Exelon Generation Company, LLC
Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
22710 206th Avenue North
Cordova, IL 61242-9740

www.exeloncorp.com

Exelon Nuclear

August 9, 2002

SVP-02-064

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1
Facility Operating License No. DPR-29
NRC Docket Number 50-254

Subject: Core Operating Limits Report for Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A

Reference: Letter from USNRC to J. L. Skolds (Exelon) dated, July 29, 2002 "Quad Cities Unit 1 – Issuance of Amendment RE: Change in Minimum Critical Power Ratio Safety Limit (TAC NO. MB4747)

The referenced letter amended Quad Cities Unit 1 Minimum Critical Power Ratio Safety Limit to allow for operation to the end of cycle 17A. On January 9, 2002, Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station (QCNPS) Unit 1 was forced to shutdown (Q1F49) as a result of a failed jet pump. During the forced shutdown QCNPS replaced a leaking fuel bundle with a bundle from the spent fuel pool, and elected to shuffle additional fuel bundles to extend full power operation for the Unit 1 core. As a result, Cycle 17A has been developed for Unit 1. This revised COLR is applicable until the end of Cycle 17A, which ends in November of 2002.

Enclosed is the revised COLR for Cycle 17A, submitted in accordance with Technical Specifications Section 5.6.5.d.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Mr. W. J. Beck at (309) 227-2800.

Respectfully,

Timothy J. Tulon
Site Vice President
Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station

Attachment A: Core Operating Limits Report for Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A

cc: Regional Administrator – NRC Region III
NRC Senior Resident Inspector – Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station

A001

Attachment A

Core Operating Limits Report

for

Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A

Core Operating Limits Report

For

Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A

July 2002

ISSUANCE OF CHANGES SUMMARY

Affected Section	Affected Pages	Summary of Changes	Date
All	All	Original Issue (Cycle 17A)	1/02
	iii, 3-1, and 4-1	Deleted "first sequence exchange" restriction on validity of the COLR and LHGR and MCPR limits.	4/02
	iv	Added control blade history analysis reference.	
Special Instructions, 3.2, and 4.2	iii, 3-1, 3-2, and 4-1	Removed 4140 MWD/MT cycle exposure limitation on the COLR, LHGR, and MCPR limits.	
References, List of Tables, and 4.2	iv, v, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, and 4-4	Added MCPR operating limits corresponding to 1.15 dual loop and 1.16 single loop MCPR Safety Limit.	7/02
5.0	5-1 and 5-2	Add additional methodology based on Amendments 201/197 of Section 5.6.5 of the Technical Specifications.	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) contains the applicable reactor core limits and operational information mandated by Technical Specifications Section 5.6.5. When the COLR is referenced by applicable Technical Specifications or procedures for Technical Specification compliance, a controlled copy of this report shall be used as the official source of the applicable limit or requirement.

REFERENCES

1. Exelon Generation Company, LLC and MidAmerican Energy Company Docket No. 50-254, Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Facility Operating License, License No. DPR-29.

2. Letter from D.M. Crutchfield to All Power Reactor Licenses and Applicants, Generic Letter 88-16; Removal of Cycle-Specific Parameter Limits from Technical Specifications, 10/3/1998.

3. "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17 Neutronics Licensing Report (NLR)", Document ID # DG00-001158, TODI NFM0000100, Sequence 0.

4. Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, SAFER/GESTR - LOCA Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis, NEDC-31345P, Revision 2, Class III, July 1989 (as amended).

5. EMF-96-037(P), Rev. 1, "Quad Cities Extended Operating Domain (EOD) and Equipment Out Of Service (EOOS) Safety Analysis for ATRIUM-9B Fuel", September 1996, NFS NDIT # 9600134 Seq 02.

6. EMF-2415, "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17 Plant Transient Analysis", Rev. 0, August 2000.

7. EMF-2416, "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17 Reload Analysis", Rev. 0, August 2000.

8. EMF-2348(P), Revision 0, "Quad Cities LOCA-ECCS Analysis MAPLHGR Limits for ATRIUM-9B Fuel", February 2000.

9. DEG:98:177, "Permission to Send the NRC Nonproprietary Transient Analysis and Reload Analysis Reports", D.E. Garber to R.J. Chin, June 1, 1998.

