Mr. William L. Stewart Executive Vice President, Nuclear Arizona Public Service Company Post Office Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING

STATION, UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M94020)

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated December 20, 1995. The proposed exemption would permit the use of fuel rods clad with Zirconium-based alloys other than Zircaloy-4 in Unit 2 for Cycles 7, 8, and 9.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Charles R. Thomas, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. STN 50-529

DISTRIBUTION:

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

Docket PUBLIC

CIDSUIE. LIMITOTHHEITCE ASSESSMENT

PDIV-2 Reading

cc w/encl: See next page

JRoe EGA1 WBateman CThomas BHolian OGC

ACRS

DKirsch, Region IV JDyer, Region IV

Document Name: PV94020.EA

OFC	PDIV-2	PDIV-2	PDIV-2	LOGCHEN NEO W
NAME	EPeyton	CThomas M	BHolian	Moring
DATE	1∮≈3/96	1/2/96	1/2~/96	1/3//96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9602080253 960131 PDR ADBCK 05000529 PDR

080040

960808262

DF0/

1/1

Mr. William L. Stewart Executive Vice President, Nuclear Arizona Public Service Company Post Office Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING

STATION. UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M94020)

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated December 20, 1995. The proposed exemption would permit the use of fuel rods clad with Zirconium-based alloys other than Zircaloy-4 in Unit 2 for Cycles 7. 8. and 9.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Charles R. Thomas, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. STN 50-529

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket

PUBLIC

PDIV-2 Reading

JRoe EGA1 WBateman CThomas BHolian OGC ACRS

DKirsch, Region IV JDyer, Region IV

Document Name: PV94020.EA

	ocument name:	113102012/			
OFC	PDIV-2	PDIV-2		Logichely who v	
NAME	EPeyton	CThomas M	BHolian Juli	Moeing	
DATE	1,₽3/96	1/2/96	1/2/196	1/3//96	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 31, 1996

Mr. William L. Stewart Executive Vice President, Nuclear Arizona Public Service Company Post Office Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT - PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING

STATION, UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M94020)

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated December 20, 1995. The proposed exemption would permit the use of fuel rods clad with Zirconium-based alloys other than Zircaloy-4 in Unit 2 for Cycles 7, 8, and 9.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Charles R. Thomas, Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. STN 50-529

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

cc w/encl: Mr. Steve Olea Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

T. E. Oubre, Esq.
Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Senior Resident Inspector USNRC P. O. Box 40 Buckeye, Arizona 85326

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Harris Tower & Pavillion 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Chairman, Board of Supervisors ATTN: Chairman 301 W. Jefferson, 10th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Mr. Aubrey V. Godwin, Director Arizona Radiation Regulatory Agency 4814 South 40 Street Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Mr. Curtis Hoskins
Executive Vice President and
Chief Operating Officer
Palo Verde Services
2025 N. 3rd Street, Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Roy P. Lessey, Jr., Esq. Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer and Feld El Paso Electric Company 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

Ms. Angela K. Krainik, Manager Nuclear Licensing Arizona Public Service Company P.O. Box 52034 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2034 Ms. Jacqueline Wyland (5) Environmental Review Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY DOCKET NO. STN 50-529

PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. NPF-51, issued to Arizona Public Service Company (the licensee), for operation of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 2, located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

Identification of the Proposed Action:

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The exemption from 10 CFR 50.46; 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K; and 10 CFR 50.44 would allow the substitution of up to a total of 80 fuel rods clad with advanced zironium-based alloys in two fuel assemblies for in-reactor performance evaluation purposes during Cycles 7, 8, and 9 for PVNGS Unit 2.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated December 20, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would permit the use of fuel rods clad with Zirconium-based alloys other than Zircaloy-4 in PVNGS Unit 2 for Cycles 7, 8, and 9.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The temporary exemption will not significantly change the environmental impact of operating the facility. The analysis generated by ABB-Combustion Engineering, Inc. (ABB-CE), demonstrates that the predicted chemical,

mechanical, and material performance of the advanced zirconium-based cladding is within that approved for zircaloy under anticipated operational occurrences and postulated accidents. Thus, the normal fuel performance characteristics of the advanced zirconium-based clad fuel rods will be essentially the same as those observed for standard Zircaloy-4 fuel rods. Furthermore, the lead fuel assemblies will be placed in nonlimiting core locations which do not experience core power density throughout the irradiated periods. The current design bases requirements were applied to the proposed advanced zirconium-based cladding. Because the expected operating conditions (both normal and LOCA) are within those assumed for the fuel rods currently licensed for Palo Verde Unit 2, it is concluded that the licensing basis will not be compromised by incorporating a limited number (40) of advanced zirconium-based clad fuel rods and the environmental impacts of operation under the proposed action will be similar to those currently experienced at the facility.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that

there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3," dated February 1982.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on February 1, 1996, the staff consulted with the Arizona State official, Mr. William Wright of the Arizona Radiation Regulatory Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 20, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Phoenix Public Library, 1221 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Charles R. Thomas, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation