		· ·			
1 2	KEKER & VAN NEST, L.L.P. ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065) JAMES M. EMERY (SBN 153630)	50-275/323			
3	STEVEN A. HIRSCH (SBN 171825) G. WHITNEY LEIGH (SBN 153457)				
4	ROY L. AUSTIN (SBN 211491) CLEMENT S. ROBERTS (SBN 209203)	•			
5	710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400	•			
6	Facsimile: (415) 397-7188				
7	Special Counsel to Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY				
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	In re	Case No. 01 30923 DM			
13 14	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a California corporation,	Chapter 11 Case			
15	Debtor.	KEKER &VAN NEST, L.L.P.'S FOURTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION FOR			
16	Dobtoi.	ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF INTERIM COMPENSATION AND			
17	Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 2002 TO JULY			
18		31, 2002  Date: October 29, 2002			
19		Date: October 29, 2002 Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: 235 Pine Street			
20		San Francisco, CA			
21		Judge: HON. DENNIS MONTALI			
22		•			
23					
24		•			
25					
26	·				
27	A001 Add: Rids Oge Ma	ul Center			
28	Hour Land				
		·			

1	Keker & van Nest, L.L.P. ("KVN") submits this application under 11 U.S.C. § 330 and			
2	Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2016 for compensation for the services that it performed as special counsel to			
3	Pacific Gas and Electric Company, debtor and debtor in possession in this case ("PG&E" or the			
4	"Debtor"), from April 1, 2002 through July 31, 2002 (the "Application Period"), and for			
5	reimbursement of its charges and disbursements	during that period. KVN submits this		
6	Application for (a) allowance of reasonable com	pensation for professional services rendered by		
7	KVN and (b) reimbursement of actual and neces	sary charges and disbursements incurred by		
8	KVN in rendering professional services on beha	If of Debtor.		
9	SUMMARY SHEET FOR KEKER & VAN NEST, L.L.P.'S FOURTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF INTERIM COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD			
11	APRIL 1, 2001 THRO	DUGH JULY 31, 2002		
12	Name of Applicant	Keker & Van Nest, L.L.P.		
13 14	Authorized to Provides Professional Services to:	Pacific Gas and Electric Company, debtor and debtor in possession		
15	Date of Retention Order:	June 11, 2001		
16 17	Period for Which Compensation and Reimbursement is Sought:	April 1, 2002 through July 31, 2002		
18	Amount of Compensation Sought as Actual, Reasonable and Necessary:	\$339,264.26		
19	Amount of Expense Reimbursement Sought as Actual, Reasonable and Necessary:	\$ 42,760.78		
20	This is an: X Interim	Final application		
21 22	Prior Application: Third Interim Application for 31, 2002 filed May 15, 2002.	the period December 1, 2001 through March		
23				
24		•		
25				
26				
27				
28				
		1		
	Keker & Van Nest's Fourth Interim Fee Applicatio	n for the Period April 1, 2002 through July 31, 2002		
	CASE NO. 01 30323 DIVI			

### **POWER GENERATOR MATTER**

Year of Admission

1978

1994

1991

2000

. N/A

N/A

Rate

\$550.00

\$300.00

\$280.00

\$215.00

\$125.00

\$ 60.00

Hours

7.625

9.875

17.500

87.600

11.875

99.475

19.625

-23.500

43.125

183.600

Amount

\$4,193.75

\$2,962.50

\$ 7,156.25

\$24,528.00

\$ 2,553.13

\$27,081.13

\$2,453.13

\$1,410.00

\$ 3,863.13

\$38,100.51

3	,
,	Partne
	Robert

ers: obert A. Van Nest 5

**Associates:** 

G. Whitney Leigh

Clement S. Roberts

Paraprofessionals:

**Total Paraprofessionals** 

Brian D. Loper

Case Assistants

**GRAND TOTAL** 

**Total Associates** 

Name<sup>1</sup>

Steven A. Hirsch 6 **Total Partners** 

7 8

1

2

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

<sup>1</sup> The resume for each attorney is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Application. (This exhibit is not attached to the service copies of this document. Copies of this exhibit may be obtained through the Keker & Van Nest website at www.kvn.com.

## SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES. v. PG&E ("SPI Matter")

3	Partne
.	Partne

1

2

3	<u>Name<sup>2</sup></u>	Year of Admission	Rate	Hours	Amount
4	Partners:	•			•
5	Robert A. Van Nest	1978	\$600.00	67.500	\$ 40,500.00
6	Jon B. Streeter	1981	\$500.00	332.500	\$166,250.00
7	Steven A. Hirsch	1994	\$350.00	1.125	\$ 393.75
8	Less Reduction Per Jon St	reeter		,	825.00
9	Total Partners			401.125	\$206,318.75
10	ı				
11	Associates:				
12	Daniel E. Purcell		\$270.00	199.375	\$ 53,831.25
13	Robert A. Bonta		\$250.00	105.025	\$ 26,312.50
14	Total Associates			304.625	\$ 80,143.75
15		ŕ			•
16	Paraprofessionals:			•	•
17	Brian D. Loper	N/A	\$140.00	68.000	\$ 9,520.00
18	Josh D. Maremont	N/A	\$160.00	14.500	\$ 2,320.00
19	Jesahel X. Alacom	N/A	\$ 90.00	4.000	\$ 360.00
20	Aaron L. Madfes	N/A	\$ 90.00	7.750	\$ 697.50
21	Paula F. Lichtenberg	N/A	\$ 90.00	0.125	\$ 11.25
22	Case Assistants	N/A	\$ 60.00	29.875	\$ 1,792.50
23	Total Paraprofessionals			114.250	\$ 14,701.25
24	GRAND TOTAL				\$301,163.75
l					

25

<sup>27</sup> 28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The resume for each attorney is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Application. (This exhibit is not attached to the service copies of this document. Copies of this exhibit may be obtained through the Keker & Van Nest website at www.kvn.com.

1	<u>r</u>	DISBURSEMENTS		
2	<u>POWER GI</u>	ENERATOR MATTER		
3	Computer Legal Reasearch	\$1.89		
4	Copying Charges	\$1,168.19		
5	Courier/Express/Postage	\$1,041.67		
6	Books and Publications			
7	Business Travel, Lodging, Meals, Parking	\$64.75		
8	Professional Fees (Infotreive, Inc., Boalt Express, Integral Solutions, video conferencing)			
10	Conference calls	\$142.76		
11	Pclerks	•		
12	CLIENT TOTAL	\$2,419.26		
13	·	D. 16 (MM)		
14	<u>ऽ</u>	<u>PI MATTER</u>		
15	Computer Legal Reasearch	\$ 9,114.37		
16	Copying Charges	\$ 4,596.90		
17	Courier/Express/Postage	\$ 2,049.74		
18	Books and Publications			
19	Business Travel, Lodging, Meals, Parking	\$ 4,654.03		
20	Professional Fees (Infotreive, Inc., Boalt Express, Integral Solutions, video	\$17,717.44		
21	conferencing)			
22	Conference calls/phone	\$ 3,126.84		
23	Pclerks			
24		\$41,259.32		
25	Less Credit for May 2002 photocopies	917.80		
26	CLIENT TOTAL	\$40,341.52		
27	,	. –		
28				

## INTRODUCTION

PG&E is a utility providing gas and electric services to more than 4.5 million customers in Central and Northern California, serving a population of approximately 13 million. The Debtor employs over 19,000 people and has in excess of 30,000 trade creditors. On April 6, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), PG&E filed its Chapter 11 petition. PG&E continues to operate its business in the ordinary course of a debtor in possession under Sections 1107(a) and 1008 of the Bankruptcy Code.

### A. Retention of KVN in the Power Generator Matter

PG&E applied to retain KVN to act as its special counsel in this Chapter 11 case, in accordance with section 327(e) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Retention Application") to represent the Debtor with respect to a task on which KVN had been retained by the Debtor prior to commencement of this case. That task ("the Power Generator Matter") requires KVN to consider and analyze potential litigation between PG&E and other parties concerning excessive charges for electric power in the California electric-power market. On June 11, 2001, the Court entered its Order approving PG&E's retention of KVN in the Power Generator Matter. Since that time, KVN's representation in the Power Generator Matter has come to include assisting PG&E in responding to subpoenas and inquiries from government officials and entities that are investigating excessive charges for electric power in the California market.

Because no action has been filed and all communications regarding the Power Generator Matter are privileged, PG&E and KVN cannot publicly disclose any additional information about the Power Generator Matter. If the Court believes that it needs additional information, KVN can submit that information to the Court, under seal, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9018.

### B. Retention of KVN in the SPI Matter

KVN represented PG&E in a breach-of-contract, antitrust, and business-tort suit brought by Sierra Pacific Industries ("SPI") against PG&E and the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO"). SPI's suit arose out of PG&E's inability to pay fully for power delivered by SPI during the energy crisis of early 2001. SPI alleged that PG&E had breached its

1	power-purchasing agreements with SPI by failing to make payments, and further alleged that
2	PG&E and the ISO had conspired to exclude SPI from the California energy market. SPI sought
3	over \$1 billion in compensatory and punitive damages. On May 31, 2002, the Court entered its
4	Order approving PG&E's retention of KVN as special counsel in the SPI Matter, nunc pro tunc
5	to March 28, 2002. Beginning in April 2002, KVN assumed the role of lead counsel in the case,
6	eventually participating in a June 3, 2002 mediation that resulted in the provisional settlement of
7	the case. On July 22, 2002, the parties finalized and executed the formal settlement agreement
8	and, on August 6, 2002, PG&E submitted that agreement for approval to this Court and to the
9	California Public Utilities Commission. PG&E's applications for approval are currently pending.
10	C. Compliance With Guidelines
11	The Firm represents and warrants that its billing practices comply with all
12	Northern District of California Bankruptcy Local Rules and Compensation Guidelines and

Northern District of California Bankruptcy Local Rules and Compensation Guidelines and the Guidelines of the Office of the United States Trustee, with the following exception:

KVN's computer system is not set up to bill in tenths of an hour. Instead, the KVN is required to bill in eighths of an hour. This does not result in the rounding up of total time billed to the Debtor.

KVN's billing practices and hourly rates are identical for bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy clients (of which PG&E is the only one). The costs charged to PG&E are either identical to or less than the costs charged to non-bankruptcy clients.

### D. 2016 Compensation Statement

Neither the Firm nor any member of the Firm has any agreement or understanding of any kind or nature to divide, pay over, or share any portion of the fees or expenses to be awarded to the Firm with any other person or attorney except as among the members and associates of the Firm.

### E. Summary of Services

### 1. Power Generator Matter

The events encompassing the Debtor's bankruptcy case are set out in the application of the Debtor's general bankruptcy counsel, Howard, Rice, Nemerovski, Canady, Falk & Rabkin

("Howard Rice"). During the Application Period, KVN has considered and analyzed potential litigation between PG&E and other parties concerning excessive charges for electric power in the California electric-power market. As part of that task, KVN has worked with experts and with other counsel to PG&E who are pursuing other remedies for the excessive charges. KVN also has assisted PG&E in responding to subpoenas and inquiries from government officials and entities that are investigating excessive charges for electric power in the California electric power market.

### 2. SPI Matter

KVN represented PG&E in a breach-of-contract, antitrust, and business-tort suit brought by SPI against PG&E and the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO"). SPI's suit arose out of PG&E's inability to pay fully for power delivered by SPI during the energy crisis of early 2001. SPI alleged that PG&E had breached its power-purchasing agreements with SPI by failing to make payments, and further alleged that PG&E and the ISO had conspired to exclude SPI from the California energy market. SPI sought over \$1 billion in compensatory and punitive damages. Beginning in April 2002, KVN assumed the role of lead counsel in the case, eventually participating in a June 3, 2002 mediation that resulted in the provisional settlement of the case. On July 22, 2002, the parties finalized and executed the formal settlement agreement and, on August 6, 2002, PG&E submitted that agreement for approval to this Court and the California Public Utilities Commission. PG&E's applications for approval are currently pending.

### F. Compensation Requested

KVN's fees for its services rendered during the Application Period with regard to the Power Generator Matter are \$38,100.51. In addition, KVN seeks reimbursement of \$2,419.26 in charges and disbursements actually incurred during the Application Period, for a total of \$40,519.77. The firm does not hold a prepetition retainer.

KVN's fees for its services rendered during the Application Period with regard to the SPI Matter are \$301,163.75 In addition, KVN seeks reimbursement of \$40,341.52 in charges and disbursements actually incurred during the Application Period, for a total of \$341,505.27. The firm does not hold a prepetition retainer.

1
2
3
4
5

KVN requests that the Court (i) approve this Application in full and (ii) authorize and direct the Debtor to pay KVN all amounts requested in this Application.

I.

## INFORMATION REQUIRED BY BANKRUPTCY RULES AND GUIDELINES

# A. Brief History of Present Posture of the Case and Significant Events During Application Period

On April 6, 2001, PG&E filed its Chapter 11 petition. The significant events occurring during the Application Period are set out in Howard Rice's fee application.

KVN has worked with PG&E in connection with considering and analyzing potential litigation between PG&E and other parties concerning excessive charges for electric power in the California electric-power market. As part of that task, KVN also has worked with experts and with other counsel to PG&E who are pursuing other remedies for the excessive charges. KVN also has assisted PG&E in responding to subpoenas and inquiries from government officials and entities that are investigating excessive charges for electric power in the California electric power market. KVN expects that it will continue to assist the Debtor in connection with the Power Generator Matter.

KVN also represented PG&E in a breach-of-contract, antitrust, and business-tort suit brought by SPI against PG&E and the ISO. SPI's suit arose out of PG&E's inability to pay fully for power delivered by SPI during the energy crisis of early 2001. SPI alleged that PG&E had breached its power-purchasing agreements with SPI by failing to make payments, and further alleged that PG&E and the ISO had conspired to exclude SPI from the California energy market. SPI sought over \$1 billion in compensatory and punitive damages. Beginning in April 2002, KVN assumed the role of lead counsel in the case, eventually participating in a June 3, 2002 mediation that resulted in the provisional settlement of the case. On July 22, 2002, the parties finalized and executed the formal settlement agreement and, on August 6, 2002, PG&E submitted that agreement for approval to this Court and the California Public Utilities Commission. PG&E's applications for approval are currently pending.

# B. Listing of Amount of Fees and Expenses Previously Requested, Approved, and Received

## 1. The Court's Order Approving KVN's Retention

This Court granted PG&E's application for authorization to retain KVN as its special counsel in the Power Generator Matter on June 11, 2001. The firm's services began on August 30, 2000.

This Court granted PG&E's application for authorization to retain KVN as its special counsel in the SPI Matter on May 31, 2002. The Court's order appointed KVN effective *nunc* pro tunc to March 28, 2002, when KVN began performing services in the SPI Matter.

## 2. Court's Approval of Compensation for First Interim Period

On November 6, 2001, this Court approved Keker & Van Nest's entire request for compensation for the First Interim Period (April 6, 2001 through July 31, 2001). The Court approved \$218,413.46 in fees and \$11,844.84 in costs.

## 3. Court's Approval of Compensation for Second Interim Period

On February 26, 2002, this Court approved Keker & Van Nest's entire request, less a small amount reflecting travel time, for compensation for the Second Interim Period (August 1, 2001 through November 30, 2001). The Court approved \$95,531.05 in fees and \$10,294.50 in costs.

## 4. Court's Approval of Compensation for Third Interim Period

On July 15, 2002, this Court approved Keker & Van Nest's entire request for compensation for the Amended Third Interim Period (December 1, 2001 through March 31, 2002). The Court approved \$30,958.56 in fees and \$857.87 in costs.

## 5. The Request for Compensation for the Fourth Interim Period

By this Application, KVN seeks approval of compensation and reimbursement of expenses in the total amount of \$308,326.28 for the period April 1, 2002 through July 31, 2002, which consists of \$38,100.51 in fees and \$2,419.26 in costs and disbursements, with regard to the Power General Matter, and \$301,563.75 in fees and \$40,341.52 in costs and disbursements, with regard to the SPI Matter.

## C. Major Activity Codes Used

KVN has billed time to the Power Generator Matter ("Power") and to the SPI Matter ("SPI"). These were the only activity codes that KVN need or employed.

### D. Time Records

KVN has filed separately the detailed time records in support of this Application. KVN's time records consist of chronological time records showing individual professional's services on a particular date, the time devoted to those services, and month summaries.

### E. Client Review of Billing Statements

KVN delivered the text of this Application to PG&E's management before the filing.

