect as stated. F not H need new quest, No discriminating value <i>rewrote ques <u>QUES NOW SAT</u></i>
85	F.	2										~		S	м	076G2.4.10 - F NOT H,
86	н	3	х					х				~		E	N	T3G2.2.12 - does the ro declare operability? <i>No not RO level</i> replace with original SRO only # 77 QUES NOW SAT
87	н	3										*		E	м	005AK1.02 - Do they have adequate information to answer this? Yes <u>QUES NOW SAT</u>
88	н	2										۲		E	в	036AA1.04 - can this be accomplished manually? No QUES NOW SAT
89	F	2										~		U	в	W/E14EK3.02 - rephrase get rid of NOT", c is also true rephrase QUES NOW SAT
90	F.	3							i	Ī		~		s	м	003k1.03 - no comment
91	F	- <del>1</del> 2										۲		U	в	T32.1.29 - no discriminating value - replace question replaced question QUEST NOW SAT
92	F	3										~		s	в	009EEK3.20 - no comment
93	F	3										~		S	в	001K2.02 - no comment
94	F	2										~		Ë	в	060AA2.06 - need system diagram to verify K/A met validated QUEST NOW SAT
95	н	3	x									U		U	в	015A4.03 - currently tests for loss of power not bistable position rephrased to meet K/A QUEST NOW SAT

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	S	4.	Job Coni	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.		7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward			U/E/S		Explanation
96	۲, E	2										~		E	N	004K4.16 - restructure to pull repeditive phrase from ques rephrased QUEST NOW SAT
97	н	3	x									~		E	N	061K2.02 - typo, rephrase stem rephrased QUEST NOW SAT
98	F	2										U		E	в	W/E02EA1.01 - Meet K/A? Rephrase as marked rephrased, validated to meet K/A QUEST NOW SAT
99	F	2	x									~		E	м	T3G2.1.20 - rephrase the stem - too confusing rephrased QUEST NOW SAT
100	н	2										~		E	м	013A2.01 - define immediate? , rhr suction valve position in condition described? rephrased <u>QUEST NOW SAT</u>

.

.

## **CHANGES TO WALK-THROUGH JPM OUTLINE**

- 1) Replaced "JPM COM-B..1.a, Perform Control Rod Exercise Test," per NRC Lead Examiner request. Replaced with new, alternate path JPM, "JPM COM-B.1.a, Respond to Failed High Pressurizer Pressure Channel." JPM is Safety Function 3 which ensures required distribution of Safety Functions is still met.
- 2) Changed "JPM SRO-B.1.f, Start an RCP Following Maintenance," to "JPM COM-B.1.f, Start an RCP Following Maintenance," making it a common JPM to both RO and SRO-I candidates. The original "JPM RO-B.1.f, High RCS Pressure While Solid," used the same AOP as "JPM COM-B.1.a, Respond to Failed High Pressurizer Pressure Channel," and was considered to not be valid as a different system / function JPM during validation.
- 3) Replaced "JPM COM-B.1.c, Decreasing CCW Surge Tank Level," with "JPM COM-B.1.c, Secure One Train of CCW to the RHR HXs," using OP-145. The original JPM is on the Audit Exam and cannot be used on the NRC Exam. This replacement JPM meets the same Safety Function as the original JPM so the required distribution of Safety Functions is still met. This change is reflected in the RO and SRO-I outlines.
- 4) "JPM COM-B.1.e, Transfer to Hot Leg Recirculation," has an identically titled JPM on the Audit Exam. Review of these two JPMs indicates that there is a significant difference between the two JPMs (NUREG-1021, ES-201, D.3.b). The Audit Exam JPM allows the candidates to successfully complete the task with no failures, while the NRC Exam JPM contains as alternate path which requires the candidates to take a different success path to arrive at a different end configuration. No replacement should be required for this JPM.
- 5) The KA for "JPM COM-B.2.b, Manually Align Charging Due to a Loss of IA," has been changed to better reflect the task and there is no change required to the Safety Function.

