

March 13, 1986

Docket Nos.: 50-361
and 50-362

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. James C. Holcombe
Vice President - Power Supply
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
Post Office Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Gentlemen:

Subject: Issuance of Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License NPF-10
and Amendment No. 31 to Facility Operating License NPF-15
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10 and Amendment No. 31 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-15 for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, located in San Diego County, California. The amendments revise license conditions (Paragraph 2.C(14)a for Unit 2 and Paragraph 2.C(12)a for Unit 3, respectively, for the above licenses) to remove the requirement currently in the licenses to report all deviations from the Fire Hazards Analysis pursuant to Item 2.G of the licenses. Deviations from Sections III.G, J and O of Appendix R will still be reportable, as well as deviations from the numerous fire protection requirements of the Technical Specifications.

These amendments were requested by your letters of December 2, 1983 and January 25, 1984, and are covered by Proposed Change Number PCN-98.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation supporting the amendments is also enclosed.

Sincerely,

George W. Knighton, Director
PWR Project Directorate No. 7
Division of PWR Licensing-B

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 42 to NPF-10
2. Amendment No. 31 to NPF-15
3. Safety Evaluation

cc: See next page

*Previous concurred on by:

DPWR-B:PBD7*
JLee
2/18/86

DPWR-B:PBD7*
HRood/yt
2/18 /86

OELD*
2/19/86

DPWR-B:PBD7
GW Knighton
3/13/86

8603250067 860313
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P PDR

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin
Southern California Edison Company

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
Units 2 and 3

cc:

Mr. James C. Holcombe
Vice President - Power Supply
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
Post Office Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Mr. Hans Kaspar, Executive Director
Marine Review Committee, Inc.
531 Encinitas Boulevard, Suite 105
Encinitas, California 92024

Charles R. Kocher, Esq.
James A. Beoletto, Esq.
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Mark Medford
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
ATTN: David R. Pigott, Esq.
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Dr. L. Bernath
Manager, Nuclear Department
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Alan R. Watts, Esq.
Rourke & Woodruff
Suite 1020
1055 North Main Street
Santa Ana, California, 92701

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.
University of San Diego School of
Law
Environmental Law Clinic
San Diego, California 92110

Mr. V. C. Hall
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
1000 Prospect Hill Road
Windsor, Connecticut 06095

Charles E. McClung, Jr., Esq.
Attorney at Law
24012 Calle de la Plaza/Suite 330
Laguna Hills, California 92653

Mr. S. McClusky
Bechtel Power Corporation
P. O. Box 60860, Terminal Annex
Los Angeles, California 90060

Regional Administrator, Region V
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1450 Maria Lane/Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. C. B. Brinkman
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Resident Inspector, San Onofre NPS
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Mr. Dennis F. Kirsh
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

cc:
California State Library
Government Publications Section
Library & Courts Building
Sacramento, CA 95841
ATTN: Ms. Mary Schnell

Mayor, City of San Clemente
San Clemente, CA 92672

Chairman, Board Supervisors
San Diego County
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335
San Diego, CA 92101

California Department of Health
ATTN: Chief, Environmental
Radiation Control Unit
Radiological Health Section
714 P Street, Room 498
Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Joseph O. Ward, Chief
Radiological Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
714 P Street, Building #8
Sacramento, California 95814

MAR 18 1986

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-10
AND AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-15
SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File 50-361/362

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PRC System

NSIC

PBD7 Reading

JLee (8)

HRood

OELD

LHarmon

EJordan

BGrimes

JPartlow

TBarnhart (8)

WJones

LChandler, OELD

CMiles

HRDenton

DGEisenhut

JRutberg

AToalston

RDiggs, LFMB

MVirigilo

FMiraglia/FSchroeder



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-361

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE


Amendment No. 42
License No. NPF-10

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the license for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and the City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated December 2, 1983 and supplemented by letter dated January 25, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8603250073 860313
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P PDR

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changing Paragraph 2.C(14)a of Facility Operating License No. NPF-10 to read as follows:
 - (14)a. SCE shall maintain in effect and fully implement all provisions of the approved Fire Protection Plan as amended through Amendment 10 and the NRC staff's Fire Protection Review described in the SER and Supplements 4 and 5 to the SER. Only those deviations from the Fire Protection Plan that violate the requirements of Section III.G, III.J, and III.O of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50 and are not otherwise subject to Technical Specifications Limiting Conditions for Operation shall be reported in accordance with License condition 2.G.
3. This amendment is effective immediately and is to be fully implemented within 30 days of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


George W. Knighton, Director
PWR Project Directorate No. 7
Division of PWR Licensing-B

Date of Issuance: March 13, 1986



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-362

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 31
License No. NPF-15


1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the license for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and the City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated December 2, 1983 and supplemented by letter dated January 25, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changing Paragraph 2.C(12)a of Facility Operating License No. NPF-15 to read as follows:

(12)a. SCE shall maintain in effect and fully implement all provisions of the approved Fire Protection Plan as amended through Amendment 12 and the NRC staff's Fire Protection Review described in the SER, Supplements 4 and 5 to the SER, and in the Safety Evaluation issued with this license. In addition, SCE shall meet the technical requirements of Section III.G, "Fire Protection of Safe Shutdown Capability," III.J "Emergency Lighting," and III.O "Oil Collection System for Reactor Coolant Pump" of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50. Only those deviations from the Fire Protection Plan that violate the requirements of Section III.G, III.J, and III.O of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50 and are not otherwise subject to Technical Specifications Limiting Conditions for Operation shall be reported in accordance with License Condition 2.G.

3. This amendment is effective immediately and is to be fully implemented within 30 days of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


George W. Knighton, Director
PWR Project Directorate No. 7
Division of PWR Licensing-B

Date of Issuance: March 13, 1986



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION

AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO NPF-10

AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO NPF-15

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 & 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-382

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Southern California Edison Company (SCE), on behalf of itself and the other licensees, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside, California, and The City of Anaheim, California, has submitted several applications for license amendments for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS), Units 2 and 3. One such request, Proposed Change PCN-98, is evaluated herein. This change was requested by letters dated December 2, 1983 and January 25, 1984. PCN-98 adds the following sentence to each unit's existing license condition covering fire protection (i.e., Paragraph 2.C(14)a for Unit 2 and Paragraph 2.C(12)a for Unit 3):

Only those deviations from the Fire Protection Plan that violate the requirements of Section III.G, III.J, and III.O of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50 and are not otherwise subject to Technical Specifications Limiting Conditions for Operation shall be reported in accordance with License Condition 2.G.

The change would remove the requirement currently in the operating licenses to report all deviations from the Fire Hazards Analysis pursuant to Item 2.G of the operating licenses. Item 2.G currently requires that the NRC be informed by telephone within 24 hours of discovery of the deviation with a written confirmation no later than the first working day after discovery of the deviation, and submittal of a follow-up report within 14 days.

However, the licensees will still be required to report violations of Sections III.G, III.J, and III.O of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 under item 2.G of the operating licenses. Also, all reporting requirements of the Technical Specifications will remain in effect.

B603250080 B60313
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P PDR

2.0 EVALUATION OF PROPOSED CHANGE

The NRC staff has evaluated the proposed change and has concluded that it is acceptable, even though it would relax the reporting requirements for minor deviations from the Fire Hazards Analysis. The staff finds this acceptable because such reporting is not required by the Standard Review Plan (SRP), and is inconsistent with staff practice on other licensed plants. With the change in place, deviations from Sections III.G, J, and O of Appendix R will still be reportable, as well as deviations from the numerous fire protection requirements of the facility Technical Specifications. Therefore, the staff concludes that the proposed change meets all applicable safety criteria and is therefore acceptable.

3.0 CONTACT WITH STATE OFFICIAL

The NRC staff has advised the Chief of the Radiological Health Branch, State Department of Health Services, State of California, of the proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. No comments were received.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes in the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupation radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued proposed findings that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such findings. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec. 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need to be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Based upon our evaluation of the proposed changes to the San Onofre Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications, we have concluded that: there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. We, therefore, conclude that the proposed changes are acceptable, and are hereby incorporated into the San Onofre 2 and 3 Technical Specifications.

Dated: March 13, 1986