PG&E has previously received and reviewed monthly invoices for the period covered by this

Application and did not object to the amounts set forth in those invoices. Attached to the Court's copy as Exhibit 2 is a photocopy of the transmittal letter required by Guideline 7 of the Court's Guidelines for Compensation and Expense Reimbursement of Professionals and Trustees.

II.

## NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF SERVICES RENDERED AND TIME EXPENDED FOR EACH CATEGORY

During the Application Period, in the Power Generator Matter, KVN considered and analyzed potential litigation between PG&E and other parties concerning excessive charges for electric power in the California electric-power market. As part of that task, KVN worked with

experts and with other counsel to PG&E who are pursuing other remedies for the excessive charges. KVN also has assisted PG&E in responding to subpoenas and inquiries from

government officials and entities that are investigating excessive charges for electric power in

the California electric power market.

KVN is assisting the Debtor in dealing with ongoing, highly sensitive and confidential matters. As a consequence, Applicant is unable to specify in great detail the services it is providing to the Debtor, as doing so could seriously compromise Debtor's future business and legal strategy. Applicant would be pleased to provide the Court with additional details of the services its is providing to the Debtor *in camera* or by some other mechanism that will ensure the

\_\_

maintenance of Debtor's confidential information.

KVN represented PG&E in a breach-of-contract, antitrust, and business-tort suit brought by SPI against PG&E and the ISO. SPI's suit arose out of PG&E's inability to pay fully for power delivered by SPI during the energy crisis of early 2001. SPI alleged that PG&E had breached its power-purchasing agreements with SPI by failing to make payments, and further alleged that PG&E and the ISO had conspired to exclude SPI from the California energy market. SPI sought over \$1 billion in compensatory and punitive damages. Beginning in April 2002, KVN assumed the role of lead counsel in the case, eventually participating in a June 3, 2002 mediation that resulted in the provisional settlement of the case. On July 22, 2002, the parties finalized and executed the formal settlement agreement and, on August 6, 2002, PG&E submitted that agreement for approval to this Court and the California Public Utilities Commission. PG&E's applications for approval are currently pending.

III.

### **EXPENSES**

KVN charges clients \$0.10 per page for copying and no charge for incoming facsimiles. For outgoing facsimiles, KVN charges its actual telephone charges, as reflected in its phone bill. KVN bills each client's telephone and postage charges at cost. For computer-assisted research, the firm takes the total flat rate that it pays every month, discounts that amount to reflect pro bono and other nonbillable usage, and then allocates the remainder to each client based on its percentage of the remaining usage for that month.

Dated: September 13, 2002 KEKER & VAN NEST, L.L.P.

By:

Special Counsel to Debtor in Possession CIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

[ATTORNEY CERTIFICATION ATTACHED]

**CERTIFICATION** 

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

1. Dicychi 11. Hillsch. dechal	I,	Steven	A.	Hirsch,	declare	<u>:</u> :
--------------------------------	----	--------	----	---------	---------	------------

- (a) That I am a member of Keker & Van Nest LLP ("KVN") and of the bar of the States of California, New York, and Connecticut, and of the bar of the District of Columbia.
- (b) That I am familiar with and have read the above Fourth Interim Fee Application and that the facts therein are true to my knowledge and belief. The copies of the billing statements submitted herewith are true and correct copies of the billing statements of Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("the Debtor") for the matters in which KVN is currently retained by the Debtor ("the Matters").
- (c) That KVN has not been paid or promised any compensation from any other source for services rendered in connection with the Matters.
- (d) That KVN has not entered into any agreement or understanding with any other entity for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered and/or to be rendered in connection with the Matters.
- (e) That to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, the compensation and expense reimbursement sought herein is in conformity with the *Guidelines for Compensation* and Expense Reimbursement of Professionals and Trustees for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, except for the following: KVN's computer system is not set up to bill in tenths of an hour. Instead, KVN is required to bill in eighths of an hour. This does not result in the rounding up of total time billed to the Debtor.
- (f) That the compensation and expenses sought herein were billed at rates no less favorable than those customarily billed by KVN and generally accepted by the KVN's clients.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this certification was executed this 13th day of September, 2002 at San Francisco, California.

By:

KEKER & VAN NEST, L.L.P.

STEVEN A. HIRSCH

Special Counsel to Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

COMPANY