6) The above changes reflect a change in the Direct / Modified / New distribution of JPMs. The limits of no more than 30% from the last NRC Exam (NUREG-1021, ES-201, D.3.b) is met. The allowed bank usage (NUREG-1021, ES-201, D.3.b) of no more than 80% of any walk-through JPM exam being taken directly from the facility's testing materials without significant modification is also met. The new distributions are as follows:

	DIRECT (LAST NRC EXAM)	SIGNIFICANTLY <u>MODIFIED</u>	<u>NEW</u>
RO	7 (1)	0	3
SRO-I	7 (1)	0	3
SRO-U	3 (0)	0	2

# **CHANGES TO ADMINISTRATIVE JPM OUTLINE**

- 1) Replaced "JPM SRO-A.1-1, Change the Dedicated SPDS Screen Location," to "JPM SRO-A.1-1, Perform Review of Daily Surveillance Requirements Log," due to concerns raised about discriminatory value of original JPM.
- 2) Expanded "JPM SRO-A.4, Determine Protective Action Recommendations," to "JPM SRO-A.4, Perform an Emergency Action Level Classification and Recommend Protective Actions," per NRC Lead Examiner request. Also included grading criteria such that the classification following the scenario counts toward 20% of the grading of this JPM, the classification within the JPM counts toward 20% of the grading of this JPM, and the PAR determination counts the remaining 60% of the grading.
- 3) No changes made to RO Administrative JPM Outline.

## **CHANGES TO SCENARIO OUTLINES**

### SCENARIO #1

1) Replaced Event 2, "Main Turbine High Vibration Requiring Plant Power Reduction," with Event 1, "Continued Plant Power Reduction," due to concern of plant validation team that crew is likely to trip the plant since vibration levels do not decrease as turbine load decreases (simulator model). This still meets the requirement to perform a power change.

- 2) Previous Event 1 is now Event 2.
- 3) Replaced Event 4, "RCP High Vibration," with "Pressurizer Level Channel Failure" since NRC Lead Examiner expressed opinion that a RCP Vibration problem resulting in tripping the reactor and stopping the pump was not a valid component failure. Resulted in a slightly different entry path to PATH-1, now being caused by the loss of offsite power. Also reordered events to make this replacement Event 3 instead of Event 4.
- 4) Previous Event 3 is now Event 4.
- 5) No changes to Events 5 or 6.
- 6) Designated Event 7, "EDG A Restart Following SG Depressurization," as a component failure for the BOP and SRO only, eliminating the designation for the RO. This event was not counted in the original Competencies Checklist as a required event for any candidates as it occurs following EOP entry.
- 7) No change to Event 8 (classification).

#### SCENARIO #2

- 1) Changed initial conditions to make this a "low power" scenario. Now start at approximately 52% power with one train of FW in service.
- 2) Added Normal event for BOP as Event 1 in scenario, requiring the position to "Place the Second Train of FW (Condensate and Condensate Booster Pump) in Service."
- 3) Previous Event 1 is now Event 2.
- 4) Changed previous Event 2 from "Normal Service Water Pump A Trip" to "Normal Service Water Pump A Shaft Shear" to provide more required actions for RO candidate in response to failure. Also now is Event 3 instead of Event 2.
- 5) Added new Event 4, an instrument malfunction for the BOP, "Failure of a SG PORV Pressure Transmitter," as the NRC Lead Examiner determined a SG Tube Leak is not to be considered a component failure.
- 8) Events 3, 4, and 5 are now Events 5, 6, and 7, respectively.
- 6) No change to Event 8 (classification).

### SCENARIO #3 (Spare)

- 1) Changed Event 1 title from "LCV-115A, VCT Divert Valve Control Failure to HUT" to "LT-112, VCT Level, High Failure". Event is same, but better described by new title.
- 2) No changes to Events 2, 3, 4, and 5.
- 3) Changed initiating malfunction and changed title of Event 6 from "RCS Loop A Cold Leg Leak at Approximately 1000 gpm leak, Ramped in Over 15 Minutes" to "RCS Loop A cold leg small break LOCA, Ramped in Over 15 Minutes." There are no actual changes to the expected crew response.
- 9) No changes to Events 7 and 8.
- 4) No change to Event 9 (classification).

ES-401	Record of Rejected K/As	Form ES-401-10

Tier/Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
1/1	068AA1.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 1	055EA2.05	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/1	026AK3.04	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/1	0052.4.4	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 1	027AK1.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 1	015/017AK1.04	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 2	008AA2.15	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 2	033AA2.12	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	022AK3.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	W/E01EA2.02	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	029EK1.03	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	001AA2.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 2	001AA1.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	022AA2.04	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	W/E05EA2.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	033AA1.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	037AK3.08	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1/2	011EA2.01	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed
1 / 2	009EA2.32	Exceeds number of topics in K/A allowed

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

.,

•

Page 1 of 5

÷

HARRIS NUCLEAR PLANT – AUG 2002

ES-401	Record of Rejected K/As	Form ES-401-10
÷		······································

Tier/Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejec	ction
1 / 2	029EK3.12	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed	
1/3	056AA1.11	Exceeds number of topics in E/APE allowed	
2 / 1	001K5.39	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2 / 1	004K5.35	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	:
2/1	004K6.14	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/1	001K4.14	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	012K6.11	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	002A4.06	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	0022.4.18	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	011A3.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	006K6.18	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	0862.1.12	Exceeds number of topics in K/A allowed	
2/2	014A1.03	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	062K4.10	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	0862.1.32	Exceeds number of topics in K/A allowed	÷
2/2	002K5.14	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	006A2.10	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	062A2.06	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	011K5.02	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

.

4

Page 2 of 5

÷

HARRIS NUCLEAR PLANT – AUG 2002

ES-401		Record of Rejected K/As	Form ES-401-10
Tier/Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection	
2/2	012K3.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	075A4.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	011A2.06	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	0642.1.32	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	006A2.12	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	039A1.10	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	026A3.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	033A2.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	063A2.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	010A1.07	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/2	002K5.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2/3	008K4.09	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/3	005A2.01	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
2/3	008A3.05	Exceeds number of topics in System allowed	
3	2.1.4	Exceeds number of topics in Category allowed	
3	2.1.32	Exceeds number of topics in Category allowed	
		<u>i</u>	

٠.

T

ES-401	<u></u>	Record of Rejected K/As	Form ES-401-10
Tier/Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection	
2/1	061K6.01	Replaced by 061A3.03 – improved KA match – reviewed / d	iscussed with NRC
2/1	022K4.01	Replaced by 022A3.01 – Harris has no Containment Penetrat discussed with NRC	tion Cooling – reviewed /
1/3 (1/2)	065AA1.02	Replaced by 065AA2.08 - improved KA match - reviewed /	discussed with NRC
2/3 (2/2)	103A4.06	Replaced by 103K4.04 - improved KA match – reviewed / di	iscussed with NRC
1/2 (1/1)	059AA2.03	Replaced by 059AA2.05 - improved KA match - reviewed /	discussed with NRC
2/1	0682.1.32	Replaced by 068K1.07 - improved KA match reviewed / di	iscussed with NRC
2/3 (RO Only)	007A4.04	Replaced by 007A4.01 – Harris has no Control Board indicated valve – reviewed / discussed with NRC	tions / control of PRZ vent
3 (RO Only)	2.4.19	Replaced by 2.4.17 - improved KA match - reviewed / discu	ssed with NRC
2/3 (RO Only)	0282.1.27	Replaced by 0282.1.32 - improved KA match – reviewed / d	iscussed with NRC
2/1 (RO Only)	004A3.08	Replaced by 004K4.16 - improved KA match – reviewed / d	iscussed with NRC
3 (SRO Only)	2.3.4	Replaced by 2.4.30 - improved KA match - reviewed / discu	ssed with NRC
1/3 (SRO Only)	028AA2.13	Replaced by 028AA2.02- Harris uses no graphs to allow for uncompensated PRZ level – reviewed / discussed with NRC	
1/1 (SRO Only)	WE14.2.3.10	Replaced by WE14.2.4.20 - improved KA match - reviewed	/ discussed with NRC
3 (SRO Only)	2.1.10	Replaced by 2.2.6 - improved KA match – reviewed / discus	sed with NRC
1/1 (SRO Only)	051AA2.02	Replaced by 055.2.4.1 – originally selected KA closely matc previously selected and would result in 'double jeopardy' – r system KA since only KAs in 051 E/APE with importance $\geq$ reviewed / discussed with NRC	eplaced with different

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

۲

Page 4 of 5

ES-401	••••	Record of Rejected K/As	Form ES-401-10
Tier/Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection	
3 (SRO Only)	2.4.41	Replaced by 2.4.40 - improved KA match – reviewed / discussed	with NRC
2/3	008K2.02	Replaced by 008K4.07 - improved KA match – reviewed / discuss	·
1/2 (1/1)	029EK3.11	Replaced by 029EK3.12 - improved KA match – reviewed / discu	ussed with NRC
3 (SRO Only)	2.4.21	Replaced by 2.4.14 – improved KA match – selected by NRC dur	ing exam review
		· ·	
		:	
			<u></u>
		T	

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

×

e1 - 1

Page 5 of 5

.

÷

HARRIS NUCLEAR PLANT – AUG 2002

(

ĺ

ŝ,

### Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facility	tv: Date of Exam:	E	Exam Le	vel R	SRO-			
				Initials				
	Item Description		а	b	с			
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading			Ľ	@ 4ª			
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justif documented	ied and	на	NA	MA			
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)		(ay	az	Q M			
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) rev detail	iewed in	na	NA	NA			
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure t are justified	hat grades	na	NA	MA			
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for tra deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate valid questions missed by half or more of the applicant	dity of	(B)	af	O.M			
	Printed Name / Sign	nature		[	Date			
a. Grader <u>CPM atthews CPM atthews</u> <u>9/3/02</u>								
b. Facility Reviewer(*) Archie Lucky archie Jucky 9/3/02 Kethleen alehhy Kathleen and 8/10/02								
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) <u>George T- Hopper / Marger 9/16/02</u>								
d. NRC Supervisor (*)								
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.								

(

 $C^{2}$ 

### Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

1

Facility:		Date of Exam:	Exam Le	evel: <del>R</del> (	DS
				Initials	;
	lte	em Description	а	b	
1.	Clean answer sheets	copied before grading	G	a	Œ
	Answer key changes documented	and question deletions justified and	na	NA	M
	••	ecked for addition errors < > 25% of examinations)	(in)	aj	Ø
	Grading for all border detail	line cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in	na	NA	nfi
	All other failing exami are justified	inations checked to ensure that grades	na	NA	nþ
	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants			a	P
		Printed Name / Signature		C	ate
a. Gra	der	CP Ma thews / CP Matthew	<u>z</u>	91	3/0
b. Facility Reviewer(*) Archie Lucky archie Lucky				9/3/02	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Groupe T. Hogger Alerge Fronzer 9/16/02					
d. NRC	C Supervisor (*)				
(*)	The facility reviewer's	s signature is not applicable for examinat	tions grade	ed by ti	he

5 of 5 NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

......

.

.

	Task Description	Date Complete
1.	Facility written exam comments or graded exams received and verified complete	9/5/02
2.	Facility written exam comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed, if necessary	9/6/02 9/6/02
3.	Operating tests graded by NRC examiners	9/6/02
4.	NRC Chief examiner review of written exam and operating test grading completed	9/6/02 9/11/02
5.	Responsible supervisor review completed	9/11/02
6.	Management (licensing official) review completed	9/11/02
7.	License and denial letters mailed	9/13/02
8.	Facility notified of results	9/11/02
9.	Examination report issued (refer to NRC MC 0610)	9/14/02
10.	Reference material returned after final resolution of any appeals	MA 9/13/02