Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Senior Vice President and General Manager Pacific Gas and Electric Company Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 3 Avila Beach, California 93424

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

FOR EXEMPTION FROM 10 CFR 50.60 AND 10 CFR PART 50, APPENDIX G FOR DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. MA3573

AND MA3574)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application of September 3, 1998, as supplemented by letters dated January 22, February 5, and March 17, 1999. These letters requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to allow use of American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-514.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

Steven D. Bloom, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

PARE FILE CENTER C

Docket Nos. 50-275

and 50-323

**DISTRIBUTION**:

Docket

**PUBLIC** 

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

PDIV Reading

JZwolinski/SBlack

cc w/encl: See next page

**SRichards** 

SBloom

**EPeyton** 

OGC

....

ACRS

**CCarpenter** 

KBrockman, Region IV LSmith, Region IV

Document Name: DCA3573EA.WPD

OFC	PDIV-2	PDIV-2	PGER /	OGC	PDIV-2/SC
NAME	SBloom	EPeyton EPeyton	CCarpenter (V	R Bach mann	SDembek
DATE	4/22/99	4/22/99	4/22/99	4127 199	4128 199

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

87ULLA

9905040229 990428 PDR ADDCK 05000275 P PDR



# **UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 28, 1999

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Senior Vice President and General Manager Pacific Gas and Electric Company Diablo Canvon Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 3 Avila Beach, California 93424

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR EXEMPTION FROM 10 CFR 50.60 AND 10 CFR PART 50, APPENDIX G FOR DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. MA3573 AND MA3574)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application of September 3, 1998, as supplemented by letters dated January 22, February 5, and March 17, 1999. These letters requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to allow use of American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-514.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely.

Steven D. Bloom, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

**Enclosure: Environmental Assessment** 

cc w/encl: See next page

# Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc w/encl:
NRC Resident Inspector
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 369
Avila Beach, California 93424

Dr. Richard Ferguson, Energy Chair Sierra Club California 1100 11th Street, Suite 311 Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Nancy Culver
San Luis Obispo
Mothers for Peace
P. O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, California 93448

Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Mr. Truman Burns Mr. Robert Kinosian California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness, Room 4102 San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. Steve Hsu Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services Post Office Box 942732 Sacramento, California 94232

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee ATTN: Robert R. Wellington, Esq. Legal Counsel 857 Cass Street, Suite D Monterey, California 93940 Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Harris Tower & Pavillion 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Christopher J. Warner, Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, California 94120

Mr. David H. Oatley, Vice President
Diablo Canyon Operations and
Plant Manager
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, California 93424

Telegram-Tribune ATTN: Managing Editor 1321 Johnson Avenue P.O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, California 93406

Ms. Jacqueline Wyland (5)
Environmental Review Coordinator
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323 DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations for Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82 that were issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee) for operation of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) Units 1 and 2, located in San Luis Obispo County, California.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

### Identification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would exempt Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.60 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to allow use of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Case N-514 as an alternate method for establishing the setpoints for the low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) systems that have been installed for overpressure protection of the DCPP reactor coolant pressure boundary.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated September 3, 1998, as supplemented by letters dated January 22, February 5, and March 17, 1999.

# The Need for the Proposed Action:

The provisions of 10 CFR 50.60 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, restrict the operating conditions for the DCPP reactor coolant systems from exceeding the pressure/temperature (P/T) limits established in compliance with Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. The requirements in 10 CFR Part 50 were established to protect the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary in nuclear power plants. As part of these requirements, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, requires that the P/T limits be established for reactor pressure vessels during normal and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, states that "The appropriate requirements on ...the pressure-temperature limits and minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions." Pressurized water reactor licensees have installed cold overpressure mitigation systems(COMS)/low temperature overpressure protection systems (LTOP) in order to protect the reactor coolant pressure boundaries from being operated outside of the boundaries established by the P/T limit curves and to provide pressure relief of the reactor coolant pressure boundaries during low temperature overpressurization events. DCPP technical specifications require them to update and submit the changes to its LTOP setpoints whenever PG&E is requesting approval for amendments to the P/T limit curves. The use of Code Case N-514 would provide an acceptable level of safety against overpressurization events of the DCPP reactor pressure vessels. Based on the conservatism that is incorporated into the methods of Appendix G of the Section XI to the ASME Code for calculating P/T limit curves, it is concluded that permitting the LTOP setpoints to be established in accordance with the Code Case (e.g., at a level ≤110 percent of the limit defined by the P/T limit curves) would provide an adequate margin of safety against brittle fracture failure of the reactor pressure vessels. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G and Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, are not necessary to prevent brittle fracture of the reactor pressure vessel from occurring during low temperature operation.

### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the use of Code Case N-514 as an alternative method for establishing the setpoints for the LTOP systems at DCPP Units 1 and 2 would provide an adequate margin of safety against brittle fracture of the DCPP reactor vessels.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

## Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Diablo Canyon Power Plant dated May 1973, and the Addendum dated May 1976.

### Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on April 22, 1999, the staff consulted with the California State official, Mr. Steve Hsu of the Radiologic Health Branch of the State Department of Health Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendments. The State official had no comments.

### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed amendments will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's application dated September 3, 1998, as supplemented dated January 22, February 5, and March 17, 1999, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the California Polytechnic State University, Robert E. Kennedy Library, Government Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of April 1999.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Steven D. Bloom, Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation