

210

Information in this record was derived from records maintained in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 2002-229 FOIA-

PLANTS WITH CRACKING/LEAKAGE HISTORY (BIN 1) AND HIGH SUSCEPTIBILITY PLANTS (BIN 2)

Plant	Last Inspection		Next Inspection			CCDP* (IPE)	Response Acceptable ?	
	Date	Method	Date	Method	Method			
Oconee 1	11/2000	Qual. Visual - 100%	03/2002	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 2	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	[REDACTED]	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 3	2/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	11/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
ANO-1	03/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	[REDACTED]	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	3E-3	YES
TMI-1	09/1999	Eff. Visual - 100%	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	7.5E-3	YES
Robinson	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%**	[REDACTED]	OK ★	Qual. Visual - 100%**	OK★	2E-2	YES★
Surry 1	Spr 2000	GL 88-05 & GL 97-01	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%**	OK★	5.3E-3	YES★
North Anna 1	02/1996	ID NDE - 31%	09/01 (completed)	OK	Qual. Visual (100%) & ECT/UT**	OK★	6.6E-3	YES★
North Anna 2	Spr 2001	GL 88-05 & GL 97-01	Prior to 12/31/01****	OK ★	Qual. Visual - 100%**	OK★	6.6E-3	YES★
Davis-Besse	03/2000	Qual. Visual (< 100% and >18 months from next planned insp.)	04/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	6.9E-3	NO
D.C. Cook 2	09/1994	ID NDE - 91%	1/19/2002	NO	Remote Visual & ECT/UT	OK	4.7E-3	NO
Surry 2	Fall 2000	GL 88-05 & GL 97-01	03/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%**	OK★	5.3E-3	NO

* Conditional core damage probability.
 ** Licensee stated its intention to submit information to "qualify" the inspection.
 *** Licensee stated its intention to shutdown and perform "qualified" inspection if it could not "qualify" previous inspection.
 **** Licensee stated its intention to perform "qualified" inspection of 100% of VHP nozzles prior to 12/31/01.
 ★ Pending acceptability of licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/18/01

F-2

PLANTS HAVING MODERATE SUSCEPTIBILITY TO PWSCC AS OF 10/18/2001

5-11

Plant	Ranking (EPFY)	Next Inspection			Response Acceptable?
		Date	Method		
Beaver Valley 1	11.5	Sept. 2001	Eff. Visual in Sept. 2001	OK	YES
Beaver Valley 2	16.5	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 1	9.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual. Vol. Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 2	10.2	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual or Qual. Vol. [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Crystal River 3	5.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 1	20.8	May 2002	Eff. Visual in May 2002	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 2	16.1	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Farley 1	6.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Oct. 2001	OK	YES
Farley 2	8.3	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual or Qual. Vol. [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Fort Calhoun	17.9	Spring 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002*	OK*	YES*
Kewaunee	21.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Point Beach 1	11.5	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Point Beach 2	9.6	April 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Prairie Island 1	26.7	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Prairie Island 2	26.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Salem 1	13.8	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Salem 2	17.4	Apr. 2002	Eff. Visual in Apr. 2002	OK	YES
San Onofre 2	10.7	May 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual. Vol., May 2002	OK	YES
San Onofre 3	10.8	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual or Qual. Vol. [REDACTED]	OK	YES
St. Lucie 1	10.3	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual [REDACTED]	OK	YES
Turkey Point 3	6.3	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in October 2001	OK	YES
Turkey Point 4	6.4	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Waterford 3	7.8	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Ginna	15.0	Mar. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)**	?	?
St. Lucie 2	11.3	Nov. 2001	<100% Eff. Visual at next outage*	?	?
Millstone 2	14.3	Feb. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)**	?	?
ANO-2	17.1	April 2002	Surface or Vol. of 25% in Spring 2002***	NO	NO
Indian Point 2	26.6	[REDACTED]	GLs 88-05 & 97-01***	NO	NO
Indian Point 3	14.5	[REDACTED]	GLs 88-05 & 97-01***	NO	NO
Palo Verde 1	17.0	[REDACTED]	None (Vol. in [REDACTED])	NO	NO
Palo Verde 2	17.7	May 2002	None (Vol. in [REDACTED])	NO	NO
Palo Verde 3	17.3	Sept. 2001	None (Vol. in [REDACTED])	NO	NO

* Licensee stated intentions to perform 100% Eff. Visual Inspection at next outage. (For St. Lucie 2 only: In a telecon on 10/11/01 the licensee stated its intention to perform a 100% eff. visual insp. However, 100% may not be feasible due to insulation interference - licensee is reviewing info now and will provide feedback to the staff).

** Licensee stated its intention to provide more information to the staff regarding the scope and schedule of inspection.

*** Licensee stated that it would reconsider its position re: scope of inspection and would provide feedback to the staff.

★ Pending acceptability of licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/18/01

PLANTS HAVING MODERATE SUSCEPTIBILITY TO PWSCC AS OF 10/18/2001

Plant	Ranking (EFPY)	Next Inspection			Response Acceptable?
		Date	Method		
Beaver Valley 1	11.5	Sept. 2001	Eff. Visual (100%) in Sept. 2001	OK	YES
Beaver Valley 2	16.5	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual (100%) in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 1	9.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual (100%) or Qual. Vol., Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 2	10.2		Eff. Visual (100%) or Qual. Vol.	OK	YES
Crystal River 3	5.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual (100%) in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 1	20.8	May 2002	Eff. Visual (% not specified) in May 2002	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 2	16.1		Eff. Visual (% not specified) in	OK	YES
Farley 1	6.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual (All) in Oct. 2001	OK	YES
Farley 2	8.3		Eff. Visual (All) or Qual Vol.	OK	YES
Fort Calhoun	17.9	Apr./May 2002	Eff. Visual (100%) in Spring 2002*	OK*	YES*
Kewaunee	21.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual (100%) in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Point Beach 1	11.5		Eff. Visual (100%)	OK	YES
Point Beach 2	9.6	April 2002	Eff. Visual (100%) in Spring 2002**	OK	YES
Prairie Island 1	26.7		Eff. Visual (All) in	OK	YES
Prairie Island 2	26.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual (All) in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Salem 1	13.8		Eff. Visual (All) in	OK	YES
Salem 2	17.4	Apr. 2002	Eff. Visual (All) in Apr. 2002	OK	YES
San Onofre 2	10.7	May 2002	Eff. Visual (All) or Qual Vol., May 2002**	OK	YES
San Onofre 3	10.8		Eff. Visual (All) or Qual Vol.	OK	YES
St. Lucie 1	10.3		Eff. Visual (100%) in	OK	YES
Turkey Point 3	6.3	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual (100%) in October 2001	OK	YES
Turkey Point 4	6.4	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual (100%) in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Waterford 3	7.8	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual (% not specified) in Spring 2002	OK	YES
GINNA	15.0	Mar. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)***	?	?
St. Lucie 2	11.3	Nov. 2001	<100% Eff. Visual at next outage*	?	?
Millstone 2	14.3	Feb. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)***	?	?
ANCO-2	17.1	April 2002	Surface or Vol. of 25% in Spring 2002****	NO	NO
Indian Point 2	26.6		GLs 88-05 & 97-01****	NO	NO
Indian Point 3	14.5		GLs 88-05 & 97-01****	NO	NO
Palo Verde 1	17.0		None (Vol. in)	NO	NO
Palo Verde 2	17.7	May 2002	None (Vol. in)	NO	NO
Palo Verde 3	17.3	Sept. 2001	None (Vol. in)	NO	NO

* Licensee stated intentions to perform 100% Eff. Visual Inspection at next outage. (For St. Lucie 2 only: In a telecon on 10/11/01 the licensee stated its intention to perform a 100% eff. visual insp. However, 100% may not be feasible due to insulation interference - licensee is reviewing info now and will provide feedback to the staff).

** Placed qualifications on achieving 100% inspection.

*** Licensee stated its intention to provide more information to the staff regarding the scope and schedule of inspection.

**** Licensee stated that it would reconsider its position re: scope of inspection and would provide feedback to the staff.

* Pending acceptability of licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/18/01