

From: Steven Long
To: Jack Strosnider *>NRL*
Date: 11/8/01 6:38PM
Subject: Re: AFTER MEETING DISCUSSIONS

Jack, I am not going to be here next week (official travel). Since they are here, can we meet with D-B @ 11 am tomorrow for NO MORE than 1 hour and then with Cook at 4:30 for however long it takes? Otherwise, you need to do it without me or wait for a week.

Steve

>>> Jack Strosnider 11/08/01 06:02PM >>>

I had several discussions with licensees following the meeting this afternoon on our technical assessment for CRDMs. They are summarized as follows:

1) H.B. Robinson

Harold Checov (Sp?) told me that his management sent him here and told him not to leave until he gets feedback on the qualification of the H.B. Robinson visual exams i.e., the finite element and other arguments they presented to show that their CRDM penetrations would leak. He said he plans to get with Rich Correia Friday morning to see if he can get an answer. EMCB staff please provide Rich and K Jabbour a status on where they were at - any show stoppers?

2) D.C. Cook

A rep from DC Cook (didn't get his name) told me that they wanted feedback on the info they had sent in. He said that if we are not going to find it acceptable, he wants to know that so he can request a public meeting next week. I told him that the staff was reviewing the analysis and that we'd get him some feedback as soon as we can. By the way, he suggested that if you account for the time they've been down since 1994 (something on the order of 3 years) and use their actual operating time since then, assume a 165 deg crack existed following their last inspection, and use our curves, they have no problem. He said the assessment they sent in should have addressed the extended shutdown they were in.

3) Davis Besse

Guy Campbell told me that he had asked the PM to set up a meeting versus a conference call next Tuesday to discuss their assessment as to why Davis Besse can operate to April.

He also pressured me to better understand why Davis Besse wasn't good to operate until April. I told him that based on the operating experience there is a high likelihood that they have leaks - he agreed. I told him that reactor coolant pressure boundary leakage would not satisfy the regs or tech specs, that it would eliminate fail to maintain defense in depth and margins, and that there could be a crack large enough to cause them problems before April.

Jack

B-121