

**From:** Allen Hiser  
**To:** Bill Bateman; Jack Strosnider; Keith Wichman; Lawrence Burkhart  
**Date:** 11/20/01 12:46PM  
**Subject:** Re: CHANGES SUGGESTED BY BILL KANE

] NRR

Larry,

I think that the changes on page 10 are improvements to the text of the bulletin.

My opinion would be no change in the NRR to EDO memo because these changes are more cosmetic at making the product read better but no significant change in substance.

Allen

>>> Lawrence Burkhart 11/20/01 12:07PM >>> *NRR*  
I have a mark-up of suggested changes by Bill Kane. Stacey Rosenberg of the EDO's staff explained the changes to me and they are minor (clarifications) and I don't have a problem incorporating the changes (Mitzi Young was also present and generally stated that the changes were up to the staff because the info is a technical characterization). I will place a copy on your desks. I will also place a copy on Brian's and Sam's desks (as requested).

Please let all addressees know your comments.

Stacey relayed that they would pencil in these changes and forward to the EDO for his comments. There may be more comments from the EDO.

Questions: If we do make changes, how do we transmit to the EDO (or do we not officially transmit changes to the EDO now since they are minor clarifications but ensure that they are incorporated when we send to the licensees)? Do we generate another memo from Sam to the EDO?

Larry.

**CC:** Andrea Lee; Brian Sheron; Elinor Adensam; John Zwolinski; Jon Johnson; Samuel Collins; Tad Marsh

B-150