


*rec'd with letter
ctd. 8/27/93*

YMP 90-62, Rev. 1



YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT

WASTE PACKAGE PLAN

REVISION 1

MARCH 1993

Prepared for

U.S Department of Energy
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management


Prepared for the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project as part of the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Program. The Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project is managed by the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.

102.8

9308050293 930802
PDR WASTE
WM-11 PDR




YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT
 WASTE PACKAGE PLAN

for 

 Carl P. Gertz, Project Manager
 Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project

2/17/93
 Date

WLS 

 L. Dale Foust, Nevada Site Manager
 CRWMS Management and Operating Contractor
 Technical Project Officer

2/9/93
 Date



 Willis L. Clarke, Technical Project Officer
 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

2/12/93
 Date

Revision History

<u>Rev. No.</u>	<u>ICN</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>	<u>Description of Revision</u>
0		08/17/90	Initial Issue
1		03/10/93	Complete revision to update general scope of work.

YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT

WASTE PACKAGE PLAN

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this plan is to describe the waste package (WP) program of the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project (YMP) and to establish the technical plan against which overall progress can be measured. This plan provides guidance for execution and describes the essential elements of the WP program, including the objectives, technical plan, and management approach. The work described in this plan covers the time period up to the submission of a repository license application to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). This plan will be revised as necessary to accommodate changes in the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office (YMPO) or the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), and their plans and procedures. This plan is a YMPO-controlled document and changes to it shall be controlled in accordance with applicable YMPO procedures.

The goal of the WP program is to develop, assess the effectiveness of, and document designs for WPs and associated engineered barrier system (EBS) for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and solidified high-level waste (HLW) that meets the applicable regulatory requirements for a geologic repository.

The technical objective of the WP program is to design WPs and associated EBS components that can meet the regulatory requirements with sufficient margin for uncertainty. The design will continue to evolve as data from site characterization are obtained and more detailed phases of design are completed. Inputs to the WP design include regulatory requirements; interpretations of regulatory terms and design goals; and information on site and near-field environment characterizations, waste form characterization, repository design, and near- and far-field scenarios. These inputs, along with WP materials testing and characterization, and model development activities, are used to develop designs. The performance of the designs is then assessed to determine whether regulatory requirements will be met. This process is intended to result in sufficient evidence so the NRC can determine, during the licensing proceedings, that there is "reasonable assurance" that the requirements will be met.

A summary schedule is provided that relates the major work activities of the WP program to the pertinent OCRWM milestones. The three OCRWM milestones that pertain directly to the work described in this plan are: (1) start of WP advanced conceptual design (ACD); (2) start of WP license application design (LAD); and (3) submission of the repository license application to the NRC. The design of the WP and associated EBS will be developed in three phases, to be consistent with the OCRWM milestones. These phases are: (1) pre-ACD; (2) ACD; and (3) LAD. During each phase, designs will be developed based on the requirements and the documented technical data (waste form characteristics, near-field environment, and EBS materials properties). The pre-ACD phase will focus on first defining the requirements and then identifying feasible design options. These design options will be developed more fully and evaluated during the ACD phase, which culminates in the selection of preferred design options. Prototype fabrication and testing of WP components will also be performed during the ACD phase. The LAD phase will develop a detailed design of the preferred option, and an analysis to verify that all requirements are satisfied. Because the final design analyses of the WP and associated EBS depend on information that will be obtained from both surface-based testing and the underground Exploratory Studies Facility (ESF), the milestones associated with these

aspects of the YMP are linked to the design of the WP and EBS. A final documentation package will be prepared as input for the license application.

Subpart G of 10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 60 requires that all information relating to the design, design analysis, testing, and performance assessment (PA) of the WP and EBS that will form a basis of the license application must be acquired or developed under an NQA-1 Quality Assurance (QA) program based on the criteria of Appendix B of 10 CFR 50. To this end, all participants in the YMP have developed or adopted QA Program Plans (QAPPs) that reflect all requirements of the YMP QA Plan consistent with the OCRWM QA Requirements Document. In the case of the WP and EBS work, the requirements of the QAPP are being implemented through a system of Quality Administrative Procedures (QAPs). The QAPP and QAPs are supplemented by Software Quality Assurance Plans (SQAPs) that specifically address the implementation of the requirements of the QAPP to computer software.

This plan also includes a discussion of the risks associated with the program, the management hierarchy, and other management issues such as scheduling and control.

YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT

TEST DOCUMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0 MISSION NEED AND OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.1 SOURCE OF MISSION	2-1
2.2 OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.2.1 TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.2.2 SCHEDULE OBJECTIVES	2-3
2.2.3 QUALITY OBJECTIVES	2-3
3.0 TECHNICAL PLAN	3-1
3.1 DESCRIPTION OF BOUNDARIES OF THE WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM	3-1
3.1.1 DEFINITIONS	3-1
3.1.1.1 WASTE PACKAGE	3-1
3.1.1.2 ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM	3-1
3.1.1.3 NEAR-FIELD	3-1
3.1.2 WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM PHYSICAL ELEMENTS	3-1
3.2 WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE	3-9
3.3 PROGRAM LOGIC APPROACH	3-9
3.3.1 OVERALL PHASING	3-14
3.3.1.1 DEFINITION OF REQUIREMENTS	3-15
3.3.1.2 PRELIMINARY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	3-15
3.3.1.3 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF THE WASTE PACKAGE AND NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENT	3-17
3.3.1.4 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS	3-17
3.3.1.4.1 SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL	3-19
3.3.1.4.2 VITRIFIED HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE	3-20
3.3.1.4.3 OTHER NUCLEAR WASTE	3-21
3.3.1.5 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS	3-21
3.3.1.6 DESIGN CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT	3-22
3.3.1.7 DEFINITION OF INTERFACES	3-22
3.3.1.8 DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL SELECTION CRITERIA	3-23
3.3.1.9 SELECT CANDIDATE MATERIALS	3-23
3.3.1.10 ENGINEERING EVALUATION OF DESIGN CONCEPTS	3-24
3.3.1.11 MODEL, TEST AND EVALUATE MATERIAL PERFORMANCE	3-24
3.3.1.12 ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN UPDATE ON NEAR- FIELD ENVIRONMENT CHARACTERISTICS	3-24
3.3.1.13 ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN UPDATE ON WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS	3-25
3.3.1.14 BARRIER MATERIALS SELECTION	3-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
3.3.1.15 DESIGN, FABRICATE AND TEST PROTOTYPES	3-26
3.3.1.16 SELECT AND DOCUMENT DESIGN	3-26
3.3.1.17 CONDUCT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF WASTE PACKAGE CONCEPTS	3-27
3.3.1.18 CONTINUE LONG-TERM ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN MATERIAL TESTING	3-28
3.3.1.19 CONTINUE LONG-TERM LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN MATERIAL TESTING	3-28
3.3.1.20 PUBLISH FINAL ENGINEERED BARRIER DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	3-28
3.3.1.21 SELECTION OF LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN	3-28
3.3.1.22 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENT	3-29
3.3.1.23 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS	3-29
3.3.1.24 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM MATERIALS CHARACTERISTICS	3-30
3.3.1.25 VERIFY THAT MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE SATISFIED	3-30
3.3.1.26 COMPLETE EVALUATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF FINAL DESIGN	3-30
3.3.1.27 VERIFY THAT WASTE PACKAGE REQUIREMENTS ARE SATISFIED	3-31
3.3.1.28 PREPARE INPUT TO LICENSE APPLICATION	3-31
4.0 RISK ASSESSMENT	4-1
4.1 DESCRIPTION OF RISKS	4-1
4.2 RISK MANAGEMENT	4-1
5.0 MANAGEMENT APPROACH	5-1
6.0 ACQUISITION STRATEGY	6-1
6.1 YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT SCHEDULE	6-1
7.0 RESOURCES PLAN	7-1
8.0 CONTROLLED ITEMS	8-1
9.0 SCHEDULED DECISION POINTS	9-1
APPENDIX A PROGRAM MILESTONES	A-1
APPENDIX B REFERENCES	B-1
APPENDIX C LIST OF ACRONYMS	C-1

YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT

WASTE PACKAGE PLAN

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
3-1	CONCEPTUAL WASTE PACKAGE AND PORTIONS OF THE ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM	3-2
3-2	WASTE PACKAGE DRIFT EMPLACEMENT	3-3
3-3	RELATIONSHIP OF OVERLAPPING NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENTS BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL WASTE PACKAGE VERTICAL EMPLACEMENT BOREHOLES	3-4
3-4	EXPLODED VIEW OF THE 15 PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR AND 16 BOILING WATER REACTOR CONTAINER	3-6
3-5	SPENT FUEL AND HIGH-LEVEL WASTE CONTAINERS	3-7
3-6	EXPLODED VIEW OF THE 4 DEFENSE HIGH-LEVEL WASTE CONTAINER	3-8
3-7	FLOW DIAGRAM OF WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM	3-12
3-8	ILLUSTRATION OF MULTI-BARRIER APPROACH	3-13
3-9	DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE USE OF "BOUNDING VALUES"	3-18
5-1	REPORTING RELATIONSHIPS FOR WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM	5-2
6-1	WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM SUMMARY PLAN	6-2

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
3-1	PRIMARY WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE ELEMENTS OF THE WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM	3-10
3-2	ITEMS ADDRESSED IN THE ENGINEERED BARRIER DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	3-16
9-1	MAJOR DECISION POINTS	9-2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to describe the WP program of the YMP and to establish the technical plan against which overall progress can be measured. It provides guidance for program execution and describes the essential elements of the Program, including the objectives, the technical plan, and the management approach. The work described in this plan covers the time period up to the submission of a repository license application to the NRC. This plan will be revised as necessary to accommodate changes in the YMPO or OCRWM, and their plans and procedures. This plan is a YMPO-controlled document and changes to it shall be controlled in accordance with applicable YMPO procedures.

Acronyms used in this document are defined in Appendix C.

2.0 MISSION NEED AND OBJECTIVES

The goal of the WP program is to develop, confirm the effectiveness of, and document designs for WPs and associated EBS for SNF and solidified HLW that meets the applicable regulatory requirements for a geologic repository.

2.1 SOURCE OF MISSION

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-425) (hereafter referred to as the NWPA) established a national effort to develop a repository for the permanent disposal of SNF and HLW. In passing the NWPA, the Congress charged the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) with the responsibility for the siting, construction, and operation of such a repository. The NWPA charged the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with the promulgation of standards intended to protect the environment from off-site releases of radioactive material from a repository. These standards are specified in Title 40 of the CFR, Part 191 (40 CFR 191)¹. The NWPA charged the NRC with promulgating the technical requirements necessary to license all phases of repository operation. These technical requirements are specified in Title 10 CFR, Part 60 (10 CFR 60). In 1987, the NWPA was amended by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act Amendment (NWPAA) of 1987 (Public Law 100-203), in which the Congress directed that all efforts toward the characterization of a repository site be focused on a candidate site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.

The NWPA implicitly recognizes the need for a WP program by requiring a "description of the possible form or packaging" for the HLW and SNF in both the Site Characterization Plan (SCP) and the DOE Secretary's recommendation for site approval to the President. The NWPA does not mandate specific objectives or function to either the WP or EBS, though it provides the definition of both terms. Specific technical requirements for the WP and EBS specified by 10 CFR 60 are discussed in the following sections.

On a YMP level, this plan receives management direction from the YMP Management Plan via the Systems Engineering Management Plan. The implementation of this plan is described in the WP Implementation Plan.

2.2 OBJECTIVES

2.2.1 TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES

The technical objective of the YMP WP program is to develop WPs and associated EBS that can meet these regulatory requirements in a way that compliance with the regulations can be demonstrated in a repository licensing proceeding before the NRC. The NRC rule 10 CFR 60.113 mandates two specific performance objectives for the WPs and EBS after the closure of the repository and divides the post-closure period into two time periods, conventionally

¹ The First Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals has vacated and remanded subpart B of 10 CFR 191 to the EPA for further consideration and proceedings. Any changes made by the EPA to its standards will be evaluated by the DOE to ensure that its design program will be adequate. Until changes, if any, are implemented in the EPA standards, the DOE is proceeding on the basis of the standards published on September 19, 1985.

referred to as the "containment" and "controlled-release" periods. The containment requirement applies to the WPs, and the controlled-release requirement applies to the EBS which includes the WP:

Containment [10 CFR 60.113 (a) (1) (ii) (A)]

". . . the engineered barrier system shall be designed, assuming anticipated processes and events, so that: (A) Containment of HLW within the waste packages will be substantially complete for a period to be determined by the Commission taking into account the factors specified in 60.113(b) provided, that such period shall be not less than 300 years nor more than 1,000 years after the permanent closure of the repository."

Controlled Release [10 CFR 60.113 (a) (1) (ii) (B)]

". . . the engineered barrier system shall be designed, assuming anticipated processes and events, so that: (B) The release rate of any radionuclide from the engineered barrier system following the containment period shall not exceed one part in 100,000 per year of the inventory of that radionuclide calculated to be present at 1,000 years following permanent closure, or such other fraction of the inventory as may be approved or specified by the Commission; provided, that this requirement does not apply to any radionuclide which is released at a rate of less than 0.1% of the calculated total release rate limit. The calculated total release rate limit shall be taken to be one part in 100,000 per year of the inventory of radioactive waste, originally emplaced in the underground facility, that remains after 1,000 years of radioactive decay."

The requirements relating to post-closure performance of the total repository system [10 CFR 60.112] place additional requirements on the design and performance of the WP and EBS as follows.

"The geologic setting and the engineered barrier system and the shafts, boreholes and their seals shall be designed to assure that releases of radioactive materials to the accessible environment following permanent closure conform to such generally applicable standards for radioactivity as may have been established by the Environmental Protection Agency with respect to both anticipated processes and events and unanticipated processes and events."

A fourth major requirement is to perform a "comparative evaluation of alternatives to the major design features that are important to waste isolation, with particular attention to the alternatives that would provide longer radionuclide containment and isolation" [10 CFR 60.21 (c) (1) (ii) (D)]. There are a number of other requirements that apply to the WP and EBS prior to the permanent closure of the repository. These include radiological protection [10 CFR 60.111 (a)], retrievability [10 CFR 60.111 (b)], and geologic repository operations area design criteria [10 CFR 60.131].

Finally, 10 CFR 60.135 sets forth specific design criteria for the WP and its components that must be met. These criteria include constraints on the general performance of the package, its chemical reactivity, and provisions for its handling and labeling, as well as design criteria for the waste forms.

2.2.2 SCHEDULE OBJECTIVES

Major key programmatic milestones for the work described in this plan include:

- Obtain repository horizon core from surface-based testing
- Complete pre-ACD phase
- Obtain repository horizon materials from ESF drifts
- Complete ACD phase
- Complete ESF EBS test set-up and start EBS tests
- Complete LAD phase
- Submit repository license application to NRC

In addition to these milestones, intermediate lower-level milestones for the WP program are listed in Section 9 and in Appendix A. Section 3 (Technical Plan) provides additional discussions of all milestones.

2.2.3 QUALITY OBJECTIVES

All information relating to the design, design analysis, testing, and PA of the WP and EBS that will form a basis of the license application will be acquired or developed under an NQA-1 QA program based on the criteria of Appendix B of 10 CFR 50. To this end, all participants in the YMP have developed or adopted QAPPs that reflect all requirements of the YMPO QA Plan, which incorporates the provisions of the OCRWM QA Requirements. In the case of the WP and EBS work, the requirements of the QAPP are being implemented through a system of QAPs. The QAPP and QAPs are supplemented by SQAPs that specifically addresses the implementation of the requirements of the QAPP to computer software. SQAP governing the WP program are those developed and used by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) (YMP QA Program Plan, LLNL; YMP QAP Manual, LLNL; YMP Software QA Plan, LLNL).

The QAPs prescribe the methods used to control scientific investigations, testing activities, design activities, and PAs that are described in the technical planning sections of this plan. For example, the QAPs describe how scientific investigations and design analyses are planned, controlled, and documented. They also describe which types of documents are QA records, and how these records are created, maintained, and stored. They describe how documents are reviewed and how the information in the documents is verified.

3.0 TECHNICAL PLAN

3.1 DESCRIPTION OF BOUNDARIES OF THE WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM

3.1.1 DEFINITIONS

3.1.1.1 WASTE PACKAGE

The WP is the "primary container that holds, and is in contact with, solidified high-level radioactive waste, spent nuclear fuel, or other radioactive materials, and any overpacks that are emplaced at a repository" [NWPA Sec. 2 (10)]. For the purposes of this plan, the 10 CFR 60.2 definition of WP will be used, which extends this definition of a WP to include the waste forms: "the waste form and any containers, shielding, packing and other absorbent materials immediately surrounding an individual waste container".

3.1.1.2 ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM

An EBS is the "manmade components of a disposal system designed to prevent the release of radionuclides into the geologic medium involved. Such a term includes the high-level radioactive waste form, high-level radioactive waste containers, and other materials placed over and around such containers" [NWPA Sec. 2 (11)]. The NRC rule 10 CFR 60.2 defines the EBS as: "the waste packages and the underground facility". The latter means the "underground structure, including openings and backfill materials, but excluding shafts, boreholes, and their seals." The 10 CFR 60.2 definition will be used in this plan with the interpretation that the excluded "boreholes" refers only to the exploratory boreholes from the surface-based testing program. The boundary of the EBS is used in this plan as coinciding with the surfaces of the underground repository drifts and emplacement "boreholes/drifts".

3.1.1.3 NEAR-FIELD

The term near-field refers to the underground geologic media that immediately surround the emplaced waste containers. An illustration of this definition is given in Section 3.1.2 and Figures 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3.

3.1.2 WASTE PACKAGE PROGRAM PHYSICAL ELEMENTS

The physical elements addressed by the WP program are illustrated in Figures 3-1 and 3-2. Figure 3-1 shows one concept of a waste container emplaced in a vertical borehole with an air gap between the waste container and the wall of the borehole. A partial liner is shown to guide the initial waste container emplacement operations. The shield plug resides above the waste container and within the partial liner. A cover is used to close the borehole at the surface of the underground repository drift floor. This figure illustrates how the WP program must address portions of the repository EBS and near-field environment. The near-field environment is critically important to the design and performance of the WP and the EBS. In a vertical borehole emplacement configuration, the near field extends beyond the boundary of the emplacement borehole as illustrated in Figure 3-1. Figure 3-1 illustrates a near field that is bounded by an imaginary cylinder having a nominal diameter of 20 meters and a centerline that coincides with the centerline of the waste container. The upper planar surface of this cylindrical boundary coincides with the floor of the drift while the lower bounding

Boundary for Waste Package Program




Figure 3-1 Conceptual Waste Package and Portions of the Engineered Barrier System





Figure 3-2 Waste package drift emplacement

Figure 3-3 Relationship of overlapping near-field environments between individual waste packages in vertical emplacement boreholes

34



planar surface is perpendicular to the centerline of the waste container and at least 10 meters below the container's lower surface.

Figure 3-2 shows another concept in which WPs, which could be higher-capacity and more robust, are placed on the floor of the repository drifts. No boreholes, liners, or shield plugs are used. The WPs may be covered to provide additional protection against potential rock falls, to increase the radiation shielding, or both.


In a horizontal drift emplacement configuration, the near field extends to the drift wall and a nominal 6 meters into rock as shown. The near field boundaries of WPs placed parallel to the centerline of a drift will overlap as shown in Figure 3-2.

The precise shape of the near-field boundary depends upon the specific process or attribute such as stress, temperature, and hydrologic conditions requiring characterization and upon the time after waste emplacement. For example, the near-field stresses and radiation fields requiring characterization that are induced into the geologic media from waste forms in small containers, vertically emplaced in boreholes will extend radially only a meter or so from the borehole wall and only slightly above and below the waste container. In contrast, the hydrologic boundary for saturation requiring characterization may extend tens of meters or farther from the emplaced waste containers. In general the near-field environment requiring site-specific characterization will include all of the geologic media between emplaced waste containers, and between emplacement drifts, as well as both below and above the containers and the drifts. Figures 3-2 and 3-3 illustrate the overlapping of the near-field boundaries. These boundaries are subject to further review and change as appropriate, however. It is essential that a boundary be identified in order to establish programmatic responsibilities, ensure that the required tasks are completed, and ensure that interfacing activities are properly coordinated. This plan uses the boundaries in Figures 3-1 and 3-2 to establish programmatic responsibilities.

The near-field properties must include the effects of both the natural and the man-made features (such as the shield plug and borehole liners as used in Figure 3-1 or the container supports -- shown in Figure 3-2) that impact the behavior of the container and waste forms in the repository. The near-field environment of an individual WP will be influenced by neighboring packages. Thus, to fully define the conditions to which each WP will be exposed, emplacement spacings and other design details of the repository and EBS layouts are needed. Figure 3-3 illustrates these relationships for several vertically emplaced waste containers, and Figure 3-4 shows an exploded view of a spent fuel container.

The near-field properties of interest include the mechanical properties of the rock; the pre- and post-emplacement hydrology of the area surrounding the WPs; the thermal field around the WPs; the chemical properties of the air, water vapor, and liquid water in the area around the WPs; and the effects of the emplaced waste's radiation field on the near-field properties.

Figures 3-5 and 3-6 illustrates additional details of the types of WPs that could be designed to contain the SNF and the HLW. As shown, the WP for the SNF is about five feet longer than the one for the HLW. Waste containers may also vary in diameter to best accommodate the different waste forms. However, all waste containers are expected to be physically similar and to be fabricated from not more than two materials using the same manufacturing



3-6

Figure 3-4 Exploded view of the 15 Pressurized Water Reactor and 16 Boiling Water Reactor Container

Two types of waste containers that may be placed in a geologic repository





Figure 3-5 Spent Fuel and High-Level Waste Containers



3-8

Figure 3-6 Exploded view of the 4 Defense High-Level Waste Container

processes, quality control procedures, and assembly methods. The SNF will be present either as intact fuel assemblies or consolidated fuel rods, with or without the hardware resulting from fuel consolidation. It is not planned to disassemble SNF assemblies at the repository in order to consolidate fuel rods. However, some of the SNF will already be consolidated when it is received from the Utilities. In either case, the SNF pellets will be contained within the Zircaloy cladding of the individual rods. The HLW will be contained within a sealed type 304L stainless steel pour canister.

3.2 WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

Activities of the YMP are organized into a product-oriented Work Breakdown Structure (WBS). The WP program work scope is contained primarily in WBS Element 1.2.2 as shown in Table 3-1.

The WP program activities also utilize three other WBS elements that are generic and have a broad scope. Funding is derived from Systems (WBS 1.2.2.1) to cover systems engineering, data base implementation, WP system PAs and near-field geochemical modeling activities. Funding is derived from Regulatory Interactions (WBS 1.2.5) to cover SCP updates and regulatory interactions. In addition, funding is derived from YMP Management (WBS 1.2.9) to cover QA, records, project cost and schedule control, and overall YMP management. More detailed definitions of the WBS work elements are included in the YMP WBS dictionary.

Note: WBS elements accurate at time of publication.

3.3 PROGRAM LOGIC APPROACH

The program logic used to develop the WP design will utilize the classical systems engineering approach. This logic will consist of the following sequence of steps:

- a) Define WP design requirements.
- b) Develop design options to meet requirements.
- c) Evaluate design options.
- d) Select preferred design option and alternative.
- e) Develop and engineer the selected preferred design option.
- f) Verify that design requirements have been satisfied.

Due to the lack of confirmed information and data necessary for the establishment of the requirements, especially in the areas of waste form characteristics and the near-field environment surrounding the WPs, the program will pursue an approach in which the WP requirements will be established based on the limiting or assumed bounding values using the best information available during each phase of the program. It is expected that some more stringent bounding values will be relaxed as additional data are acquired, thereby allowing the designs to be refined or the margin of safety to be increased.

Table 3-1 Primary Work Breakdown Structure Elements of the Waste Package Program

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1.2.2.1	Waste Package Coordination and Planning
1.2.2.2.1	Chemical & Mineralogical Properties of the Waste Package Environment
1.2.2.2.2	Hydrologic Properties of the Waste Package Environment
1.2.2.2.3	Mechanical Attributes of the Waste Package Environment
1.2.2.2.4	Engineered Barrier System (EBS) Field Tests
1.2.2.2.5	Characterization of Effects of Man-Made Materials
1.2.2.3.1.1	Waste Form Testing - Spent Fuel
1.2.2.3.1.2	Waste Form Testing - Glass
1.2.2.3.2	Metal Barriers
1.2.2.3.3	Other Materials
1.2.2.3.4.1	Integrated Radionuclide Release: Tests & Models
1.2.2.3.4.2	Thermodynamic Data Determination
1.2.2.3.5	Nonmetallic Barrier Concepts
1.2.2.4.1	Waste Package Design
1.2.2.4.2	Container Fabrication & Closure Development
1.2.2.4.3	Container/Waste Package Interface Analysis

Note: WBS elements accurate at time of publication.

The steps of the systems engineering approach will be pursued in the manner illustrated by the flow diagram in Figure 3-7 and discussed in Section 3.3.1.


To be consistent with the repository development program, the WP program is divided into three phases: pre-ACD, ACD, and LAD. In each of these phases, the information utilized is progressively better defined and has a more substantial basis. As noted earlier, this program is aimed at the primary objective of achieving a LAD which can be submitted to the NRC for approval through the licensing proceedings. The technical approach that will be used to both contain and control the release of radioactive materials will be based on a multi-barrier approach as conceptually illustrated in Figure 3-8.

The illustration represents the basic components of the reference designs for the SNF WPs and the HLW WP. As currently envisioned in the conceptual design, the release of non-gaseous radioactive materials from the SNF requires the presence of water, and the water must be present to provide a path (A) to (B) through the barriers for radionuclides to be released to the near-field as illustrated in Figure 3-8. That is, the release of radioactive materials from SNF pellets requires the following:

1. Liquid water must be present in the drift or in the air gap of the borehole in sufficient quantities and for a long enough period to establish a mass transport mechanism for the non-gaseous radioactive materials; gaseous radioactive materials can be transported from the container to the near-field environment without the need for water.
2. Water or water vapor must be present at the external surface of the waste container for a sufficient time period to cause a breach of the container by corrosion through the wall. The container could also fail from structural loading.
3. Water or water vapor must continue to be present inside a container for a sufficient time to cause a breach of the fuel rod cladding (a small fraction of the rods will already have cladding penetration).
4. Water or water vapor must remain in contact with the SNF fuel pellets for sufficient time to support release of the radioactive material from the pellets, which can then be transported through the breached barriers. Some radioactive materials can also be released by the corrosion and oxidation of the SNF cladding and fuel assembly structural hardware.

As illustrated in Figure 3-8, a similar sequence of events is necessary for the release of HLW from the glass matrix and into the near-field geologic media.

The WP program is structured to address each of these multiple barriers and to determine the amount of penetration and subsequent radionuclide transport that can be expected during the periods of concern. The program will determine the variability that may occur in the penetrations through the individual barriers. The model will evaluate the product of the penetration distributions for the individual barriers.



3-12

WPPFLOW 038/9-15-92

Figure 3-7 Flow diagram of Waste Package Program




Figure 3-8 Illustration of Multi-Barrier Approach

An alternative WP design concept will be developed and evaluated following the same program logic, multi-barrier approach, and activities as planned for the reference concept discussed previously. Both concepts will be pursued into the early LAD phase; then a single WP design concept will be selected for final design development. From that decision point in LAD, only a single selected design will be pursued through LAD. Besides fulfilling the 10 CFR 60.20 (c) (i) (ii) (D) requirement on alternative design considerations, this dual path with a reference and an alternative design concept approach is considered essential in view of the high level of uncertainty in three critical programmatic areas:

1. Actual WP service environment characteristics.
2. Actual waste form characteristics.
3. Long term behavior prediction capability of container and waste form materials.

For example, with regard to the near-field environmental characteristics, actual data from an underground repository horizon will not be available until it is provided from EBS field test experiments and from observations made through the use of the ESF. However, the ESF will not be available for near-field environment characterization tests until the LAD phase. The waste form characteristics required for the WP program include a substantial degree of uncertainty. Uncertainty is introduced because the SNF characterization data will be based on SNFs available through the LAD dates. These SNF inventories are likely to be very different from future SNF inventories to be placed in the repository after the year 2010 because future SNF will be subjected to much higher burn-up levels and may have different fuel compositions. Finally, prediction of material behaviors for 1000 years or more represents a very substantial extension of the currently best available materials behavior projection capability of approximately 50 to 100 years.

In view of these uncertainties, which are not likely to be overcome during the program life-span through the license application, the pursuit of a single design concept would involve a very high programmatic risk. If the single design concept were somehow determined to be unsatisfactory because of updated information found late in the program, or during the licensing process, the recovery time for the schedule in terms of developing a new and different design concept would, among other things, require the acquisition of long-term materials testing. Such materials testing would require 5 or more years to develop a different alternative design and confirm its adequacy through prototype testing and the application of validated models for the WP environment. Such a programmatic delay would not be acceptable. For such reasons, the two WP designs, a reference and an alternative, will be developed at least through the early LAD phase.

3.3.1 OVERALL PHASING

As in the repository program, the WP program consists of the following three phases: pre-ACD, ACD, and LAD. Activities included in each of these phases are identified and graphically illustrated in Figure 3-7. Although not always explicitly stated in the following text, the same systems engineering approach is followed for both reference and alternative designs. These activities are further described in Section 3.3.1.1 through 3.3.1.25.

3.3.1.1 DEFINITION OF REQUIREMENTS

The first step of the WP design and development process is to define and document all requirements that the WP must meet (Milestone M01 in Table A-1). The highest-level requirements will be derived directly from the various regulations discussed in Section 2.2. Next, the OCRWM Waste Management System Requirements (Volumes I and IV) adds additional legislative and programmatic requirements. Finally, the YMP System Requirements document defines a top-level allocation of the generic and site-specific requirements among the major subsystems that comprise the Mined Geologic Disposal System (MGDS), without unduly constraining design efforts of individual subsystems.

After the development of the higher-level requirements and the development of design concepts (Section 3.3.1.4), the Engineered Barrier Design Requirements (EBDR) document will be prepared and baselined to establish a common basis for the wide variety of activities within the WP program and for activities external to the WP program that have a need for such information. The allocation of requirements to the WP components will also be defined and documented in the EBDR. These allocations will be based on the preliminary waste form characteristics and near-field environment characteristics described in Sections 3.3.1.2 and 3.3.1.3. Table 3-2 illustrates the four areas that will be addressed by the EBDR.

Column 1 of Table 3-2 identifies the different time periods used in the WP program. Column 2 lists the two primary types of waste forms that must be considered. Column 3 lists components associated with any type of WP. The types of requirements in the EBDR are shown in Column 4. The EBDR will specify for each time period, for each waste form type, and for each component of the WP the specific requirements that the design must satisfy. For example, the requirements for the WP container for the "containment period", when its function is to serve as a primary barrier for relatively hot fuel in a relatively dry environment are substantially different from those for the "controlled release period", when the container is allocated a lesser role in restricting the release of radionuclides to the near-field geologic media.


Development of the EBDR document will involve the consideration of WP design elements, container materials, near-field environment, and waste form characteristics, and will necessitate communication and coordination with other YMP Participants involved in both repository design and site characterization investigations. An EBDR document will be developed that is sufficiently detailed to guide pre-ACD activities and to develop design concepts (box 5 in Figure 3-6). Changes to the baselined EBDR will be subject to configuration management and change control procedures so that provisions are available to update the EBDR as appropriate in later design phases.

3.3.1.2 PRELIMINARY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

During pre-ACD, resources will be directed toward the evaluation of existing submodels that define the behavior of the materials within the WP and EBS. Model development will be initiated to fill the gaps. These submodels will be used as inputs into the PANDORA or other subsystem-type code that will be used to analyze the performance of concepts selected for the WP/EBS, and as inputs into the materials and waste form testing programs.

Table 3-2 Items Addressed in the Engineered Barrier Design Requirements

Information Structure of Engineered Barrier Design Requirements (EBDR)



3-16

3.3.1.3 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF THE WASTE PACKAGE AND NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENT

Based on the best available data for the underground conditions at Yucca Mountain, the near-field environment will be defined and documented (Milestone M02 in Table A-1). This document will be baselined and used with the EBDR in the development of design options during the pre-ACD phase. The environmental conditions of primary concern that will be addressed in this report are: (a) hydrological (water flow and quantity), (b) geochemical (water quality), (c) thermal, (d) radiation, and (e) mechanical loading conditions associated with the near-field environmental perturbations caused from excavation and construction activities, waste emplacement, and closure operations. Characterization of the environment will be conducted through field and laboratory tests, model development, and analyses. The environmental characterization analyses will be based on laboratory tests and documented data available from all YMP Participants and other available sources in addition to WP program studies. Repository horizon samples will not be available from either surface-based testing or from the ESF. Therefore, the document will focus on general tuff environments to provide data to bound the environmental conditions. As new data are developed, they will be incorporated in the document using approved change control procedures. Details of specific activities that will be performed will be described in Study Plans (SPs) and Scientific Investigation Plans (SIPs).

This plan assumes anticipated environmental conditions as used in 10 CFR 60 will be defined during the ACD phase. Prior to that time, the near-field environment activities will establish evaluations of bounding conditions of the expected environmental underground conditions present. The values of the parameters in the preliminary document will be selected to include the bounding values that quantify the near-field environment as illustrated by arrow (A) in Figure 3-9. It is assumed that bounding values include the anticipated conditions to be developed in ACD, and they will be used in all design and WP performance evaluations. It is well understood that there is a spatial variation of the environmental parameters when considering the overall repository site. It is expected that the acquisition of additional near-field site characterization data under more realistic conditions in subsequent program phases after pre-ACD will establish, for some parameters, narrower distributions and possibly shifts in the mean distribution values. When this occurs, the bounding values may be reduced to a level as indicated by (B) in Figure 3-9. Such a shift could enable the designer to modify the design for less severe conditions, or to document and take additional credit for greater design margins.

3.3.1.4 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS

Resources will be directed to the documentation of the waste form characteristics that impact the design, development and evaluation of the WP and the EBS. This preliminary documentation will be based on the best information available (Milestone M03 in Table A-1). This document will ensure consistency within all the various subsystem elements. Special emphasis will be placed on the identification of characteristic parameters that will be required by the designers and evaluators of the components of the WP and the EBS. Such characteristics include the quantities of various waste forms and the ranges of waste form ages, decay heat contents per unit mass or volume, the specific radionuclide inventories per




Figure 3-9 Diagram illustrating the use of "Bounding Values"

unit mass or volume, the initial uranium-235 enrichments in SNF, and different types of Pressurized Water Reactor and Boiling Water Reactor SNF assemblies and associated physical properties. Additional characteristics are required for performance evaluations and PAs.

There are two primary types of waste forms, i.e., SNF and vitrified HLW. It is recognized that there may be "other" radioactive wastes that may be emplaced in the repository; however, unless these materials are better defined, no WP program effort will be expended toward evaluating or projecting their characteristics. Details of specific activities that will be performed on all waste forms will be described in SIPs.

3.3.1.4.1 SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL

The characteristics used by the designers and performance evaluators will be representative of the total inventory of SNF to be emplaced in the repository. The distributions of the preliminary characteristics will be estimated in pre-ACD in a quantitative form using the best information available. So that the representativeness can be established, bounding values (as discussed in Section 3.3.1.2) will be established for developing designs; subsequent in-depth investigations and analyses in later design phases will further refine the data to better develop the distributions of the variations and to establish more definitive bounding values. These initial distribution estimates will require significant refinements throughout all phases of design. Efforts will be focused on the characteristics of the SNF essential to the design and evaluation of the WP and EBSs. Special attention will be given to quantifying parameters where there are near-term applications.

An evaluation will be made of how performance evaluations can deal with the fact that only a small fraction of the total SNF to be contained has been generated. For example, only approximately 23,000 Metric Tons of Initial Heavy Metal (MTIHM) of SNF exists today and approximately 40,000 MTIHM of SNF is yet to be generated by the utilities for the first repository.

The fuels used in the testing programs will be identified as to where they fall within the distributions developed for the ranges of typical SNF before detailed characterization tests are initiated. The distributions developed for projected fuel characteristics (eg., burn-up and age), will be used to define the bounding values selected as the design basis for the WP concepts. The waste form characteristics report will document these distributions and other characteristics (Milestone M03 in Table A-1).

Other characteristics that will be determined in the pre-ACD phase for representative SNF (refer to Figure 3-8), based on these distributions, include:

- The dissolution and solubility behavior of SNF pellets, including the effect of air and water vapor oxidation of the pellets and of the groundwater chemistry.
- The fraction of soluble radionuclides existing in the fuel-cladding gap and SNF grain boundaries prior to any cladding breach and thereby available for rapid aqueous release to the near-field should the barriers illustrated in Figure 3-8 be breached.

- The release of gaseous radionuclides from the SNF waste forms (i.e. SNF or cladding).

These latter characterizations will be performed within the bounds established and documented for the near-field environment conditions (Milestone M02 in Table A-1) and within the distributions developed for the SNF characteristics.

3.3.1.4.2 VITRIFIED HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE

The characteristics of the HLW that will be used by the designers and evaluators will be representative of the total HLW inventory to be placed in the repository. The establishment of these preliminary characteristics in a quantitative form will be accomplished using the best information available. During pre-ACD, distributions of the quantities and ranges of variations of characteristics such as radionuclide content, decay heat content, radiolytic properties and chemical composition will be established. Efforts will be made to reduce these to a form required to design WP concepts and to conduct evaluations. As discussed in Section 3.3.1.2, bounding values will initially be established and subsequent in-depth investigations and analyses will be performed to further refine the data and to develop distributions of the characteristics that establish more definitive values. Early attention will be focused on the characteristics of the HLW that will be essential to the design and evaluation of the waste package and EBS. The preliminary HLW characteristics will include HLW data from the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) and the Savannah River Laboratory and from the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP). Other HLW producers [Hanford Waste Vitrification Project and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory] will quantify the chemical, physical and radiological properties and compositions of the waste forms that they will produce as well as projections for HLW quantities. Such data will be used to update the waste form characteristics report (Milestone M03 in Table A-1) to the extent these data are available.

Waste acceptance specifications for DWPF and WVDP YMP HLW glasses have been established by DOE (DOE 1992). Representative prototypic samples of HLW glass based on these acceptance criteria will be used for testing. The waste producers will assure a high degree of compliance with the final acceptance criteria via HLW production process control and some limited product sampling and analysis, as described in their respective waste compliance reports. Furthermore, representative sets of Approved Testing Materials for HLW glass will be made along with an assessment of the variability introduced into test results due to test method and investigator techniques.

To some extent, the HLW glass characterization testing program will be limited by the availability of representative samples of glasses. To ensure that glasses assumed in waste package design concepts and used in the testing programs are representative of the expected HLW glass inventory, distributions of parameters will be established. Based on these distributions, bounding HLW glass characteristics (e.g., glass composition and radionuclide species) will be used to define the design basis for the waste package. The waste form characteristics report will document these distributions, the inventory projections and other characteristics.

The representative characteristics of the projected HLW glass waste form inventory that will be determined include the following:

- Representative physical, chemical, radiological, and radionuclide properties.
- The dissolution behavior of HLW glass, including the effect of groundwater chemistry on dissolution rates and solubility limits.
- The alteration of HLW glass by a water vapor atmosphere and the subsequent dissolution behavior due to the water vapor induced alterations.

The characterization of HLW will utilize the bounding values established in the near-field environment conditions report (Milestone M02 in Table A-1). Preliminary models that describe the processes controlling the release of radionuclides from HLW glass waste forms will be developed for use in design evaluations and waste package materials performance predictions.

3.3.1.4.3 OTHER NUCLEAR WASTE

Other than SNF from commercial reactors and vitrified HLW, there are two other general categories of nuclear waste that may be disposed of in the repository. The first category includes relatively minor amounts of SNF from specialty and research reactors, commercial SNF fragments that have been used in test programs, intact and/or damaged SNF rods from various research programs, as well as limited amounts of separated cesium-137 and strontium-90 in sealed capsules and incorporated into HLW glass. All of the wastes in this first category qualify as HLWs and may be considered for disposal in a repository on a case-by-case basis. Some wastes may need further processing before being packaged for disposal. No efforts will be expended to develop detailed plans for accommodating this minor category of HLW in the first repository.

The other category of waste that may be disposed of in a geologic repository includes all "greater than Class-C" nuclear waste. This category represents a relatively large volume of moderately radioactive waste that cannot be disposed of in shallow-land burial sites as "low-level waste." Regulations require that this waste be disposed of in a deep geologic repository, however, disposal of this waste in the first repository is not part of current Mission Plan objectives. Therefore, no efforts will be expended to develop detailed plans for accommodating this waste in the first repository.

3.3.1.5 PRELIMINARY DEFINITION OF ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Resources will be directed to the documentation of the EBS materials characteristics that impact the design, development and evaluation of the WP and the EBS. This preliminary documentation will be based on the best information available (Milestone M03 in Table A-1). This document will ensure consistency within all the various subsystem elements. Special emphasis will be placed on the identification of characteristic parameters that will be required by the designers and evaluators of the components of the WP and the EBS.

3.3.1.6 DESIGN CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT

Based on the documented baseline design requirements, including functional allocations, preliminary near-field environment characteristics, and preliminary waste form characteristics, a series of WP design concepts will be developed and documented (box 5 of Figure 3-6). The development of the design concepts will include initial assessments of the feasibility of appropriate container manufacturing and closure processes, with particular attention to aspects that may require development beyond existing industrial practices. A report documenting the design concepts, together with a preliminary prioritization, will be generated (Milestone M04 in Table A-1). These design concepts will be used as the basis for detailed engineering evaluations during the ACD phase.

The design concepts report will include drawings and descriptions of the physical configurations, including the container and possible material options; waste form configurations; and internal and external stanchions, supports, and other emplacement components.

The design concepts will include conceptual designs for a reference thin-walled metallic container and associated EBS components as shown in Figures 3-1 and 3-3. They will also include more robust and larger designs as shown in Figures 3-2 and 3-4. The designs will be evaluated to identify variations (such as diameter changes, waste form capacities, and alternative materials) that may be appropriate for further evaluation during ACD.

3.3.1.7 DEFINITION OF INTERFACES

The WP program requires the early identification and continuous management of physical and informational interfaces with other elements of the OCRWM Program. Major WP interfaces occur between the site characterization activities, repository design, system PA, and regulatory activities. At the Program level, interfaces also exist with the waste production (HLW producers, reactor operators, SNF storage) and transportation activities. These interfaces define the information flow that WP program activities either require from or provide to other program elements in support of the design, evaluation, and licensing. The boundaries illustrated in Figures 3-2 and 3-4 will be used in conjunction with approved interface control procedures to identify and manage the interfaces between the waste package program and other OCRWM program elements.

Interfaces, data transfers, data, and information needs will be identified and documented in an interface report (Milestone M05 in Table A-1). Because a continuous assessment of interfaces is essential to the successful development of a WP design, this initial interface documentation will be baselined and updated as appropriate during all subsequent design phases using approved procedures. WP program interfaces will be identified and managed in accordance with guidance provided in the YMPO Management Plan (YMP/88-2), Systems Engineering Management Plan (NNWSI/88-3), and Configuration Management Plan (YMP/88-4), and in compliance with appropriate YMPO change control and other procedures.

3.3.1.8 DEVELOPMENT OF MATERIAL SELECTION CRITERIA

Criteria for selection of corrosion resistant container and materials to be used in the ACD will be developed and documented. As indicated in Figure 3-7 (box 7), these criteria follow from the allocation of functional requirements to the barrier components of the WP for various design concepts. To meet the performance requirements assigned to the WPs, the container materials are likely to have the greatest impact on performance. Establishment of criteria is separated from material selection because the criteria must address the functional requirements in a material-independent manner.

The selection criteria translate the functional requirements allocated to the component into material properties and performance attributes that can be both assessed and quantified to compare candidate materials. The criteria will permit a candidate material to be judged for adequacy in meeting the allocated performance goals, and will provide a basis for a quantitative comparison to allow ranking of the candidate materials. The selection criteria will provide for comparisons of attributes of a widely varying nature. For example, issues such as mechanical properties and corrosion resistance must be compared to issues such as cost and prior engineering fabrication experience. Subjective issues such as the expected relative acceptance of the material in a licensing process must be considered. The selection criteria must address the uncertainties in the barrier performance goals. Because translating functional requirements into quantitative criteria requires subjective opinion regarding the type, form, and importance of each criterion, the selection criteria will be subjected to a formal peer review. The results of the peer review will be documented.

3.3.1.9 SELECT CANDIDATE MATERIALS

The selection of candidate container materials (box 8 in Figure 3-7) will be accomplished by the application of the selection criteria discussed in Section 3.3.1.6. Prior to the material selection, supporting information will be gathered, including existing data on material performance and on barrier fabrication and container closure procedures. The selection process will be conducted and documented (Milestone M06 in Table A-1) according to the approved QA program plan to ensure suitability for use in NRC licensing.

For the reference design, the candidate container materials list that was generated prior to FY90 will be upgraded to be consistent with the approved QA program plan, and to reflect current program knowledge. This upgrade will result in a confirmation or modification of the current candidate list of alloys. This confirmation will be performed by screening the alloy systems and applying the approved selection criteria. Following an initial screening process, detailed engineering studies will be conducted on a smaller list of alloys to permit a more detailed application of the criteria for selection of alloys for the ACD phase. This selection process will be supported by degradation mode surveys and laboratory testing. Failure mode models will be developed and preliminary analyses performed to support the selection. These models will be developed to address the near-field environmental conditions expected at Yucca Mountain as discussed in Section 3.3.1.2. An independent peer review of the material selection process will be performed by a panel of experts from technical fields relevant to the selections.

3.3.1.10 ENGINEERING EVALUATION OF DESIGN CONCEPTS

Engineering evaluations will be conducted of selected container and associated EBS design concepts to establish their ability to satisfy design requirements and material performance requirements based on the reference sets of near-field environment and waste form characteristics. Consideration will also be given to the container and EBS manufacturing processes likely to be specified for fabrication, as well as repository procedures for closure and inspection of the waste container prior to emplacement. A variety of processes will be evaluated and the preferred design concepts will be selected and documented (Milestone M08 in Table A-1) for further design development. Preliminary structural, thermal and nuclear criticality design evaluations will be made of the design concepts for the container and other engineered components of the WP subsystem based on the design requirements. The results of these evaluations and the fabrication and closures processes will be summarized in a report (Milestone M08 in Table A-1). This report will include evaluations of the waste container design concepts, as well as other engineered components of the WP that affect the performance of the design options. The report will recommend the preferred design concepts for further development.

3.3.1.11 MODEL, TEST AND EVALUATE MATERIAL PERFORMANCE

Laboratory testing of the proposed container and associated EBS materials (box 10 in Figure 3-7) will continue to provide data to demonstrate that the material performance is adequate and also to support the development of predictive degradation models. Materials tests to be performed include aqueous corrosion, oxidation, localized corrosion (crevice or pitting), environmentally assisted cracking (stress corrosion cracking and hydrogen effects), microbiologically influenced corrosion, and long-term phase transformations.

In parallel with the material testing studies, mechanistic models will be developed to describe the barrier material performance. Predictive models for the design lifetime must be developed, assessed and verified, and then validated to the extent possible.

3.3.1.12 ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN UPDATE ON NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENT CHARACTERISTICS

In the initial ACD phase, approximately one year of laboratory testing will be completed on rock and water samples obtained from surface-based drilling activities at Yucca Mountain to further develop hydrological, thermal, and geochemical models of the repository horizon. The preliminary near-field environment characteristics report will be modified to assist in the development of the ACD through a change control process to include this information.

During ACD, laboratory testing of samples from the ongoing surface-based testing will continue. In addition, larger samples from the underground repository horizon will be available in the later ACD phase. This will allow near-field characterization testing that was not possible with the smaller-sized core from the surface-based drilling program. As the information from these tests becomes available, the baselined near-field environment report will be updated in accordance with approved change control procedures. This will ensure information is available for models being developed in conjunction with near-field characterization tests, representative of repository conditions. Models will be developed and

used to make preliminary evaluations of the near-field environmental response to waste emplacement and the impact of that response on WP performance. Verification of codes for models will be completed prior to the application of these codes to any PAs. Results from underground prototype field tests will be used to begin validation of these codes for "generic" tuff, and laboratory test results will be used to begin validation of the codes for repository horizon rock.

The near-field environment report will be revised late in ACD to allow inclusion of surface-based core study results and limited information generated by large block testing (Milestone M09 in Table A-1). The ACD-phase update will be used as input for the selection and documentation of ACDs (See Figure 3-7).

The validation of near-field environment characterization models applicable to repository conditions will need to await the availability of in-situ data from EBS testing during the LAD phase. Emphasis during the ACD phase will be on evaluating the sensitivity of the design concept performance to various near-field environmental parameters. A SP for the field test in the ESF will be developed for the design options under consideration. The tests will include all engineered components of the WP system, including associated near-field EBS components. Possible design changes to ameliorate adverse aspects of the near-field environment on performance, or to enhance beneficial aspects of the environment, will be evaluated and incorporated into the designs during this phase (box 15 in Figure 3-7).

3.3.1.13 ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN UPDATE ON WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS

The ACD phase of the waste form characterization will focus on the continued acquisition of waste form characteristics distributions and projected inventory data needed for design analysis and WP performance predictions and refinement of the models developed earlier. As the development of the ranges of variations of waste form characteristics required for design is established further, the testing program will be focused less on bounding values and more on measured distributions. Only those aspects of waste form behavior that are allocated performance in the WP designs or that are necessary to predict WP performance will be studied. The waste form testing program will also be re-evaluated to verify that updated information about the near-field environment is being utilized in all waste form characterizations.

The waste form characteristics will be revised during the ACD phase using approved change control procedures as new data become available. In the later stages of ACD, it is expected that the data and models will be known with more confidence. An ACD-phase update will be issued (Milestone M10 in Table A-1) near the end of ACD and will serve as input for the final EBDR document.

During ACD, integrated models to describe the release of radionuclides from the WPs and all of the multiple barriers illustrated in Figure 3-8 will be further refined. Characterizations will be conducted of the extent to which reliance can be placed on cladding as a barrier to release and the extent that UO_2 will oxidize under repository near-field conditions. In addition, preliminary models that predict the interactions between the near-field environment, container materials, waste forms, and other man-made components of the MGDS initiated

during the pre-ACD phase will be further refined. A full and complete description of the complex interactions will be based upon the detailed process models that describe the behavior of the individual components as illustrated in Figure 3-8.

3.3.1.14 BARRIER MATERIALS SELECTION

The objective of the selection process (box 13 in Figure 3-7), is to choose container and EBS materials that will meet the requirements. The sets of materials selection criteria established for the selection of the material for ACD studies will be used, with any modifications resulting from improvements in the definition of requirements, changes in performance allocations, or data obtained during ACD regarding the service environment, material performance, and operational issues. Any changes to the criteria will be justified and documented. The corrosion allowance container materials selection process and results will be documented (Milestone M12 in Table A-1). Other materials will be selected and documented as appropriate.

3.3.1.15 DESIGN, FABRICATE AND TEST PROTOTYPES

During ACD, prototypes of up to three design options will be fabricated, tested and documented (Milestone M13 in Table A-1). Designing, fabricating and testing activities will be performed in accordance with approved QAPs. The purpose of this activity is to evaluate those design details that are key to establishing the engineering feasibility of the design. The scale of the prototypes will be appropriate to the design features to be evaluated. The features will include materials properties, fabrication, mechanical handling, and inspection processes. Testing will include mechanical tests such as impact tests, nondestructive and destructive examination of the material and of fabrication features, closure and inspection processes, and other tests as needed. The test data will be used to support the selection of designs to be carried on into LAD.

3.3.1.16 SELECT AND DOCUMENT DESIGN

Based on the engineering evaluations of the design concepts (box 9 in Figure 3-7) and the prototype test activity (box 14 in Figure 3-7), designs will be selected for further evaluation in the early LAD phase. This initial ACD selection process is expected to result in the recommendation of up to two reference and two alternative designs for further development until the final two designs can be selected during LAD.

The selection process will be documented in the WP ACD report (Milestone M14 in Table A-1). The ACD report will: (1) describe the recommended WP designs at a level of detail appropriate to the ACD phase; (2) document the other designs considered and the rationale for the selection of the designs; and (3) provide the basis for proceeding with the design process into the subsequent LAD phase. The WP ACD report will include drawings, outline specifications, a discussion of fabrication and closure processes, and estimated cost of each of the developed options; estimated performance of each option in regard to the functional requirements; references to the supporting data, engineering performance evaluation models, and model applications; description of the design selection criteria and process; and identification of the selected design options for the reference and the alternative.

3.3.1.17 CONDUCT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF WASTE PACKAGE CONCEPTS

The primary criteria for selection of designs to be carried forward to LAD and beyond is the relative performance response during the post-closure period. The method for establishing the predicted performances will be to use WP PA codes that incorporate appropriate models of the anticipated natural near-field conditions as altered by the presence of the emplaced waste, degradation modes of container materials, and radionuclide release rates from the waste forms.

PA activities will be performed in accordance with approved QAPs.

The assessments performed (box 17 in Figure 3-7) will be utilized in the selection of the ACD designs to be carried into LAD (box 16 in Figure 3-7), and will be documented in the ACD report. For the developed designs, radioactive source terms will be developed for use in the total system PAs performed outside the scope of the WP program. Far-field scenarios will be used as an input to PA of the near-field. These initial WP PAs will document the models and codes to be used during ACD (Milestone M14 in Table A-1). The PA activities will be described in a SIP and will be coordinated with existing integrated OCRWM and YMPO PA plans. The WP environment and waste form characteristics reports (Milestones M09 and M10 in Table A-1) will be used as inputs to both the PA models and codes. The design concepts (Milestones M04) and container material characteristics will also be used as inputs to PA models and assessments.

In addition to the engineering evaluations (box 9 in Figure 3-7), the evaluation of the design options will use PA codes. Code development, which was initiated and applied during the pre-ACD phase, will be continued during the ACD evaluation process. At a minimum, these codes consist of (1) single WP performance code(s) and (2) source term or ensemble WP code(s).

During design development, there will be a continuous flow of information across the interfaces between these code development activities and the materials, waste form, and near-field environmental characterization and modeling activities. The identification, quantification, and delineation of scenarios is a PA activity that will be used to assist the development of WP designs. The models, the codes, and the applications of the codes will be reviewed independently in accordance with appropriate procedures. The PAs will use baselined documents for the waste form and near-field characteristics. This review process helps ensure an accepted body of information from which a design option can be selected.

Uncertainties in performance become increasingly significant as evaluations of design alternatives progress. To distinguish among alternatives, increasingly detailed assessments are required. Continued and early model development, physical testing, and other data collection will help reduce the uncertainties with respect to the design selection process. At a minimum, an understanding of the impact of the uncertainties on the evaluation and selection process is required.

3.3.1.18 CONTINUE LONG-TERM ADVANCED CONCEPTUAL DESIGN MATERIAL TESTING

The modeling and testing activities described in Section 3.3.1.9 (box 10 in Figure 3-7), will be continued to provide the long-term materials testing data required for development, verification and validation of the predictive degradation mode models. It is anticipated that at least four to five years of material performance test data are needed to provide defensible models for the licensing process, and to predict performance over the unprecedented lifetimes required by the NRC regulations. Preparations for an instrumented in situ prototype container with associated barriers for long-term testing in the ESF facility will also be conducted.

3.3.1.19 CONTINUE LONG-TERM LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN MATERIAL TESTING

This activity is identical to that described in Section 3.3.1.16, except that once a final barrier design is selected early in LAD, only those tests and modeling analyses associated with the single selected designs for LAD development will continue.

3.3.1.20 PUBLISH FINAL ENGINEERED BARRIER DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

During all design phases, a review will continue of the impacts on WP requirements due to NRC rule-making, quantitative interpretations of qualitative regulatory terms and requirements, and the issuance of NRC generic technical position papers and regulatory guides. Any ensuing changes to the WP requirements will be incorporated into the EBDR document using approved change control and configuration management procedures. At the start of the LAD phase, the final EBDR will be published (Milestone M16 in Table A-1) for use in selecting the single reference and alternative design concepts for the LAD design.

3.3.1.21 SELECTION OF LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN

After the start of the LAD phase, a selection will be made of the reference and alternative WP design configurations for further development. The selection will be based on (1) the final published EBDR, (2) the existing near-field environment characterizations obtained from both large repository horizon block tests and from limited underground ESF EBS field test data of WP configurations, (3) existing waste form characterization data, and (4) existing long-term container and associated barrier materials testing data. An initial step of the LAD phase is to review the design requirements and reconfirm that they are satisfied by the design concepts developed during the ACD phase.

The verification of material requirements will not occur in LAD until after the selection of a single design configuration for LAD due to the need to await development of additional underground repository horizon ESF EBS field test data, completion of additional long-term barrier materials test data and development of additional long-term waste form characterization data. The earlier a single design concept selection decision is made in LAD, the more the risk that the container material requirements cannot be verified. There is less risk when the selection of a single WP configuration is made later in the LAD phase. However, the later in the LAD the single selection is made, the longer is the time period that

two WP configurations (i.e., reference and alternative) must be developed as part of the LAD phase. The actual date that the selection of a single design (Milestone M18 in Table A-1) will be made will be established at the completion of the ACD phase.

3.3.1.22 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON NEAR-FIELD ENVIRONMENT

During the LAD phase, laboratory testing using samples of repository horizon rock will be performed to further determine the hydrological, thermal, and geochemical near-field environment properties of Yucca Mountain. Large-scale field tests of the WP configurations will be conducted in situ in concert with analytical/numerical modeling to determine the performance in the repository environment. Various methods, including peer reviews where appropriate, will be used to evaluate the applicability of previous laboratory and field tests using repository horizon rock. The results will be documented in the report on the near-field environment (Milestone M19 in Table A-1).

Model validation will be conducted at scales ranging from core-scale to large-scale laboratory tests to field-scale tests. Laboratory-scale tests will be used to validate detailed process models. These validated process models, along with data from core-scale tests, will be used in constructing field-scale models of the near-field environment that will then be validated using in-situ field-scale tests. The validated field-scale models will be used to provide inputs to near-field PA models and to confirm the adequacy of the LAD.

Many tests performed prior to the LAD phase and prior to access to the in situ repository environment will be, of necessity, strongly thermally overdriven and short in duration. They will therefore perturb a relatively small volume of the emplacement environment. Because key hydrothermal and geochemical processes are very sensitive to thermal loading rates and WP geometry, thermally overdriven subscale tests will distort important aspects of the near-field environmental response. With access to the underground environment, in-situ confirmation testing can commence at reference thermal loading rates using full-scale heaters over durations that will perturb a near-field volume extending over the scale of the significant heterogeneities. These long-term confirmation tests will be defined in SPs, and will continue beyond LAD. Performance confirmation testing will evaluate the effectiveness of designs and the performance prediction activities. These validations will provide a limited number of points for validation of the predictive models. The confirmation testing will extend the data available to validate the predictive models used to evaluate WP performance during the ACD and LAD phases.

3.3.1.23 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON WASTE FORM CHARACTERISTICS

The distribution models and data developed and used for design and performance evaluations will be re-examined in light of updated information on the distribution of SNF and HLW materials characteristics in the inventories of projected waste quantities. The waste form characteristics work will also begin validation of the detailed process models and test data that were developed during earlier phases for the behavior of the waste forms. A key input to the validation process will be the results of long-term confirmation tests begun during the ACD phase. Additional testing will be conducted as necessary to ensure that the data used to

support a license application are based on testing of representative fuel samples. The revised waste form characteristics data will be documented (Milestone M20 in Table A-1) and used to support the development of the LAD.

3.3.1.24 LICENSE APPLICATION DESIGN UPDATE ON ENGINEERED BARRIER SYSTEM MATERIALS CHARACTERISTICS

The distribution models and data developed and used for design and performance evaluations will be re-examined in light of updated information on the distribution of EBS materials and EBS materials characteristics in the inventories of projected waste quantities. The EBS materials characteristics work will also begin validation of the detailed process models and test data that were developed during earlier phases for the behavior of the EBS materials. A key input to the validation process will be the results of long-term confirmation tests begun during the ACD phase. Additional testing will be conducted as necessary to ensure that the data used to support a license application are based on testing of representative EBS materials samples. The revised EBS materials characteristics data will be documented (Milestone M21 in Table A-1) and used to support the development of the LAD.

3.3.1.25 VERIFY THAT MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE SATISFIED

The verification of material requirements (box 23 in Figure 3-7) will use inputs from the final EBDR (box 19), the near-field environmental characteristics (box 21), and the waste form characteristics (box 22). The verification will be fully documented. Additional near-field environmental data generated after selection will be reviewed to ensure the materials selected remain verified as satisfying the requirements used in the license application.

3.3.1.26 COMPLETE EVALUATION AND DOCUMENTATION OF FINAL DESIGN

Development of the two to four designs from the ACD phase will continue into the early stages of LAD. Following the selection of a single WP reference design (box 20 of Figure 3-7), that design will be fully developed, evaluated, and documented. The detailed design will focus on those aspects that will allow the final repository design to be completed and the WP and repository performance evaluations to proceed. Once these features have been developed, a design configuration freeze will be placed on those elements.

Design details will be specified in drawings and specifications. Detailed component and assembly drawings will be prepared to describe fully all of the WP configurations that are anticipated. The drawings will specify fabrication and closure details and all component interface dimensions and tolerances. Specifications will define material composition and properties; forming, joining, and inspection processes; and component storage and handling procedures.

Detailed supporting engineering analyses will be performed and verified for incorporation into the WP LAD Report (Milestone M23 in Table A-1). The level of detail associated with these analyses will be significantly more than that required in the earlier design phases. The engineering analyses will include, but are not limited to: structural analyses of the engineered components, thermal analyses of the design for the range of variability of waste form and near-field environment characteristics, nuclear analyses to determine the radiation effects on package materials and other EBS components, and nuclear criticality analyses for as-

assembled and degraded configurations. Cost estimates will be refined to reflect the additional design details and material or process specifications that are imposed at this stage of design development.

3.3.1.27 VERIFY THAT WASTE PACKAGE REQUIREMENTS ARE SATISFIED

During the LAD phase, the selected design will be documented and verified (Milestone M24 in Table A-1) for conformance with all of the WP design requirements, as specified in the EBDR. This verification process consists of three separate, but inter-related, activities that address: (1) design verification, (2) PA, and (3) confirmation testing.

In addition to the verification of the design analyses by qualified individuals who did not perform the analyses, other methods will be employed as appropriate. These methods will include formal design reviews, independent peer reviews, or verification tests.

PAs will be conducted to verify those aspects of the design requirements that are mandated by the regulations for time periods beyond the scope of conventional engineering analyses, including substantially complete containment for 300 to 1000 years and subsequent control of release of radionuclides from the EBS for 10,000 years following closure of the repository. These assessments will also provide the source term (i.e., the time-dependent, radionuclide-specific prediction of releases from the EBS) for use in the total-system PA activity. Compliance will be verified for the design-basis anticipated processes and events. In addition, assessments of the consequences of unanticipated processes and events will be provided as required for the total system assessments. The methodologies, scenarios, analysis models, and computational codes employed for these assessments will be documented (Milestone M25 in Table A-1). The documentation will include the methods used to identify and quantify the scenarios, and the basis for discriminating between anticipated and unanticipated processes and events.

The third component of the verification process is the execution of a performance confirmation testing program, as required by 10 CFR 60, Subpart F. The confirmation testing program, as specified, is comprehensive and extends over the operational life of the repository until closure. Obviously, only a limited portion of this program can be implemented prior to the submission of a license application, and the balance of the effort is beyond the scope of this plan. Confirmation tests prior to the license application will include manufacture of prototype components to verify the specified processes for fabrication, assembly, and inspection of the engineered WP assemblies and some in-situ field tests constructed in the ESF as soon as that facility is available. Data from these tests will be utilized in the license application. After repository operations are initiated, in-situ monitoring of the performance of representative emplaced WPs in designated test areas of the facility will continue the performance confirmation testing program.

3.3.1.28 PREPARE INPUT TO LICENSE APPLICATION

The final output of the LAD phase will be the WP LAD report (Milestone M24 in Table A-1). This report will contain the information required for the license application Safety Analysis Report (SAR) as specified in 10 CFR 60.21(c), including: (1) design criteria, (2) design bases, (3) materials of construction, and (4) codes and standards used. The LAD report documentation will contain drawings and specifications for the WP and other

engineered components, data and models used to establish the near-field environmental conditions under which the package is to perform, data and models used to establish the behavior and radionuclide release characteristics of the waste forms, and data and models used to establish the behavior of the materials used in the container and other WP components. The documentation package will also include the results of the PAs carried out to determine the performance of the design and to verify that the design requirements have been satisfied. The WP LAD Report will be the primary WP source document for input to the License Application SAR (box 26 in Figure 3-7).

4.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

The WP program contains elements of programmatic, technical, cost, and schedule risks that have the potential for hindering the successful completion of the program.

4.1 DESCRIPTION OF RISKS

Programmatic risks are generally associated with actions external to the WP program and include changes in priorities assigned to elements of the waste management system, changes in enabling legislation, changes in regulatory requirements or their interpretations, and actions by other entities that delay access to facilities or underground site data needed for testing or design development activities.

The principal technical risks arise from the unprecedented engineering service life requirements for the WP. The requirement to predict the performance of an engineered system for hundreds to thousands of years demands that a quantitative mechanistic understanding of degradation processes be obtained and formulated into predictive, extrapolatable service life models. As required by regulations, these degradation processes must include the effects of all anticipated (as used in 10 CFR 60) environmental conditions on all components of the WPs, including the waste forms, containment barriers, and other engineered components in proximity to the WPs. The development of these mechanistic predictive models incurs significant technical risk because advances in the existing state-of-the-art predictive capabilities in materials sciences and related engineering disciplines are needed to achieve a sufficient defensible understanding. Risks are also introduced due to the current schedule requirements that require the final design to be developed prior to the collection of significant underground ESF test data.

Cost and schedule risks, which are usually related, exist as a result of the uncertainty in the ability to estimate the level of effort or the time required to accomplish necessary scheduled activities. The sequencing of required scientific investigations engenders additional cost and schedule risks resulting from the availability of sufficient technically qualified staff, test facilities, or equipment.

4.2 RISK MANAGEMENT

To deal with the uncertainties generated by these categories of risk, management will develop funding estimates and schedules that contain contingencies designed to mitigate the unavoidable risks, resulting in attainable performance, cost, and schedule goals. The WP program uses a system of SPs, SIPs, and lower-level planning documents in conjunction with a YMP control system to assist in the management and control of cost and schedule risks.


5.0 MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Within the DOE, the OCRWM provides planning, guidance, budget, and control of the programs established by the NWPA. The Director of the OCRWM is responsible for carrying out the functions of the Secretary of Energy under the NWPA, as amended, and reports directly to the Secretary. The WP program is authorized by OCRWM with the program execution delegated to the YMPO located in Nevada. The YMPO delegates appropriate authority to the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System (CRWMS) Management and Operating (M&O) Contractor for project management and technical evaluation and to the LLNL and other participants for providing testing and modeling to the WP program.

Figure 5-1 illustrates the reporting relationships for those organizations implementing the OCRWM WP program. The CRWMS M&O is responsible, under the direction of the YMPO Engineering and Development Division, Field Engineering Branch, for the overall project management, integration and technical evaluation of the program, and for the design of the WPs and associated EBS. These functions are carried out through a sub-contract with B&W Fuel Company. The organization includes groups responsible for WP materials, design and PA.

The primary organization conducting scientific investigations in support of the WP program is the LLNL. LLNL is managed by the University of California through an agreement with the DOE and the DOE San Francisco Operations Office.

The LLNL project structure includes QA, project control, project administration and three scientific groups. Three technical groups include near-field environment characterizations, container materials characterizations, and waste form characterizations. Subcontractors to LLNL are used to conduct specialized aspects of the WP program under the appropriate QA program administered by LLNL and monitored by DOE.



5-2

Figure 5-1 Reporting relationships for Waste Package Program

WPPORG 038/9-15-92


YMP/90-62, Rev. 1

6.0 ACQUISITION STRATEGY

The YMPO has delegated the prime responsibility for the implementation of the WP program to the CRWMS M&O. The YMPO functions in an oversight role, including approval of participant budgets and work scopes. The scientific research will be largely carried out by LLNL under the technical direction of the CRWMS M&O. LLNL is managed by the University of California through an agreement with the DOE. In carrying out the program, the CRWMS M&O and LLNL will, with the approval of the YMPO, subcontract with other national laboratories, universities or commercial companies and research facilities as required.

6.1 YUCCA MOUNTAIN SITE CHARACTERIZATION PROJECT SCHEDULE

YMP management systems at YMPO, the CRWMS M&O and LLNL consistent with DOE Order 4700.1 shall use an integrated system for the planning of program activities and control of cost, schedule, and technical performance through the use of a YMP WBS. Planning shall be conducted in accordance with DOE Order 5700.7B, shall be based on OCRWM schedules, and shall ensure that all requirements are identified, defined, and satisfied. A summary bar chart (without dates) for the WP program is presented in Figure 6-1. The chart includes significant milestones from the OCRWM or YMPO Repository Program through the repository license application submission. Major milestones over the same period are shown for the technical areas involved. The bar chart format is also consistent with the WBS structure and the WP program approach illustrated in Figure 3-7. Table A-1 contains a tabulation of these WP program milestones as summarized in Figure 6-1. SPs, SIPs and other technical planning documents define specific detailed technical work tasks to be performed. Schedules and logic networks for the completion of this technical work and the associated resource requirements are developed and controlled using a planning and control system consistent with YMPO requirements.



WPPSCHED 090/11-6-92

Figure 6-1 Waste Package Program Summary Schedule

7.0 **RESOURCES PLAN**

Based on the activities described in this WP Plan, budgets and schedules will be prepared and updated at least annually. These schedules will be integrated with the overall YMP Schedule and with the schedules of the Repository Surface and Subsurface design and construction, and with the schedule for the ESF and any other prototype testing facilities. Schedules and budgets will be approved by the YMPO.

8.0 **CONTROLLED ITEMS**

The major elements to be controlled by the YMPO for DOE management reporting purposes are cost, schedule, and technical performance. The baselined schedule will be used in conjunction with the WBS as the key control items during each of the three repository development phases: Pre-ACD, ACD and LAD. Changes in baselined cost estimates will be addressed as variances to the baselined WP program schedule and cost estimates. The technical work scope will be baselined using the schedule, SPs and SIPs.

9.0 SCHEDULED DECISION POINTS

The objectives defined in Section 2.2.2 and Figure 6-1 provide the basis for establishing key DOE and YMPO decision points in the WP Program. The program includes three phases (pre-ACD, ACD, and LAD) during which certain key decisions must be made to keep the program focused on the overall objective, i.e., development of an adequate WP design for submission in the repository license application. The major decision points, Table 9-1, are related to specific milestones in Figure 6-1.

Table 9-1 Major Decision Points

<u>Decision Description</u>	<u>Decision Date</u>
Identify design concepts (Milestone M04)	Prior to ACD phase
Select container materials (Milestone M06 and M12)	Prior to LAD phase
Select LA design (Milestone M18)	Early LAD phase
Confirm design meets requirements (Milestone M24)	End of LAD phase

APPENDIX A
PROGRAM MILESTONES

A-1 **PROGRAM MILESTONES**Table A-1. Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office
Waste Package Program Milestones

M01	Issue EBDR for ACD (1)
M02	Issue prelim. near-field environment characteristics report (3)
M03	Issue prelim. waste form characteristics report (4)
M04	Issue pre-ACD design concepts document (5)
M05	Issue WP/EBS interface document (6)
M06	Select candidate corrosion resistant container materials (8)
M07	Initiate long-term container material corrosion tests (10)
NA	START WP ACD
M08	Issue engineering evaluations report (9)
M09	Issue updated WP environment report (11)
M10	Issue updated waste form characteristics report (12)
M11	Issue preliminary EBS materials characteristics report (13)
M12	Select candidate corrosion allowance container materials(13)
M13	Start prototype container testing program (14)
M14	Issue WP ACD report (15)
M15	Issue report on PA models for ACD (16)
NA	START WP LAD
M16	Issue final EBDR (19)
NA	Start in situ ESF tests
M17	Initiate EBS ESF heater test (14)
M18	Issue preferred design option decision (20)
M19	Issue WP environment report (21)
M20	Issue waste form characteristics report (22)
M21	Issue EBS materials characteristics Report (25)
M22	Establish feasibility of non-metallic WP concept (20)
M23	Issue WP/EBS LAD report (24)
M24	Verify WP design meets requirements (25)
M25	Issue report on PA models for LAD (25)
M26	Complete WP/EBS LA input (26)
NA	SUBMIT REPOSITORY LICENSE APPLICATION TO NRC

Bold entries are OCRWM or YMPO milestones.

Numbers in (parenthesis) refer to corresponding box numbers in Figure 3-7
Milestone numbers are used for reference in this plan only.

APPENDIX B
REFERENCES

B.1 REFERENCES

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B (Code of Federal Regulations), 1987. Title 10, "Energy," Part 50, Appendix B, Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

10 CFR Part 60 (Code of Federal Regulations), 1986. Title 10, "Energy," Part 60, Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington D. C.

40 CFR Part 191 (Code of Federal Regulations), 1985. Title 40, "Protection of Environment," Part 191, Environmental Standards for the Management and Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-Level and Transuranic Radioactive Wastes, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

DOE (U. S. Department of Energy), 1986. Work Authorization, DOE Order 5700.7, Washington, D. C. (B)

DOE (U. S. Department of Energy), 1987. Project Management System, DOE Order 4700.1, Washington, D. C.

DOE (U. S. Department of Energy), 1988. Site Characterization Plan, Yucca Mountain Site, Nevada Research and Development Area, Nevada, DOE/RW-0073, Washington, D. C.

DOE/NV (U. S. Department of Energy/Nevada Operations), 1988. MGDS Configuration Management Plan, YMP/88-4, Las Vegas, NV.

DOE (U. S. Department of Energy/Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management), 1990. Quality Assurance Requirements Document, DOE/RW-0214, Washington, D. C.

DOE/RW (U. S. Department of Energy/Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management), in prep. Waste Management System Requirements Document, Washington, D. C.

LLNL (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), 2/89. Yucca Mountain Project Quality Procedures Manual, 033-YMP-QP.

LLNL (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), 12/14/89. Software Quality Assurance Plan.

LLNL (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory), 12/19/88. Yucca Mountain Project Quality Assurance Program Plan, 033-YMP-R.

NNWSI/88-3 Systems Engineering Management Plan.

NWPA (Nuclear Waste Policy Act), 1983. Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97-425, 42 USC 10101-01226, Washington, D. C.

NWPAA (Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act), 1987. Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, Public Law 100-203.

YMP-88-2 Yucca Mountain Project, Project Management Plan, 1990.

APPENDIX C
LIST OF ACRONYMS

C.1 LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACD	advanced conceptual design
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CRWMS	Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DWPF	Defense Waste Processing Facility
EBDR	Engineered Barrier Design Requirements
EBS	engineered barrier system
ESF	exploratory shaft facility
HLW	high-level waste
LAD	license application design
LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
M&O	Management and Operating Contractor
MGDS	Mined Geologic Disposal System
MTIHM	Metric Tons of Initial Heavy Metal
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NWPA	Nuclear Waste Policy Act
NWPAA	Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act
OCRWM	Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
PA	performance assessment
QA	quality assurance
QAP	Quality Administrative Procedure
QAPP	Quality Assurance Program Plan
SAR	Safety Analysis Report
SCP	Site Characterization Plan
SIP	Scientific Investigation Plan
SNF	spent nuclear fuel
SP	Study Plan
SQAP	Software Quality Assurance Plan
WBS	work breakdown structure
WP	waste package
WVDP	West Valley Demonstration Project
YMP	Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project
YMPO	Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office