

September 26, 1995

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger
Nuclear Power Generation, B14A
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P. O. Box 770000, Mail Code A10D
San Francisco, California 94177

SUBJECT: EXEMPTION FROM CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 73.55, REQUIREMENTS FOR PHYSICAL PROTECTION OF LICENSED ACTIVITIES IN NUCLEAR POWER PLANT REACTORS AGAINST RADIOLOGICAL SABOTAGE - DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M92470) AND UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M92471)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

The Commission has issued the enclosed exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 73.55 relating to the issuance, storage and retrieval of badges for personnel who have been granted unescorted access to the protected areas at Diablo Canyon. This exemption is related to your application dated May 5, 1995, and supplements dated July 28, 1995, September 14, 1995 and September 19, 1995, to implement a hand geometry biometric system for site access control at the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant such that photographic identification badges may be taken offsite. The supplemental letters forwarded proposed security plan revisions for implementing the hand geometry biometric system.

A copy of the exemption is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(p), please submit your revised Physical Security Plan for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 504-3063.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

James C. Stone, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275
and 50-323

Enclosure: Exemption

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Docket File | GHill (4) |
| PUBLIC | ACRS (4) |
| PDIV-2 R/F | WBateman |
| WRussell/FMiraglia | JStone |
| RZimmerman | EPeyton |
| JRoe | LCunningham |
| EAdensam | JMitchell |
| OGC, 0-15B18 | KPerkins, RIV/WCFO |

9509290088 950926
PDR ADDCK 05000275
P PDR

DOCUMENT NAME: DC92470.EXE *je* *See Previous Sheet for Concurrence 9/25 #1

| OFC | PDIV-2/LA | PDIV-2/PM | DQTS/TSOB | OGC* | PDIV-2/D | DRPW/D |
|------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|
| NAME | EPeyton | JStone:ye | <i>LCunningham</i> | AHodgdon | <i>WBateman</i> | <i>JRoe</i> |
| DATE | 9/ /95 | 9/20/95 | 9/20/95 | 9/11/95 | 9/21/95 | 9/25/95 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ENC FILE CENTER COPY

CP-3
JFol
11

cc w/encl:

NRC Resident Inspector
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 369
Avila Beach, California 93424

Dr. Richard Ferguson, Energy Chair
Sierra Club California
1100 11th Street, Suite 311
Sacramento, California 95814

Ms. Nancy Culver
San Luis Obispo
Mothers for Peace
P. O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, California 93448

Ms. Jacquelyn C. Wheeler
P. O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, California 93448

Managing Editor
The County Telegram Tribune
1321 Johnson Avenue
P. O. Box 112
San Luis Obispo, California 93406

Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Mr. Truman Burns
Mr. Robert Kinosian
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness, Room 4102
San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. Steve Hsu
Radiologic Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
Post Office Box 942732
Sacramento, California 94232

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Harris Tower & Pavillion
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Mr. Peter H. Kaufman
Deputy Attorney General
State of California
110 West A Street, Suite 700
San Diego, California 92101

Christopher J. Warner, Esq.
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Post Office Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

Mr. Warren H. Fujimoto
Vice President and Plant Manager
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
P. O. Box 56
Avila Beach, California 93424

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety
Committee
ATTN: Robert R. Wellington, Esq.
Legal Counsel
857 Cass Street, Suite D
Monterey, California 93940

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | |
| |) | |
| PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY |) | Docket Nos. 50-275 |
| |) | and 50-323 |
| (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant |) | |
| Units 1 and 2) |) | |

EXEMPTION

I.

On November 2, 1984, the Commission issued Facility Operating License No. DPR-80 and on August 26, 1985, the Commission issued Facility Operating License No. DPR-82 to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee) for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 (DCPP), respectively. The license provides, among other things, that the licensee is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

II.

It is stated in 10 CFR 73.55, "Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage," paragraph (a), that "The licensee shall establish and maintain an onsite physical protection system and security organization which will have as its objective to provide high assurance that activities involving special nuclear material are not inimical to the common defense and security and do not constitute an unreasonable risk to the public health and safety."

It is specified in 10 CFR 73.55(d), "Access Requirements," paragraph (1), that "The licensee shall control all points of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area." It is specified in 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) that "A

numbered picture badge identification system shall be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escort...." It also states that an individual not employed by the licensee (i.e., contractors) may be authorized access to protected areas without escort provided the individual "receives a picture badge upon entrance into the protected area which must be returned upon exit from the protected area...."

The licensee proposed to implement an alternative unescorted access control system which would eliminate the need to issue and retrieve badges at each entrance/exit location and would allow all individuals with unescorted access to keep their badges with them when departing the site.

An exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) is required to allow contractors who have unescorted access to take their badges offsite instead of returning them when exiting the site. By letter dated May 5, 1995, and supplements dated July 28, 1995, September 14, 1995 and September 19, 1995, the licensee requested an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) for this purpose.

III.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, "Specific exemptions," the Commission may, upon application of any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant such exemptions in this part as it determines are authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and are otherwise in the public interest. Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55, the Commission may authorize a licensee to provide measures for protection against radiological sabotage provided the licensee demonstrates that the measures have "the same high assurance objective" and meet "the general performance requirements" of the regulation, and "the overall level of system performance

provides protection against radiological sabotage equivalent" to that which would be provided by the regulation.

At the DCPD site, unescorted access into protected areas is controlled through the use of a photograph on a combination badge and keycard. (Hereafter, these are referred to as badges.) The security officers at the entrance station use the photograph on the badge to visually identify the individual requesting access. The badges for both licensee employees and contractor personnel who have been granted unescorted access are issued upon entrance at the entrance/exit location and are returned upon exit. The badges are stored and are retrievable at the entrance/exit location. In accordance with 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5), contractor individuals are not allowed to take badges offsite. In accordance with the plant's physical security plan, neither licensee employee nor contractors are allowed to take badges offsite.

Under the proposed system, each individual who is authorized for unescorted access into protected areas would have the physical characteristics of their hand (hand geometry) registered with their badge number in the access control system. When an individual enters the badge into the card reader and places the hand on the measuring surface, the system would record the individual's hand image. The unique characteristics of the extracted hand image would be compared with the previously stored template in the access control system to verify authorization for entry. Individuals, including licensee employees and contractors, would be allowed to keep their badges with them when they depart the site and thus eliminate the process to issue, retrieve and store badges at the entrance stations to the plant. Badges do not carry any information other than a unique identification number.

All other access processes, including search function capability, would remain the same. This system would not be used for persons requiring escorted access, i.e., visitors.

Based on a Sandia report entitled, "A Performance Evaluation of Biometric Identification Devices" (SAND91--0276 UC--906 Unlimited Release, printed June 1991), and on the licensee's experience with the current photo-identification system, the licensee stated that the false acceptance rate for the hand geometry system is comparable to that of the current system. The biometric system has been in use for a number of years at several sensitive Department of Energy facilities. The licensee will implement a process for testing the proposed system to ensure continued overall level of performance equivalent to that specified in the regulation. The Physical Security Plan for DCPD will be revised to include implementation and testing of the hand geometry access control system and to allow licensee employees and contractors to take their badges offsite.

The licensee will control all points of personnel access into a protected area under the observation of security personnel through the use of a badge and verification of hand geometry. A numbered picture badge identification system will continue to be used for all individuals who are authorized unescorted access to protected areas. Badges will continue to be displayed by all individuals while inside the protected area.

Since both the badges and hand geometry would be necessary for access into the protected areas, the proposed system would provide for a positive verification process and the potential loss of a badge by an individual, as a result of taking the badge offsite, would not enable an unauthorized entry into protected areas.

For the foregoing reasons, pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55, the NRC staff has determined that the proposed alternative measures for protection against radiological sabotage meet "the same high assurance objective," and "the general performance requirements" of the regulation and that "the overall level of system performance provides protection against radiological sabotage equivalent" to that which would be provided by the regulation.

IV.


Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, this exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants Pacific Gas and Electric Company an exemption from those requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) relating to the returning of picture badges upon exit from the protected area such that individuals not employed by the licensee, i.e., contractors, who are authorized unescorted access into the protected area, may take their picture badges offsite.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will have no significant impact on the environment (60 FR 49640).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of September 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Jack W. Roe, Director
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation