

From: Douglas Pickett
To: Lawrence Burkhart - NRR
Date: 10/30/01 11:05AM
Subject: Re: DRAFT ORDERS

Larry -

I don't know where August 31, 2001, came from, but the licensee responded to the bulletin by letter dated September 4, 2001, and supplemented on October 17, 2001. Also, shouldn't the draft order contain some acknowledgement of the licensee's response (which we are expecting shortly) to the staff's 21 question RAI? Finally, have we given up hope that the licensee's response to the RAI will save the day? ✓

Doug

>>> Lawrence Burkhart 10/30/01 10:32AM >>>

We are drafting orders for Surry 2, D.C. Cook 2, and Davis-Besse due to the proposed schedules in their Bulletin 2001-01 response regarding performance of a qualified visual exam of all of the vessel head penetration nozzles (i.e., there is not assurance of adequate protection of the public health and safety due to the possibility of existence of a vessel head penetration nozzle crack that may lead to a LOCA, etc.).

To support the current schedule, concurrence through Sam Collins is required by this Friday. Please review the attached files for your plant. Please provide comments ASAP. The order is not complete as information from EMCB regarding crack growth rate, etc, will be added. However, in order to facilitate your concurrence, please review what we have to date. A final package will be routed for your concurrence when the technical information is completed and added.

Thanks.

Larry.

CC: Anthony Mendiola; Stephen Sands

D-47