10. GE DRF C51-00217-01, "Instrument Setpoint Calculation Nuclear Instrumentation, Rod Block Monitor, Quad Cites 1 & 2", December 14, 1999.

11. EMF-2706(P) Revision 0, "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A Neutronic and Safety Analyses," January 2002.

12. J11-03692-LHGR, Revision 1, Class 3, February 2000, "ComEd GE9/GE10 LHGR Improvement Program", NDIT NFM0000067, Sequence 0.

13. DEG:01:077, "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17 Evaluation of Fuel Thermal Conductivity (Non-Proprietary Version for Exelon)," David Garber to Dr. R. J. Chin, May 14, 2001.

14. DEG:02:063, "Control Blade History Evaluation for Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A," D. E. Garber to F. W. Trikur, April 1, 2002.

15. EMF-2743, "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A Plant Transient Analysis," Revision 0, May 2002 (TODI NFM0200101 Rev. 0).

16. EMF-2735. "Quad Cities Unit 1 Cycle 17A Reload Analysis," Revision 0, May 2002 (TODI NFM0200100 Rev. 0).

LIST OF TABLES

1.0 CONTROL ROD WITHDRAWAL BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION

1.1 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REFERENCE:

TS 3.3.2.1, Table 3.3.2.1-1 (COLR 1.2) and
TS 3.4.1 (COLR 1.3)

1.2 DESCRIPTION (TLO):

The Rod Withdrawal Block Monitor Upscale Instrumentation Allowable Value for two recirculation loop operation is determined from the following relationship:

$$\leq (0.65)Wd + 56.1\% \text{ **}$$

1.3 DESCRIPTION (SLO):

The Rod Withdrawal Block Monitor Upscale Instrumentation Allowable Value for Single Loop Operation (SLO) is determined from the following relationship:

$$\leq (0.65)Wd + 51.4\% \text{ **}$$

** Clamped with an allowable value not to exceed the allowable value for recirculation loop drive flow (Wd) of 100%

Wd is the percent of drive flow required to produce a rated core flow of 98 million lb/hr. Trip level setting is in percent of rated power (2511 MWth).

2.0 AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE (APLHGR)

2.1 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REFERENCE:

TS 3.2.1 (COLR 2.2) and
TS 3.4.1 (COLR 2.3)

2.2 DESCRIPTION:

The base MAPLHGR limits are determined as follows:

The Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rates (MAPLHGR) vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB311-8GZ-100M-145-CECO is determined from Table 2-1.

The Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rates (MAPLHGR) vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB312-7GZ-100M-145-CECO is determined from Table 2-2.

The Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rates (MAPLHGR) vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB332-8G5.0-100M-145-CECO is determined from Table 2-3.

The Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rates (MAPLHGR) vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB333-4G5.0/6G4.0-100M-145-CECO is determined from Table 2-4.

The Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rates (MAPLHGR) vs. Average Planar Exposure for SPCA9-3.48B-11G6.5-ADV, SPCA9-3.60B-11G6.5-ADV, SPCA9-383B-11GZH-ADV, and SPCA9-382B-12GZL-ADV is determined from Table 2-5.

2.3 SINGLE LOOP OPERATION MULTIPLIER:

The tabulated values are multiplied by 0.85 for GE fuel and 0.90 for SPC fuel whenever Quad Cities enters Single Loop Operation.

TABLE 2-1

MAPLHGR vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB311-8GZ-100M-145-CECO

LATTICE 1807: P8HXL071-8GE-100M-T
LATTICE 1806: P8HXL335-8G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1805: P8HXL353-2G4.0/6G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1804: P8HXL335-4G4.0/4G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1054: P8HXL071-NOG-100M-T

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/ST)	MAPLHGR LIMITS (KW/FT)				
	1054	1806	1805	1804	1807
0.0	11.85	12.06	11.10	12.02	11.85
0.2	11.78	12.12	11.14	12.08	11.78
1.0	11.59	12.28	11.27	12.22	11.59
2.0	11.57	12.48	11.51	12.40	11.57
3.0	11.61	12.68	11.81	12.57	11.61
4.0	11.68	12.89	12.14	12.76	11.68
5.0	11.75	13.11	12.50	12.94	11.75
6.0	11.81	13.29	12.88	13.12	11.81
7.0	11.86	13.41	13.19	13.28	11.86
8.0	11.91	13.47	13.28	13.40	11.91
9.0	11.94	13.48	13.34	13.46	11.94
10.0	11.97	13.46	13.39	13.49	11.97
12.5	11.75	13.34	13.44	13.33	11.75
15.0	11.38	12.96	13.09	12.95	11.38
20.0	10.59	12.22	12.40	12.22	10.59
25.0	9.81	11.51	11.73	11.50	9.81
27.22	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314
48.08	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800
58.97	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000

TABLE 2-2

MAPLHGR vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB312-7GZ-100M-145-CECO

LATTICE 1811: P8HXL071-7GE-100M-T
LATTICE 1810: P8HXL336-7G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1809: P8HXL354-1G4.0/6G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1808: P8HXL336-3G4.0/4G3.0-100M-T
LATTICE 1054: P8HXL071-NOG-100M-T

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/ST)	MAPLHGR LIMITS (KW/FT)				
	1054	1810	1809	1808	1811
0.0	11.85	12.04	11.27	12.01	11.85
0.2	11.78	12.11	11.31	12.08	11.78
1.0	11.59	12.27	11.42	12.23	11.59
2.0	11.57	12.49	11.65	12.43	11.57
3.0	11.61	12.72	11.93	12.65	11.61
4.0	11.68	12.96	12.24	12.88	11.68
5.0	11.75	13.15	12.58	13.09	11.75
6.0	11.81	13.30	12.94	13.22	11.81
7.0	11.86	13.41	13.15	13.32	11.86
8.0	11.91	13.46	13.32	13.40	11.91
9.0	11.94	13.47	13.43	13.45	11.94
10.0	11.97	13.45	13.50	13.47	11.97
12.5	11.75	13.35	13.45	13.35	11.75
15.0	11.38	12.97	13.10	12.97	11.38
20.0	10.59	12.24	12.41	12.23	10.59
25.0	9.81	11.52	11.74	11.51	9.81
27.22	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314
48.08	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800
58.97	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000

TABLE 2-3

MAPLHGR vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB332-8G5.0-100M-145-CECO

LATTICE 1054: P8HXL071-NOG-100T-T
LATTICE 2080: P8HXL358-8G5.0-100T-T
LATTICE 2081: P8HXL377-8G5.0-100T-T
LATTICE 2082: P8HXL071-8GE-100T-T

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/ST)	MAPLHGR LIMITS (KW/FT)			
	1054	2080	2081	2082
0.0	11.85	11.98	11.55	11.85
0.2	11.78	12.05	11.58	11.78
1.0	11.59	12.18	11.65	11.59
2.0	11.57	12.33	11.80	11.57
3.0	11.61	12.48	11.97	11.61
4.0	11.68	12.57	12.11	11.68
5.0	11.75	12.67	12.25	11.75
6.0	11.81	12.77	12.38	11.81
7.0	11.86	12.88	12.47	11.86
8.0	11.91	12.85	12.57	11.91
9.0	11.94	12.83	12.67	11.94
10.0	11.97	12.84	12.77	11.97
12.5	11.75	13.05	12.92	11.75
15.0	11.38	12.89	12.77	11.38
20.0	10.59	12.17	12.24	10.59
25.0	9.81	11.46	11.50	9.81
27.22	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314
48.08	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800
58.97	6.0000	6.000	6.000	6.000

TABLE 2-4

MAPLHGR vs. Average Planar Exposure for GE10-P8HXB333-4G5.0/6G4.0-100M-145-CECO

LATTICE 1054: P8HXL071-NOG-100T-T
LATTICE 2077: P8HXL358-4G5.0/6G4.0-100T-T
LATTICE 2078: P8HXL377-4G5.0/6G4.0-100T-T
LATTICE 2079: P8HXL071-10GE-100T-T

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/ST)	MAPLHGR LIMITS (KW/FT)			
	1054	2077	2078	2079
0.0	11.85	11.81	11.22	11.85
0.2	11.78	11.86	11.26	11.78
1.0	11.59	11.95	11.36	11.59
2.0	11.57	12.11	11.52	11.57
3.0	11.61	12.25	11.69	11.61
4.0	11.68	12.40	11.88	11.68
5.0	11.75	12.56	12.08	11.75
6.0	11.81	12.72	12.29	11.81
7.0	11.86	12.85	12.46	11.86
8.0	11.91	12.89	12.61	11.91
9.0	11.94	12.94	12.76	11.94
10.0	11.97	13.00	12.90	11.97
12.5	11.75	13.14	13.02	11.75
15.0	11.38	12.90	12.79	11.38
20.0	10.59	12.17	12.24	10.59
25.0	9.81	11.46	11.50	9.81
27.22	12.314	12.314	12.314	12.314
48.08	10.800	10.800	10.800	10.800
58.97	6.0000	6.000	6.000	6.000

TABLE 2-5

MAPLHGR vs. Average Planar Exposure for
SPCA9-3.48B-11G6.5-ADV
SPCA9-3.60B-11G6.5-ADV
SPCA9-383B-11GZH-ADV
and
SPCA9-382B-12GZL-ADV

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	ATRIUM-9B MAPLHGR (KW/FT)
0.0	13.5
20.0	13.5
60.0	8.7
61.1	8.6

3.0 LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE (LHGR)

3.1 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REFERENCE:

TS 3.2.3 and
TS 3.2.4

3.2 DESCRIPTION

A. The LHGR limit for the GE fuel types in the Q1C17A core are as follows:

GE10-P8HXB311-8GZ-100M-145-CECO

NODAL EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	LHGR (KW/ft)
0.0	14.40
12.87	14.40
27.16	12.31
48.91	10.80
60.61	6.00

GE10-P8HXB312-7GZ-100M-145-CECO

NODAL EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	LHGR (KW/ft)
0.0	14.40
13.00	14.40
27.27	12.31
49.01	10.80
60.70	6.00

GE10-P8HXB332-8G5.0-100M-145-CECO

NODAL EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	LHGR (KW/ft)
0.0	14.40
12.75	14.40
27.25	12.31
48.97	10.8
60.62	6.00

GE10-P8HXB333-4G5.0/6G4.0-100M-145-CECO

NODAL EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	LHGR (KW/ft)
0.0	14.40
12.69	14.40
27.11	12.31
48.87	10.80
60.54	6.00

B. The LHGR limits are provided in Table 3-1 for all of the SPC fuel types (ATRIUM-9B Offset) in the Q1C17A core.

The Protection Against Power Transient LHGR Limits for ATRIUM-9B Offset fuel are provided in Table 3-2.

TABLE 3-1

LHGR vs AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE for ATRIUM-9B
Steady State

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	ATRIUM-9B LHGR (KW/FT)
0.0	14.4
15.0	14.4
61.1	8.32

TABLE 3-2

LHGR vs AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE for ATRIUM-9B
Transient

AVERAGE PLANAR EXPOSURE (GWD/MTU)	ATRIUM-9B LHGR (KW/FT)
0.0	19.4
15.0	19.4
61.1	11.2

4.0 MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO (MCPR)

4.1 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REFERENCE:

TS 2.1.1.2,
TS 3.2.2 and
TS 3.4.1

4.2 DESCRIPTION

The following conditions are accounted for in the MCPR Operating Limits:

50% of the LPRMs out of service
40% of the TIPs out of service
2500 EFPH LPRM calibration interval
No reused channels
15 psi reduction in steam dome pressure
Technical Specification SCRAM speeds
Increased Core Flow (ICF)
Relief Valve out-of-service (RVOOS)

The Operating Limit MCPR shall be determined as follows:

1. During steady-state operation at rated core flow, the Operating Limit MCPR shall be greater than or equal to the limits provided in Table 4-1 for the appropriate operating conditions.

2. During off-rated flow conditions in Manual Flow Control Mode, the Operating Limit MCPR for each fuel type at a specific core flow condition shall be determined from the greater of the following:

   a. Table 4-2 using the appropriate flow rate and operating conditions, or

   b. Table 4-1 using the appropriate operating condition.

Percent Rated Core Flow based on 98 MLB/hr with 110% Maximum Flow in Manual Flow Control. (Technical Requirements Manual 2.1.a.1 and Bases of TS 3.2.2)

3. During off-rated flow conditions in Automatic Flow Control Mode, the Operating Limit MCPR for each fuel type at a specific core flow condition shall be determined from Table 4-3, Table 4-4, or Table 4-5 using the appropriate operating conditions. *Percent Rated Core Flow based on 98 MLB/hr with 108% Maximum Flow in Automatic Flow Control Operation* (Technical Requirements Manual 2.1.a.1 and Bases of TS 3.2.2).

4. During PLU Out of Service Conditions a 0.980 MFLCPR Administrative Limit shall be used.

TABLE 4-1
Q1C17A Base Operating Limit MCPRs

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE 10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
Normal Operation – Dual Loop	1.51	1.47	1.50	1.50
Single Loop Operation	1.52	1.48	1.51	1.51
EOD/EOOS Operation – Dual Loop (FHOOS/FFTR and/or coastdown)	1.55	1.51	1.56	1.51
EOD/EOOS Operation – Single Loop (FHOOS/FFTR and/or coastdown)	1.56	1.52	1.57	1.52
1 Bypass Valve OOS – Dual Loop	1.51	1.47	1.50	1.50
1 Bypass Valve OOS – Single Loop	1.52	1.48	1.51	1.51
All Bypass Valves OOS – Dual Loop	1.56	1.52	1.50	1.50
All Bypass Valves OOS – Single Loop	1.57	1.53	1.51	1.51

TABLE 4-2a
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Manual Flow Control – Dual Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
110	1.11	1.11	1.15	1.15
30	2.00	2.05	2.07	2.12
0	2.56	2.59	2.64	2.67

TABLE 4-2b
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Manual Flow Control – Single Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
110	1.12	1.12	1.16	1.16
30	2.01	2.06	2.08	2.13
0	2.57	2.60	2.65	2.68

* Based on Framatome methodology, these limits can be applied at all cycle 17A exposures (this includes exposures prior to 4000 MWD/MT).

TABLE 4-3a
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control – Dual Loop Operation
(Normal Operation or 1 Bypass Valve OOS)

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.51	1.47	1.50	1.50
30	2.83	2.82	2.81	2.88
0	3.73	3.68	3.71	3.76

TABLE 4-3b
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control – Single Loop Operation
(Normal Operation or 1 Bypass Valve OOS)

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.52	1.48	1.51	1.51
30	2.84	2.83	2.82	2.89
0	3.74	3.69	3.72	3.77

TABLE 4-4a
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control EOD/EOOS – Dual Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.55	1.51	1.56	1.51
30	2.90	2.90	2.92	2.90
0	3.82	3.79	3.85	3.79

TABLE 4-4b
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control EOD/EOOS – Single Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.56	1.52	1.57	1.52
30	2.91	2.91	2.93	2.91
0	3.83	3.80	3.86	3.80

* Based on Framatome methodology, these limits can be applied at all cycle 17A exposures (this includes exposures prior to 4000 MWD/MT).

TABLE 4-5a
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control All Bypass Valves OOS – Dual Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.56	1.52	1.50	1.50
30	2.92	2.92	2.81	2.88
0	3.85	3.81	3.71	3.76

TABLE 4-5b
Q1C17A Operating Limit MCPRs for Automatic Flow Control All Bypass Valves OOS – Single Loop Operation

	Cycle Exposures < 4000 MWD/MT		Cycle Exposures >= 4000 MWD/MT*	
Total Core Flow (% of Rated)	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR	GE10 OLMCPR	ATRIUM-9B OLMCPR
108	1.57	1.53	1.51	1.51
30	2.93	2.93	2.82	2.89
0	3.86	3.82	3.72	3.77

* Based on Framatome methodology, these limits can be applied at all cycle 17A exposures (this includes exposures prior to 4000 MWD/MT).

5.0 ANALYTICAL METHODS

The analytical methods used to determine the core operating limits shall be those previously reviewed and approved by the NRC, specifically those described in the following documents:

1. NEDE-24011-P-A-14, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel," June 2000.

2. Commonwealth Edison Topical Report NFSR-0085, "Benchmark of BWR Nuclear Design Methods," Revision 0, November 1990.

3 Commonwealth Edison Topical Report NFSR-0085, Supplement 1, "Benchmark of BWR Nuclear Design Methods - Quad Cities Gamma Scan Comparisons," Revision 0, April 1991.

4. Commonwealth Edison Topical Report NFSR-0085, Supplement 2, "Benchmark of BWR Nuclear Design Methods – Neutronic Licensing Analyses," Revision 0, April 1991.

5. Advanced Nuclear Fuels Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors Benchmark Results for CASMO-3G/MICROBURN-B Calculation Methodology, XN-NF-80-19 (P)(A), Volume 1, Supplement 3, Supplement 3 Appendix F, and Supplement 4, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, November 1990

6. Exxon Nuclear Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors. Application of the ENC Methodology to BWR Reloads, XN-NF-80-19 (P)(A), Volume 4, Revision 1, Exxon Nuclear Company, June 1986.

7. Exxon Nuclear Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors THERMEX: Thermal Limits Methodology Summary Description, XN-NF-80-19 (P)(A), Volume 3, Revision 2, Exxon Nuclear Company, January 1987.

8 Exxon Nuclear Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors – Neutronic Methods for Design and Analysis, XN-NF-80-19 (P)(A), Volume 1 and Supplements 1 and 2, Exxon Nuclear Company, March 1983.

9. Generic Mechanical Design for Exxon Nuclear Jet Pump BWR Reload Fuel, XN-NF-85-67 (P)(A), Revision 1, Exxon Nuclear Company, September 1986.

10. Qualification of Exxon Nuclear Fuel for Extended Burnup, Supplement 1: Extended Burnup Qualification of ENC 9x9 BWR Fuel, XN-NF-82-06 (P)(A), Supplement 1, Revision 2, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, May 1988

11. Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation Generic Mechanical Design for Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation 9x9-IX and 9x9-9X BWR Reload Fuel, ANF-89-014 (P)(A), Revision 1, and Supplements 1 and 2, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, October 1991.

12. Generic Mechanical Design Criteria for BWR Fuel Designs, ANF-89-98 (P)(A), Revision 1, and Revision 1 Supplement 1, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, May 1995.

13. Exxon Nuclear Plant Transient Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors, XN-NF-79-71 (P)(A), Revision 2 Supplements 1, 2 and 3, Exxon Nuclear Company, March 1986

14 ANFB Critical Power Correlation, ANF-1125 (P)(A) and Supplements 1 and 2, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, April 1990.

15. Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation Critical Power Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors/Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation Critical Power Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors· Methodology for Analysis of Assembly Channel Bowing Effects/NRC Correspondence, ANF-524 (P)(A), Revision 2, Supplement 1 Revision 2, Supplement 2, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, November 1990.

16 COTRANSA 2: A Computer Program for Boiling Water Reactor Transient Analyses, ANF-913 (P)(A) Volume 1 Revision 1 and Volume 1 Supplements 2, 3, and 4, Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, August 1990.

17. Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors EXEM BWR Evaluation Model, ANF-91-048 (P)(A), Advanced Nuclear Fuels Corporation, January 1993.

18 Commonwealth Edison Topical Report NFSR-0091, "Benchmark of CASMO/MICROBURN BWR Nuclear Design Methods," Revision 0, Supplements 1 and 2, December 1991, March 1992, and May 1992, respectively; SER letter dated March 22, 1993.

19. ANFB Critical Power Correlation Application for Coresident Fuel, EMF-1125 (P)(A), Supplement 1, Appendix C, Siemens Power Corporation, August 1997.

20 ANFB Critical Power Correlation Determination of ATRIUM-9B Additive Constant Uncertainties, ANF-1125 (P)(A), Supplement 1, Appendix E, Siemens Power Corporation, September 1998.

21. EMF-85-74(P), Revision 0, Supplement 1 (P)(A) and Supplement 2 (P)(A), "RODEX2A (BWR) Fuel Rod Thermal-Mechanical Evaluation Model," Siemens Power Corporation, February 1998