

Handwritten initials/signature

PLANTS WITH CRACKING/LEAKAGE HISTORY (BIN 1) AND HIGH SUSCEPTIBILITY PLANTS (BIN 2)

Plants	Last Inspection		Next Inspection				CCDP* (IPE)	Response Acceptable ?
	Date	Method	Date		Method			
Oconee 1	11/2000	Qual. Visual - 100%	03/2002	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 2	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	[REDACTED]	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 3	2/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	11/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
ANO-1	03/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	[REDACTED]	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	3E-3	YES
Surry 1	Spr 2000	***	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	5.3E-3	YES **
TMI-1	09/1999	Eff. Visual - 100%	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	7.5E-3	YES
North Anna 1	02/1996	ID NDE - 31%	09/2001	OK	Qual. Visual (100%) & ECT/UT★	OK★	6.6E-3	YES★
North Anna 2	Spr 2001	***	[REDACTED]	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%****	NO	6.6E-3	NO****
Robinson	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	[REDACTED]	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%★	OK★	2E-2	YES★
Davis-Besse	03/2000	Visual - Partial	04/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	6.9E-3	NO
D.C. Cook 2	09/1994	ID NDE - 91%	1/19/2002	NO	Remote Visual & ECT/UT	OK	4.7E-3	NO
Surry 2	Fall 2000	***	03/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	5.3E-3	NO**

* Conditional core damage probability.

** Licensee has committed to qualified visual examination per conference call (September 21, 2001).

*** Prior inspection at last RFO, in accordance with GL 88-05 & GL 97-01.

**** Licensee may or does not have sufficient information to demonstrate that last inspection was a qualified visual examination.

★ Pending licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/17/01

Handwritten: E-19

information has been provided in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions ... FOIA - 2002-229

10/17/01

PLANTS HAVING MODERATE SUSCEPTIBILITY TO PWSCC AS OF 10/17/2001

EX4

Plant	Ranking (EPFY)	Next Inspection		Response Acceptable?
		Date	Method	
Beaver Valley 1	11.5	Sept. 2001	Eff. Visual in Sept. 2001	OK YES
Beaver Valley 2	16.5	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK YES
Calvert Cliffs 1	9.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual & Qual. Vol. Feb. 2002	OK YES
Calvert Cliffs 2	10.2	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual & Qual. Vol. [REDACTED]	OK YES
Crystal River 3	5.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK YES
Diablo Canyon 1	20.8	May 2002	Eff. Visual in May 2002	OK YES
Diablo Canyon 2	16.1	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK YES
Farley 1	6.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Oct. 2001	OK YES
Farley 2	8.3	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual or Qual Vol. [REDACTED]	OK YES
Fort Calhoun	17.9	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK★ YES★
Kewaunee	21.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK YES
Point Beach 1	11.5	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK YES
Point Beach 2	9.6	April 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK YES
Prairie Island 1	26.7	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK YES
Prairie Island 2	26.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK YES
Salem 1	13.8	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK YES
Salem 2	17.4	Apr. 2002	Eff. Visual in Apr. 2002	OK YES
San Onofre 2	10.7	May 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual Vol., May 2002	OK YES
San Onofre 3	10.8	Jan. 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual Vol. [REDACTED]	OK YES
St. Lucie 1	10.3	[REDACTED]	Eff. Visual in [REDACTED]	OK YES
Turkey Point 3	6.3	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in October 2001	OK YES
Turkey Point 4	6.4	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK YES
Waterford 3	7.8	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK YES
Ginna	15.0	Mar. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)	NO ?
St. Lucie 2	11.3	Nov. 2001	Verbally committed to attempt to achieve 100% Eff. Visual at next outage*	NO★ NO★
Millstone 2	14.3	Feb. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)	NO ?
ANO-2	17.1	April 2002	Surface or Vol. of 25% in Spring 2002	NO NO
Indian Point 2	26.6	[REDACTED]	GLs 88-05 & 97-01	NO NO
Indian Point 3	14.5	[REDACTED]	GLs 88-05 & 97-01	NO NO
Palo Verde 1	17.0	[REDACTED]	None (Vol. In [REDACTED])	NO NO
Palo Verde 2	17.7	May 2002	None (Vol. In [REDACTED])	NO NO
Palo Verde 3	17.3	Sept. 2001	None (Vol. In [REDACTED])	NO NO

* In Bulletin response, Licensee proposed to remove one panel of insulation, and to look under the shroud to examine as many CRDMs as possible. St. Lucie Unit 2 has 91 CRDMs. However, in a telecon on 10/11/01 the licensee stated that they would attempt to achieve a 100% eff. visual insp. But they may not be able to achieve 100% (they are reviewing info now).

★ Pending licensee's supplemental response.