

*E-17*

# PLANTS WITH CRACKING/LEAKAGE HISTORY (BIN 1) AND HIGH SUSCEPTIBILITY PLANTS (BIN 2)

Plants	Last Inspection		Next Inspection				CCDP* (IPE)	Response Acceptable ?
	Date	Method	Date		Method			
Oconee 1	11/2000	Qual. Visual - 100%	03/2002	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 2	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%		OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
Oconee 3	2/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%	11/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	1E-2 3.5E-3 (Response)	YES
ANO-1	03/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%		OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	3E-3	YES
Surry 1	Spr 2000	***	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	5.3E-3	YES **
TMI-1	09/1999	Eff. Visual - 100%	10/2001	OK	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	7.5E-3	YES
North Anna 1	02/1996	ID NDE - 31%	09/2001	OK	Qual. Visual (100%) & ECT/UT★	OK★	6.6E-3	YES★
North Anna 2	Spr 2001	***		NO	Qual. Visual - 100%****	NO	6.6E-3	NO****
Robinson	04/2001	Qual. Visual - 100%		OK	Qual. Visual - 100%★	OK★	2E-2	YES★
Davis-Besse	03/2000	Visual - Partial	04/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	6.9E-3	NO
D.C. Cook 2	09/1994	ID NDE - 91%	1/19/2002	NO	Remote Visual & ECT/UT	OK	4.7E-3	NO
Surry 2	Fall 2000	***	03/2002	NO	Qual. Visual - 100%	OK	5.3E-3	NO**

\* Conditional core damage probability.

\*\* Licensee has committed to qualified visual examination per conference call (September 21, 2001).

\*\*\* Prior inspection at last RFO, in accordance with GL 88-05 & GL 97-01.

\*\*\*\* Licensee may or does not have sufficient information to demonstrate that last inspection was a qualified visual examination.

★ Pending licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/15/01

*E-17*

PLANTS HAVING MODERATE SUSCEPTIBILITY TO PWSCC AS OF 10/15/2001

EX 4

Plant	Ranking (EFPY)	Next Inspection			Response Acceptable?
		Date	Method		
Beaver Valley 1	11.5	Sept. 2001	Eff. Visual in Sept. 2001	OK	YES
Beaver Valley 2	16.5	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 1	9.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual & Qual. Vol. Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Calvert Cliffs 2	10.2		Eff. Visual & Qual. Vol.	OK	YES
Crystal River 3	5.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 1	20.8	May 2002	Eff. Visual in May 2002	OK	YES
Diablo Canyon 2	16.1		Eff. Visual	OK	YES
Farley 1	6.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Oct. 2001	OK	YES
Farley 2	8.3		Eff. Visual or Qual Vol.	OK★	YES★
Fort Calhoun	17.9		Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK★	YES★
Kewaunee	21.9	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in Fall 2001	OK	YES
Point Beach 1	11.5		Eff. Visual in	OK	YES
Point Beach 2	8.6	April 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Prairie Island 1	26.7		Eff. Visual in	OK	YES
Prairie Island 2	26.8	Feb. 2002	Eff. Visual in Feb. 2002	OK	YES
Salem 1	13.8		Eff. Visual in	OK	YES
Salem 2	17.4	Apr. 2002	Eff. Visual in Apr. 2002	OK	YES
San Onofre 2	10.7	May 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual Vol., May 2002	OK	YES
San Onofre 3	10.8	Jan. 2002	Eff. Visual or Qual Vol.	OK	YES
St. Lucie 1	10.3		Eff. Visual in	OK	YES
Turkey Point 3	6.3	Oct. 2001	Eff. Visual in October 2001	OK	YES
Turkey Point 4	6.4	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Waterford 3	7.6	Mar. 2002	Eff. Visual in Spring 2002	OK	YES
Ginna	15.0	Mar. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)	NO	?
St. Lucie 2	11.3	Nov. 2001	Verbally committed to try to get 100% Eff. Visual at next outage*	NO★	NO★
Millstone 2	14.3	Feb. 2002	Not Specified (notify 1/02)	NO	?
ANO-2	17.1	April 2002	Surface or Vol. of 25% in Spring 2002	NO	NO
Indian Point 2	26.6		GLs 88-05 & 97-01	NO	NO
Indian Point 3	14.5		GLs 88-05 & 97-01	NO	NO
Palo Verde 1	17.0		None (Vol. In )	NO	NO
Palo Verde 2	17.7	May 2002	None (Vol. In )	NO	NO
Palo Verde 3	17.3	Sept. 2001	None (Vol. In )	NO	NO
St. Lucie 2	11.3	Nov. 2001	Partial Visual (Eff. Visual in )	NO	NO

\* Licensee proposed to remove one panel of insulation, and to look under the shroud to examine as many CRDMs as possible.  
St. Lucie Unit 2 has 91 CRDMs

★ Pending licensee's supplemental response.

As of 10/15/01