Docket Nos.: 50-361

and 50-362

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin Vice President Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. James C. Holcombe Vice President - Power Supply San Diego Gas & Electric Company 101 Ash Street Post Office Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Gentlemen:

Issuance of Amendment No. 53 to Facility Operating License NPF-10 and Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License NPF-15

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 53 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10 and Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-15 for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, located in San Diego County, California. The amendments revise Technical Specification 3/4.5.2, "ECCS Sub-Systems, T greater than or equal to 350°F." The change deletes the surveillance requirement for an isolation valve to the refueling water storage tank. The change is based on the removal of the internals from the valve, thereby insuring that it cannot be closed.

These amendments were requested by your letter of February 7, 1986 and are covered by Proposed Change Number PCN-198.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation supporting the amendments is also enclosed.

Sincerely,

151

Harry Rood, Senior Project Manager PWR Project Directorate No. 7 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 53 to NPF-10

2. Amendment No. 42 to NPF-15

3. Safety Evaluation

cc: See next page

PBD7 13 HRood/yr 7/8/86

JLee

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin Southern California Edison Company

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3

cc: Mr. James C. Holcombe Vice President - Power Supply San Diego Gas & Electric Company 101 Ash Street Post Office Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Charles R. Kocher, Esq. James A. Beoletto, Esq. Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue P. O. Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe ATTN: David R. Pigott, Esq. 600 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94111

Alan R. Watts, Esq. Rourke & Woodruff Suite 1020 1055 North Main Street Santa Ana, California, 92701

Mr. S. McClusky Bechtel Power Corporation P. O. Box 60860, Terminal Annex Los Angeles, California 90060

Mr. C. B. Brinkman Combustion Engineering, Inc. 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 1310 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. Dennis F. Kirsh
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. Hans Kaspar, Executive DirectorMarine Review Committee, Inc.531 Encinitas Boulevard, Suite 105Encinitas, California 92024

Mr. Mark Medford Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue P. O. Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Stephen B. Allman Manager, Nuclear Department San Diego Gas & Electric Company P. O. Box 1831 San Diego, California 92112

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.
University of San Diego School of
Law
Environmental Law Clinic
San Diego, California 92110

Charles E. McClung, Jr., Esq. Attorney at Law 24012 Calle de la Plaza/Suite 330 Laguna Hills, California 92653

Regional Administrator, Region V U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1450 Maria Lane/Suite 210 Walnut Creek, California 94596

Resident Inspector, San Onofre NPS c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 4329 San Clemente, California 92672 cc: California State Library Government Publications Section Library & Courts Building Sacramento, CA 95841 ATTN: Ms. Mary Schnell

Mayor, City of San Clemente San Clemente, CA 92672

Chairman, Board Supervisors San Diego County 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335 San Diego, CA 92101

California Department of Health ATTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation Control Unit Radiological Health Section 714 P Street, Room 498 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Joseph O. Ward, Chief Radiological Health Branch State Department of Health Services 714 P Street, Building #8 Sacramento, California 95814



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-361

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 2 AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 53 License No. NPF-10

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the license for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated February 7, 1986 complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission:
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this amendment and Paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-10 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 53, are hereby incorporated in the license. SCE shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

- 3. The change in Technical Specifications is to become effective within 30 days of issuance of the amendment. In the period between issuance of the amendment and the effective date of the new Technical Specifications, the licensee shall adhere to the Technical Specifications existing at the time. The period of time during change over shall be minimized.
- 4. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Harry Rood, Senior Project Manager PWR Project Directorate No. 7 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Harry Poo

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 20, 1986

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 53 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-10 DOCKET NO. 50-361

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. Also to be replaced are the following overleaf pages to the amended pages.

Amendment Page

Overleaf Page

3/4 5-4

3/4 5-3

EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

3/4.5.2 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS - Tayg GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 350°F

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3.5.2 Two independent Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) subsystems shall be OPERABLE with each subsystem comprised of:
 - a. One OPERABLE high-pressure safety injection pump,
 - b. One OPERABLE low-pressure safety injection pump, and
 - c. One OPERABLE charging pump capable of taking suction from either the boric acid makeup tank or the refueling water storage tank.
 - d. An independent OPERABLE flow path capable of taking suction from the refueling water tank on a Safety Injection Actuation Signal and automatically transferring suction to the containment sump on a Recirculation Actuation Signal.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3*.

ACTION:

- a. With one ECCS subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours.
- b. In the event the ECCS is actuated and injects water into the Reactor Coolant System, a Special Report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 90 days describing the circumstances of the actuation and the total accumulated actuation cycles to date. The current value of the usage factor for each affected safety injection nozzle shall be provided in this Special Report whenever its value exceeds 0.70.

with pressurizer pressure greater than or equal to 400 psia.

EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.5.2 Each ECCS subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:
 - a. At least once per 12 hours by verifying that the following valves are in the indicated positions with power to the valve operators removed:

<u>Valve Number</u>		Valve Function	Valve Position
a.	HV9353	SDC Warmup	CLOSED
b.	HV9359	SDC Warmup	CLOSED
c.	HV8150	SDC(HX) Isolation	CLOSED
d.	HV8151	SDC(HX) Isolation	CLOSED
	HV8152	SDC(HX) Isolation	CLOSED
f.	HV8153	SDC(HX) Isolation	CLOSED
g.	HV0396	SDC Bypass Flow Control	CLOSED
h.	HV8161	SDC(HX) Bypass Flow Isolation	OPEN
i.	HV9420	Hot Leg Injection Isolation	CLOSED
j.	HV9434	Hot Leg Injection Isolation	CLOSED
k.	HV8160	SDC Bypass Flow Control	OPEN
1.	HV8162	LPSI Miniflow Isolation	OPEN
m.	HV8163	LPSI Miniflow Isolation	OPEN

- b. At least once per 31 days by:
 - Verifying that the ECCS piping is full of water by venting the ECCS pump casings and accessible discharge piping high points, and
 - 2. Verifying that each valve (manual, power operated or automatic) in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.
- c. By a visual inspection which verifies that no loose debris (rags, trash, clothing, etc.) is present in the containment which could be transported to the containment sump and cause restriction of the pump suctions during LOCA conditions. This visual inspection shall be performed:
 - For all accessible areas of the containment prior to establishing CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY, and
 - 2. Of the areas affected within containment at the completion of containment entry when CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY is established.
- d. At least once per 18 months by:
 - Verifying automatic isolation of the shutdown cooling system from the Reactor Coolant System when RCS pressure is simulated greater than or equal to 715 psia, and that the interlocks prevent opening the shutdown cooling system isolation valves when simulated RCS pressure is greater than or equal to 376 psia.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-362

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 3 AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 42 License No. NPF-15

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the license for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 3 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated February 7, 1986, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this amendment and Paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-15 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 42, are hereby incorporated in the license. SCE shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

- 3. The change in Technical Specifications is to become effective within 30 days of removal of the internals of valve number 10-068. In the period between issuance of the amendment and the effective date of the new Technical Specifications, the licensee shall adhere to the Technical Specifications existing at the time. The period of time during change over shall be minimized.
- 4. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Harry Rood, Senior Project Manager PWR Project Directorate No. 7 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 20, 1986

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-15

DOCKET NO. 50-362

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. Also to be replaced are the following overleaf pages to the amended pages.

Amendment Page

Overleaf Page

3/4 5-4

3/4 5-3

EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

3/4.5.2 ECCS SUBSYSTEMS - Tavq GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 350°F

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3.5.2 Two independent Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) subsystems shall be OPERABLE with each subsystem comprised of:
 - a. One OPERABLE high-pressure safety injection pump,
 - b. One OPERABLE low-pressure safety injection pump, and
 - c. One OPERABLE charging pump capable of taking suction from either the boric acid makeup tank or the refueling water storage tank.
 - d. An independent OPERABLE flow path capable of taking suction from the refueling water tank on a Safety Injection Actuation Signal and automatically transferring suction to the containment sump on a Recirculation Actuation Signal.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3*.

ACTION:

- a. With one ECCS subsystem inoperable, restore the inoperable subsystem to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours.
- b. In the event the ECCS is actuated and injects water into the Reactor Coolant System, a Special Report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 90 days describing the circumstances of the actuation and the total accumulated actuation cycles to date. The current value of the usage factor for each affected safety injection nozzle shall be provided in this Special Report whenever its value exceeds 0.70.

With pressurizer pressure greater than or equal to 400 psia.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5.2 Each ECCS subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 12 hours by verifying that the following valves are in the indicated positions with power to the valve operators removed:

Valve Number		Valve Function	Valve Position
f.	HV9353 HV9359 HV8150 HV8151 HV8152 HV8153	SDC(HX) Isolation	CLOSED CLOSED CLOSED CLOSED CLOSED CLOSED
g. h.	HV0396 HV8161	SDC Bypass Flow Control SDC(HX) Bypass Flow Isolation	OPEN
i. j. k.	Deleted		
j.	Deleted		
k.	HV9420	Hot Leg Injection Isolation	CLOSED
1.	HV9434	Hot Leg Injection Isolation	CLOSED
m.	HV8160	SDC Bypass Flow Control	OPEN
n.	HV8162	LPSI Miniflow Isolation	OPEN
0.	HV8163	LPSI Miniflow Isolation	OPEN .

- b. At least once per 31 days by:
 - Verifying that the ECCS piping is full of water by venting the ECCS pump casings and accessible discharge piping high points, and
 - 2. Verifying that each valve (manual, power operated or automatic) in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.
- c. By a visual inspection which verifies that no loose debris (rags, trash, clothing, etc.) is present in the containment which could be transported to the containment sump and cause restriction of the pump suctions during LOCA conditions. This visual inspection shall be performed:
 - For all accessible areas of the containment prior to establishing CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY, and
 - 2. Of the areas affected within containment at the completion of containment entry when CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY is established.
- d. At least once per 18 months by:
 - 1. Verifying automatic isolation of the shutdown cooling system from the Reactor Coolant System when RCS pressure is simulated greater than or equal to 715 psia, and that the interlocks prevent opening the shutdown cooling system isolation valves when simulated RCS pressure is greater than or equal to 376 psia.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 53 TO NPF-10 AND AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO NPF-15 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, UNITS 2 & 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Southern California Edison Company (SCE), on behalf of itself and the other licenses, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside California, and The City of Anaheim, California, has submitted several applications for license amendments for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. One such request, designated Proposed Change Number (PCN) 198 is evaluated herein.

2.0 EVALUATION OF CHANGES

By letter dated February 7, 1986, SCE requested that isolation valve #10-068 be deleted from the surveillance requirements of Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.5.2, "ECCS Sub-System, T greater than or equal to 350°F." This TS currently requires, among other things, that the licensee verify at least once per 12 hours that valve #10-068 is in a locked open position. This valve is located in a pipe (#080-6"-D-LLO) which connects the mini-flow lines of the engineered safety feature (ESF) pumps with the refueling water storage tank (see the Final Safety Analysis Report: Figures 6.2-46, 6.3-5 and 9.1-10). The ESF pumps are provided with a minimum flow protection to prevent damage to the pumps which could result from operating the pumps with a closed discharge. The purpose of the surveillance requirement in TS 4.5.2.a is to assure that the ESF pumps have at least a minimum flow protection. In SCE's submittal dated February 7, 1986, SCE stated that the internals of valve #10-068 will be removed, and thus surveillance of the valve is no longer necessary. By removing the internals of valve #10-068, the valve will be effectively in a locked open position. It should be noted that the shutdown cooling system may still be isolated from the refueling water storage tank during long-term recirculation cooling following a loss-of-coolant accident. Isolation is provided by two valves in series in each of the mini-flow lines (i.e., valves #HV 9307, HV 9308, HV 9347, and HV 9348). Based on the above, the staff finds the removal of the valve internals to be acceptable, as well as the proposed TS change.

In summary, the staff has reviewed the proposed changes to Technical Specifications 3/4.5.2 for San Onofre Units 2 and 3. The proposed changes would delete the isolation valve for the refueling water storage tank from a surveillance requirement. As discussed in the proceeding paragraph, the staff finds that the proposed changes meet the applicable regulatory guidance and requirements and are, therefore, acceptable.

3.0 CONTACT WITH STATE OFFICIAL

The NRC staff has advised the Chief of the Radiological Health Branch, State Department of Health Services, State of California, of the proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. No comments were received.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes in the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cummulative occupation radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued proposed findings that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such findings. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec. 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need to be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. We, therefore, conclude that the proposed changes are acceptable, and are hereby incorporated into the San Onofre 2 and 3 Technical Specifications.

Dated: August 20, 1986

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 53TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-10 AND AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-15 SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File 50-361/362 NRC PDR Local PDR PRC System NSIC PBD7 Reading JLee (8) HRood 0ELD LHarmon EJordan -**BGrimes JPartlow WJones** LChandler, OELD **CMiles HRDenton** DGEisenhut **JRutberg AToalston** RDiggs, LFMB MVirigilo TBarnhart (8) FMiraglia/FSchroeder