

Docket Nos.: 50-361
and 50-362

JAN 30 1984

CPI

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering
Licensing & Safety Department
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. James C. Holcombe
Vice President - Power Supply
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
Post Office Box 1831
San Diego, California 92211

Gentlemen:

Subject: Issuance of Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing" related to your submittal of January 3, 1984 regarding proposed changes to the Technical Specifications related to the number of hours per year that the containment purge system may be in operation. This Notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

S/

Harry Rood, Project Manager
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
Federal Register Notice

cc w/encl.: See next page

DISTRIBUTION W/INITIAL NSHD:

→ Document Control 50-361/362*

NRC PDR
L PDR
PRC System
NSIC
GWKnighton
LB#3 Reading*
HRood*
JLee*
LChandler*

ELJordan, IE
JTaylor, IE
HDenton
TNovak
JMiller
PKruetzer
ACRS (16)

DL:LB#3
JLee/kab
01/24/83

DL:LB#3
HRood
01/24/83

DL:LB#3
GWKnighton
01/24/83

840215040B 840130
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P PDR

San Onofre

Mr. Kenneth P. Baskin
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. James C. Holcombe
Vice President - Power Supply
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
Post Office Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Charles R. Kocher, Esq.
James A. Beoletto, Esq.
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
ATTN: David R. Pigott, Esq.
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. George Carvalho
City Manager
City of San Clemente
100 Avenida Presidio
San Clemente, California 92701

Alan R. Watts, Esq.
Rourke & Woodruff
Suite 1020
1055 North Main Street
San Clemente, California, 92701

Lawrence Q. Garcia, Esq.
California Public Utilities Commission
5066 State Building
San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. V. C. Hall
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
1000 Prospect Hill Road
Windsor, Connecticut 06095

Mr. S. McClusky
Bechtel Power Corporation
P. O. Box 60860, Terminal Annex
Los Angeles, California 90060

Mr. Mark Medford
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Henry Peters
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Ms. Lyn Harris Hicks
Advocate for GUARD
3908 Calle Ariana
San Clemente, California 92672

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.
University of San Diego School of
Law
Environmental Law Clinic
San Diego, California 92110

Phyllis M. Gallagher, Esq.
Suite 222
1695 West Crescent Avenue
Anaheim, California 92701

Mr. A. S. Carstens
2071 Caminito Circulo Norte
Mt. La Jolla, California 92037

Charles E. McClung, Jr., Esq.
Attorney at Law
24012 Calle de la Plaza/Suite 330
Laguna Hills, California 92653

Mr. Dennis F. Kirsh
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Resident Inspector, San Onofre/NPS
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Regional Administrator - Region V/NRC
1450 Maria Lane/Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. C. B. Brinkman
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
7010 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONSOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, ET ALDOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating Licenses No. NPF-10 and NPF-15, issued to Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, the City of Riverside, California and the City of Anaheim, California (the licensees), for operation of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3, located in San Diego County, California.

In accordance with the licensees' request of January 3, 1984, the amendments would change the Technical Specification limit on operation of the 8-inch containment purge system from 1000 hours per 365 days to 3000 hours per 365 days.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the

8402150412 840130
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P PDR

probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of these standards by providing certain examples (48 FR 14870) of amendments that are considered not likely to involve significant hazards considerations. One of the examples (vi) relates to a change which either may result in some increase to the probability or consequences of a previously-analyzed accident or may reduce in some way a safety margin but where the results of the change are clearly within all acceptable criteria with respect to the system or component specified in the Standard Review Plan. Although the proposed action increases the time during which purging is allowed, it does not increase the probability of an accident. However, the consequences of an accident, should one occur during purging, might be greater than when the purge valves are closed. Nevertheless, the purge system meets staff criteria for closure time in the event of an accident, which assures that any release under those conditions would be still within acceptable limits. Accordingly, the Commission proposes to determine that this change does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, ATTN: Docketing and Services Branch.

By March 5, 1984, the licensees may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facility operating licenses and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the

nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment requests involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make them effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments.

If the final determination is that the amendments involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facilities, the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to George W. Knighton: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, and to Charles R. Kocher, Esq., Southern California Edison Company, 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, P. O. Box 800, Rosemead, California 91770 and Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, Attention: David R. Pigott, Esq., 600 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California 94111, attorney for the licensees.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated January 3, 1984, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. and at the San Clemente Library, 242 Avenida Del Mar, San Clemente, California.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 30th day of January, 1984.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Original signed by:
George W. Knighton**

George W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

HR
LB#3:DL
HRood:kab
01/24/84

JLee
LB#3:DL
JLee
01/24/84

GWKnighton
LB#3
GWKnighton
01/24/84

*no legal objection
subject to changes
in notation*
OELD
LChapTer
01/24/84

INITIAL
NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION
AND NOTICING ACTION

Docket No. 50-361/362 Facility: San Onofre 2 & 3
Licensee: Southern Calif. Edison Co. Date of application: 1/3/84

Request for: Tech Spec changes related to the number of hours per year that the containment purge system may be in operation

(See attached notice or press release for more details.)

Initial Determination:

- (X) Proposed determination - amendment request involves no significant hazards considerations (NSHC).
() Final determination - amendment request involves significant hazards considerations (SHC).

Basis for Determination

- (X) Licensee's NSHC discussion has been reviewed and is accepted. See attached amendment request.
() Basis for this determination is presented in the attached notice.
() Other (state):

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

Initial Noticing Action: (Attach appropriate notice or input for monthly FRN)

1. () Monthly FRN. Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination - monthly FRN input is attached (Attachment 8).
2. (X) Individual FRN (30 days). Same notice matter as above. Time does not allow waiting for next monthly FRN (Attachments 9a and 9b).

(THIS FORM SHOULD BE TYPED EXCEPT FOR UNUSUAL, URGENT CIRCUMSTANCES.)

8402150416 840130
PDR ADOCK 05000361
P CF

- 3. () Local media notice. Valid exigent circumstances exist (evaluated below). Local media notice requesting public comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachment 10).
- 4. () No notice. A valid emergency situation exists (evaluated below) and there is no time for public notice on proposed NSHC determination. (No attachment.)
- 5. () Individual FRN (30-days). Licensee's claim of exigent or emergency circumstances is invalid (evaluated below). Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached (Attachments 9a and 9b). Letter of explanation to licensee is also attached.
- 6. () Individual FRN (30-days). The amendment request involves SHC. Notice of opportunity for prior hearing is attached (Attachment 5). Letter to licensee also attached.
- 7. () Individual Short FRN. Valid emergency circumstances exist (evaluated below). There is no time for the usual 30-day FRN. (Attachment 16).

Evaluation of exigent or emergency circumstances (if applicable):

(attach additional sheets as needed)

Approvals:

Date

- 1. Harry Reed (Project Manager) 1-24-84
- 2. Barry W. ... (Branch Chief) 1-30-84
- 3. Andrew ... (OELD) 1/26/84
*no legal objections
per FR notice*

Additional approval (for noticing actions types 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7):

- 4. DM ... (Assistant Director) 1/30/84

Additional approval (for noticing action types 4 and 5):

- 5. _____ (Director, Division of Licensing)

Attachment: as indicated

cc: Original - Docket File (with note "Docket File only")
Project Manager
Licensing Assistant
Branch Files