Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Nuclear Power Generation, B14A Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 1451 P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, California 94177

Dear Mr. Rueger:

SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC NOS. M91508 AND M91509)

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (EA) related to your application for amendment dated February 6, 1995, as supplemented by letters dated March 23 and May 22, 1995. The EA addresses that portion of your amendment application that would allow the storage of fuel with enrichments up to and including 5.0 weight percent U-235.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:
Melanie A. Miller, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File
PUBLIC
PDIV-2 Reading
EGA1
WBateman
MMiller
EPeyton
OGC
EJordan, AEOD
ACRS (4)
OPA

KPerkins, WCFO

BBeach

DOCUMENT NAME: DC91508.EA

OFC	LA/PDIV-2	PM/PDIV-2	OGC	D/PD4-2 A	
NAME	EPeyton	Milit er pk	THI	WBateman	
DATE	5,63/95	5/2495	5/2495	<b>(5)</b> (195	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9506200470 950601 PDR ADDCK 05000275 P PDR



Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Nuclear Power Generation, B14A Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 1451 P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco. California 94177

Dear Mr. Rueger:

SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC NOS. M91508 AND M91509)

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (EA) related to your application for amendment dated February 6, 1995, as supplemented by letters dated March 23 and May 22, 1995. The EA addresses that portion of your amendment application that would allow the storage of fuel with enrichments up to and including 5.0 weight percent U-235.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:
Melanie A. Miller, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File PUBLIC

PDIV-2 Reading

EGA1

**WBateman** 

MMiller

**EPeyton** 

OGC

EJordan, AEOD

ACRS (4)

OPA

**BBeach** 

KPerkins, WCFO

DOCUMENT NAME: DC91508.EA

OFC	LA/PDIV-2	PM/PDIV-2	OGC	D/PD4-2 1
NAME	EPeyton	MN Ter pk	177	WBateman D
DATE	5/33/95	5/27/95	5/2495	<b>(5</b> / (195

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 1, 1995

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Nuclear Power Generation, B14A Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 1451 P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, California 94177

SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (TAC NOS. M91508 AND M91509)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (EA) related to your application for amendment dated February 6, 1995, as supplemented by letters dated March 23 and May 22, 1995. The EA addresses that portion of your amendment application that would allow the storage of fuel with enrichments up to and including 5.0 weight percent U-235.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Melanie A. Miller, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

relanio O. Willes

Docket Nos. 50-275

and 50-323

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

cc w/encl:
NRC Resident Inspector
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 369
Avila Beach, California 93424

Dr. Richard Ferguson, Energy Chair Sierra Club California 6715 Rocky Canyon Creston, California 93432

Ms. Nancy Culver
San Luis Obispo
Mothers for Peace
P. O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, California 93448

Ms. Jacquelyn C. Wheeler P. O. Box 164 Pismo Beach, California 93448

Managing Editor

<u>The County Telegram Tribune</u>

1321 Johnson Avenue
P. O. Box 112

San Luis Obispo, California 93406

Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Mr. Truman Burns Mr. Robert Kinosian California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness, Room 4102 San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. Steve Hsu Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services Post Office Box 942732 Sacramento, California 94232 Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Harris Tower & Pavillion 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Mr. Peter H. Kaufman Deputy Attorney General State of California 110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101

Christopher J. Warner, Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, California 94120

Mr. Warren H. Fujimoto Vice President and Plant Manager Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant P. O. Box 56 Avila Beach, California 93424

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee ATTN: Robert R. Wellington, Esq. Legal Counsel 857 Cass Street, Suite D Monterey, California 93940

Ms. Jacqueline Wyland (5) Environmental Review Coordinator 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82, issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee), for operation of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in San Luis Obispo County, California.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

### Identification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would allow the storage of fuel in new and spent fuel racks with enrichments up to and including 5.0 weight percent U-235, would clarify that substitution of fuel rods with filler rods is acceptable for fuel designs that have been analyzed with applicable NRC-approved codes and methods, and would allow the use of ZIRLO fuel cladding in the future in addition to Zircaloy-4. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated February 6, 1995, as supplemented by letters dated March 23, and May 22, 1995.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is needed so that the licensee can use higher fuel enrichment to provide the flexibility of extending the fuel irradiation and to permit future operation with longer fuel cycles.

## Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the technical specifications. The proposed revisions would permit storage of fuel enriched to a nominal 5.0 weight percent Uranium 235. The safety considerations associated with storing new and spent fuel of a higher enrichment have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such changes would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed changes have no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. No changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation (an enveloping case for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant since burnup remains unchanged) were published and discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the Federal Register (53 FR 30355) on August 11, 1988, as corrected on August 24, 1988 (53 FR 32322) in connection with Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts of reactor operation with higher enrichment, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

## Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 22, 1995, the staff consulted with the California State official, Mr. Steve Hsu of the Department of Health Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the

human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 6, 1995, as supplemented by letters dated March 23, and May 22, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the California Polytechnic State University, Robert E. Kennedy Library, Government Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of June 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

William H. Bateman, Director

Project Directorate IV-2

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation