

FEB 18 1983

Docket Nos.: 50-361
and 50-362

Mr. Robert Dietch
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Gary D. Cotton
Mr. Louis Bernath
San Diego & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
Post Office Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Gentlemen:

Subject: Issuance of Amendment No. 14 to Facility Operating License NPF-10
and Amendment No. 3 to Facility Operating License NPF-15 -
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 14 to Facility Operating License NPF-10 and Amendment No. 3 to Facility Operating License NPF-15 for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, located in San Diego County, California. The amendments modify Technical Specifications 3/4.7.5 to change the control room emergency air cleanup system (CREACUS) flow rate from 1500 cfm + 10% to 2050 + 150 cfm. These amendments were requested by your letters of February 11 and February 16, 1983.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation supporting these amendments is enclosed. Also enclosed is a copy of the related notice which has been sent to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

George W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 14 to NPF-10
2. Amendment No. 3 to NPF-15
3. Safety Evaluation
4. Federal Register Notice

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

*no legal objection to
Am 3 Amendment
to FR notice*

8303100411 830218
PDR ADOCK 05000361
PDR

DL:LB#3 HRood/yt 2/17/83	DL:LB#3 JLes 2/17/83	DL:LB#3 LChandler 2/18/83	DL:LB#3 GWKnighton 2/17/83	DL:AD/L TMNovak 2/17/83		
--------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	--

Mr. Robert Dietch
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Gary D. Cotton
Mr. Louis Bernath
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
San Diego, California 92112

cc: Charles R. Kocher, Esq.
James A. Beoletto, Esq.
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
ATTN: David R. Pigott, Esq.
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Mr. George Carvalho
City Manager
City of San Clemente
100 Avenida Presidio
San Clemente, California 92672

Alan R. Watts, Esq.
Rourke & Woodruff
Suite 1020
1055 North Main Street
Santa Ana, California 92701

Lawrence Q. Garcia, Esq.
California Public Utilities Commission
5066 State Building
San Francisco, California 94102

Mr. V. C. Hall
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
1000 Prospect Hill Road
Windsor, Connecticut 06095

Mr. S. McClusky
Bechtel Power Corporation
P. O. Box 60860, Terminal Annex
Los Angeles, California 90060

Mr. Dennis F. Kirsch
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. - Reg. V
1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. Mark Medford
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P. O. Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Henery Peters
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Ms. Lyn Harris Hicks
Advocate for GUARD
3908 Calle Ariana
San Clemente, California 92672

Richard J. Wharton, Esq.
University of San Diego School of Law
Environmental Law Clinic
San Diego, California 92110

Phyllis M. Gallagher, Esq.
Suite 222
1695 West Crescent Avenue
Anaheim, California 92701

Mr. A. S. Carstens
2071 Caminito Circulo Norte
Mt. La Jolla, California 92037

Charles E. McClung, Jr., Esq.
Attorney at Law
24012 Calle de la Plata/Suite 330
Laguna Hills, California 92653

Resident Inspector, San Onofre/NPS
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Regional Administrator-Region V/NRC
1450 Maria Lane/Suite 210
Walnut Creek, California 94596

Mr. C. B. Brinkman
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
4853 Cordell Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

California Department of Health
AFTN: Chief, Environmental Radiation
Control Unit
Radiological Health Section
714 P Street, Room 498
Sacramento, California 95814

Chairman, Board Supervisors
San Diego County
San Diego, California 92412

Mayor, City of San Clemente
San Clemente, California 92672

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ATTN: EIS Coordinator
Region IX Office
215 Fremont Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Director, Energy Facilities Siting Division
Energy Resources Conservation &
Development Commission
1111 Howe Avenue
Sacramento, California 95825

California State Library
Government Publications Section
Library and Courts Building
Sacramento, California 95841
ATTN: Ms. Mary Schell

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-361

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 14
License No. NPF-10

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated February 11, 1983, as supplemented by letter dated February 16, 1983, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

OFFICE							
SURNAM	8303100475	830218					
DATE	PDR	ADOCK	05000361				
	P		PDR				

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-10 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 14, are hereby incorporated in the license. SCE shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

George M. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: FEB 18 1983

No legal objection to this amendment.

