

**From:** Jack Strosnider  
**To:** Bill Bateman; Brian Sheron; Jacob Zimmerman; John Zwolinski; Lawrence Burkhart; Stephen Sands ] NRR  
**Date:** 12/14/01 11:24AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Re: Inspections of Davis-Besse's commitments

John, et. al.;

my thought is that DSSA should make a determination as to whether or not the licensee's actions are consistent with thier commitment or, more importantly, consistent with the credit/intent to lower conditional core damage probability in the event of a small break LOCA. If we need a tighter commitment, we'd need to go back to the licensee. Alternatively, if they're not meeting their commitment it seems to me the Region (with our assistance) could deal with that.

Jack

>>> John Zwolinski 12/14/01 06:55AM >>>

Who is taking lead to get this resolved, is it my decision or regions?.....Z

>>> Stephen Sands 12/12/01 10:11AM >>>

I received this from Region III and the resident inspector. Is this consistent with what our expectation was of the dedicated operator? If not, what approach should the staff take to convey this to Davis-Besse?

Thanks,  
Stephen

**CC:** Anthony Mendiola

B-199