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:AD/L		
SURNAME	HRood/yt	JLee	LChandler	GKnighton	TMNovak		
DATE	2/17/83	2/18/83	2/18/83	2/11/83	2/ /83		

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 14

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-10

DOCKET NO. 50-361

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. Also to be replaced are the following overleaf pages to the amended pages.

<u>Amendment Page</u>	<u>Overleaf Page</u>
3/4 7-13	3/4 7-16
3/4 7-14	
3/4 7-15	

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.5 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY AIR CLEANUP SYSTEM*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.5 Two independent control room emergency air cleanup systems shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: ALL MODES

ACTION:

Unit 2 or 3 in MODE 1, 2, 3 or 4:

With one control room emergency air cleanup system inoperable, restore the inoperable system to OPERABLE status within 7 days or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

Units 2 and 3 in MODE 5 or 6:

- a. With one control room emergency air cleanup system inoperable, restore the inoperable system to OPERABLE status within 7 days or initiate and maintain operation of the remaining OPERABLE control room emergency air cleanup system in the recirculation mode.
- b. With both control room emergency air cleanup systems inoperable, or with the OPERABLE control room emergency air cleanup system required to be in the recirculation mode by ACTION (a), not capable of being powered by an OPERABLE emergency power source, suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable in MODE 6.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.5 Each control room emergency air cleanup system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 12 hours by verifying that the control room air temperature is less than or equal to 110°F.
- b. At least once per 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by initiating, from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and verifying that the system operates for at least 10 hours with the heaters on.
- c. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system by:
 1. Verifying that with the system operating at a flow rate of 35485 cfm \pm 10% for the air conditioning unit, and 2050 \pm 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and recirculating through the respective HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers, leakage through the system diverting valves is less than or equal to 1% air conditioning unit and 1% ventilation unit when the system is tested by admitting cold DOP at the respective intake.

*Shared system with San Onofre - Unit 3.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

2. Verifying that the cleanup system satisfies the in-place testing acceptance criteria and uses the test procedures of Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is, 2050 \pm 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and 35,485 cfm \pm 10% for the air conditioning unit.
 3. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978.
 4. Verifying a system flow rate of 2050 \pm 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and 35,485 cfm \pm 10% for the air conditioning unit during system operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- d. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978.
- e. At least once per 18 months by:
1. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than 7.0 inches Water Gauge ventilation unit and less than 7.3 inches Water Gauge air conditioning unit while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 \pm 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and 35,485 cfm \pm 10% for the air conditioning unit.
 2. Verifying that on a control room isolation test signal, the system automatically switches into the emergency mode of operation with flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks.
 3. Verifying that on a toxic gas isolation test signal, the system automatically switches into the isolation mode of operation with flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks.
 4. Verifying that the system maintains the control room at a positive pressure of greater than or equal to 1/8 inch W.G. relative to the outside atmosphere during system operation in the emergency mode.
 5. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 4.8 kw \pm 5% when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- f. After each complete or partial replacement of a HEPA filter bank by verifying that the HEPA filter banks remove greater than or equal to 99.95% of the DOP when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485 \text{ cfm} \pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.
- g. After each complete or partial replacement of a charcoal adsorber bank by verifying that the charcoal adsorbers remove greater than or equal to 99.95% of a halogenated hydrocarbon refrigerant test gas when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485 \text{ cfm} \pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA

DOCKET NO. 50-362

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 3
License No. NPF-15

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 3 (the facility) filed by the Southern California Edison Company on behalf of itself and San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) dated February 11, 1983, as supplemented by letter dated February 16, 1983, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-15 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 3, are hereby incorporated in the license. SCE shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

George W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: FEB 18 1983

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	DL:AD/L		
SURNAME	HRood/yt	J. [unclear]	L.Chandler	G.W.Knighton	TMNovak		
DATE	2/1/83	2/1/83	2/1/83	2/1/83	2/1/83		

*No legal effects
to amend
and for no fee*

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 3

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-15

DOCKET NO. 50-362

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change. Also to be replaced are the following overleaf pages to the amended pages.

Amendment Page

3/4 7-14
3/4 7-15
3/4 7-16

Overleaf Page

3/4 7-13

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.5 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY AIR CLEANUP SYSTEM*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.5 Two independent control room emergency air cleanup systems shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: ALL MODES

ACTION:

Unit 2 or 3 in MODES 1, 2, 3 or 4:

With one control room emergency air cleanup system inoperable, restore the inoperable system to OPERABLE status within 7 days or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

Units 2 and 3 in MODES 5 or 6:

- a. With one control room emergency air cleanup system inoperable, restore the inoperable system to OPERABLE status within 7 days or initiate and maintain operation of the remaining OPERABLE control room emergency air cleanup system in the recirculation mode.
- b. With both control room emergency air cleanup systems inoperable, or with the OPERABLE control room emergency air cleanup system required to be in the recirculation mode by ACTION (a), not capable of being powered by an OPERABLE emergency power source, suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or positive reactivity changes.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable in MODE 6.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.5 Each control room emergency air cleanup system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 12 hours by verifying that the control room air temperature is less than or equal to 110°F.
- b. At least once per 31 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by initiating, from the control room, flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and verifying that the system operates for at least 10 hours with the heaters on.
- c. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system by:
 1. Verifying that with the system operating at a flow rate of 35485 cfm \pm 10% for the air conditioning unit, and 2050 \pm 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and recirculating through the respective HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers, leakage through the system diverting valves is less than or equal to 1% air conditioning unit and 1% ventilation unit when the system is tested by admitting cold DOP at the respective intake.

* Shared system with San Onofre - Unit 2.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

2. Verifying that the cleanup system satisfies the in-place testing acceptance criteria and uses the test procedures of Regulatory Positions C.5.a, C.5.c and C.5.d of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, and the system flow rate is 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485$ cfm $\pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.
 3. Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978.
 4. Verifying a system flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485$ cfm $\pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit during system operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- d. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation by verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978.
- e. At least once per 18 months by:
1. Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks is less than 7.0 inches Water Gauge ventilation unit and less than 7.3 inches Water Gauge air conditioning unit while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485$ cfm $\pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.
 2. Verifying that on a control room isolation test signal, the system automatically switches into the emergency mode of operation with flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks.
 3. Verifying that on a toxic gas isolation test signal, the system automatically switches into the isolation mode of operation with flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks.
 4. Verifying that the system maintains the control room at a positive pressure of greater than or equal to $1/8$ inch W.G. relative to the outside atmosphere during system operation in the emergency mode.
 5. Verifying that the heaters dissipate 4.8 kw $\pm 5\%$ when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- f. After each complete or partial replacement of a HEPA filter bank by verifying that the HEPA filter banks remove greater than or equal to 99.95% of the DOP when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485 \text{ cfm} \pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.
- g. After each complete or partial replacement of a charcoal adsorber bank by verifying that the charcoal adsorbers remove greater than or equal to 99.95% of a halogenated hydrocarbon refrigerant test gas when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 while operating the system at a flow rate of 2050 ± 150 cfm for the ventilation unit and $35,485 \text{ cfm} \pm 10\%$ for the air conditioning unit.

SAFETY EVALUATION

AMENDMENTS 14 AND 3 TO NPF 10 AND -15

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 & 3

DOCKET NO. 50-361/362

Introduction

By letter dated February 11, 1983, the licensee requested, in part, a change in Technical Specification 3/4.7.5, Control Room Emergency Air Cleanup System (CREACUS). The proposed changes are increases in the limit on ventilation unit flow rate from 1500 cfm \pm 10% to 2050 \pm 150 cfm, with corresponding changes in the pressure differential across the filters through which this flow is to pass. Our evaluation of the proposed changes and the associated Technical Specification modifications is given below. Both Units 2 and 3 share a common control room protected by CREACUS.

Evaluation

In Supplement Number 2 to the San Onofre Unit 2 and 3 Safety Evaluation Report (NUREG-0712), the staff completed its review of control room habitability. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 6.4 of the Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) and item III.D.3.4 of NUREG-0737, and there were no open items.

The licensee conducted tests of CREACUS as originally designed and constructed, and discovered that it was incapable of pressurizing the control room atmosphere to 1/8" water gauge relative to the outside atmosphere. In a submittal dated January 27, 1982, the licensee proposed modifications to CREACUS to increase its capacity and to replace existing dampers on the normal ventilation system ducts with low leakage

dampers. Following these and other modifications, the licensee again conducted tests of CREACUS, and found that the control room could be pressurized to 1/8" water gauge, but only by using higher air flows than allowed by the Technical Specifications.

The staff and the licensee met on February 16, 1983 in Bethesda to discuss the recent tests, possible further modifications, and the effect upon control room habitability during accidents of CREACUS's operation at higher air flows. The licensee presented its calculations of radiation doses in the control room following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident, and of chlorine concentrations in the control room following the postulated release of one ton of chlorine from an accident on the adjacent highway. The staff has reviewed the licensee's calculations and its own calculations as reported in NUREG-0712. Using NUREG-0800, Section 6.4 procedures, the effects of increasing the CREACUS air flow were assessed. Calculated radiation doses remain less than the General Design Criteria (GDC) 19 guideline values and the chlorine analysis indicated acceptable concentration limits without taking credit for cleanup by the control room recirculation charcoal filters.

In addition, the licensee agreed to continue efforts to reduce control room air leakage presently occurring through floor drains, and to assure that emergency procedures will contain measures to minimize leakage such that, should CREACUS ever be called upon to perform its functions, air flow would be less than the Technical Specification limit proposed.

Based on the above considerations, the staff concludes that the proposed changes to Technical Specification 3/4.7.5 is acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

The NRC staff has determined that these amendments do not authorize a change in effluent types or total amount nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that these amendments involve actions which are insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR Section 51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

Conclusion

Based upon our evaluation of the proposed changes to the San Onofre, Unit 2 and 3 Technical Specifications, we have concluded that: (1) because these amendments do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered, do not create the possibility of an accident of a type different from any evaluation previously, and do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, these amendments do not involve a significant safety hazards consideration; (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. We, therefore, conclude that the proposed changes are acceptable.

Dated: FEB 18 1983

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, ET AL

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-10 AND NPF-15

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 14 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10, and Amendment No. 3 to Facility Operating License NPF-15 to Southern California Edison Company (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric Company, The City of Riverside, California and The City of Anaheim, California (licensees) for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 (the facility) located in San Diego County, California. These amendments are effective February 18, 1983.

The amendments modify the Technical Specifications to change the flow rate of the control room emergency air cleanup system from 1500 cfm \pm 10% to 2050 \pm 150 cfm. These amendments were requested by the SCE letters of February 11 and 16, 1983.

Issuance of these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments.

OFFICE ▶	8303100484	830218					
SURNAME ▶	PDR	ADOCK	05000361				
DATE ▶	P	PDR					

Prior public notice of these amendments is not required because the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) Southern California Edison Company's letters dated February 11 and 16, 1983, (2) Amendment No. 14 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-10, (3) Amendment No. 3 to Facility Operating License NPF-15, and (4) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation.

These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., and the San Clemente Library, 242 Avenida Del Mar, San Clemente, California 92672. A copy of items (2), (3) and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of February, 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

George W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing

*Legal Dept
for notes*

OFFICE	DL:LB#3	DL:LB#3	OELD	DL:LB#3	DL:AD/L		
SURNAME	HRood/yt	JLee	LChandler	GWKnighton	TMNovak		
DATE	2/17/83	2/17/83	2/18/83	2/17/83	2/ /83		

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

FEB 18 1983

DISTRIBUTION

Document Control (50-361/362)

NRC PDR

L PDR

PRC System

NSIC

LB#3 Reading

HRood

JLee

GWKnighton

LChandler, OELD

DEisenhut/RPurple

TMNovak

JRuthberg, OELD

AToalston, AIG

ELJordan, DEQA:IE

JMTaylor, DRP:IE

LJHarmon, IE File (2)

JSauder

WMiller

IDinitz

WJones, OA

TBarnhart (8)

BPCotter, ASLBP

ARosenthal, ASLAP

ACRS (16)

FPagano, I&E