

**From:** Stuart Rubin  
**To:** Borton, Kevin F.  
**Date:** Thursday, March 14, 2002 9:23AM  
**Subject:** AVR Melt Wire Assessment Report

Kevin:

Please review the attached file. It is Attachment 5b to the June 2001 NRC/EXELON meeting summary. It was prepared by Don Carlson. I would draw your attention to the last paragraph where Dr. Venter said he did not know the particular details of the thermal analyses for the AVR test results and the PBMR design but that he would look into them and have detailed answers provided at a future meeting. This is what I was referring to in the previous E-mail message to you on February 27, 2002.

The staff views understanding the melt wire results as a very significant issue that should be addressed during the pre-application review. It will also potentially have a very significant impact on the adequacy of the fuel irradiation program plan. Irradiation testing conducted at Julich clearly show that AVR fuel pebbles that are subject to high temperatures for even relatively short periods of time can have a significant impact on the number of particles that fail during the accident simulation tests.

It has been nine months since the June 2001 meeting.....

Thanks.

Stu

Kevin:

Exelon had committed at one of the NRC/Exelon PBMR preapplication meetings to provide the NRC with a copy of the technical report that Julich was preparing for ESKOM on Julich's analysis of the causes of the AVR meltwire test results. We understood that the report would be provided to the NRC after ESKOM has reviewed and approved it for transmittal.

The purpose of this message is to request you current estimated date for submitting the Julich report to the NRC.

Thanks.

Stu

**CC:** Amy Cabbage; Diane Flack; Donald Carlson; Farouk Eltawila; John Flack; Ralph Caruso; Ralph Meyer; Undine Shoop; Yuri Orechwa

### Staff's Comments on Fuel Performance

During the public portion of the meeting on June 13<sup>th</sup>, the NRC staff handed out pages 88 and 89 copied from the German report "AVR - 20 Jahre Betrieb" [AVR - 20 Years of Operation] (VDI Berichte 729, VDI Verlag, 1989) (Attachment 5-a). As described below, Attachment 5-a refers to the higher-than-expected maximum coolant temperatures seen in test results from the AVR pebble-bed reactor:

Because a pebble-bed core lacks structures to accommodate traditional in-core instrumentation, maximum coolant temperatures under normal operating conditions in the AVR core were inferred from a melt-wire experiment. In that experiment, hundreds of non-fueled graphite pebbles were equipped with a graphite plug containing twenty encapsulated wires with melting temperatures ranging up to 1280°C. Along with normal fuel-containing pebbles, these so-called monitor pebbles were added to the top of the pebble bed, through either the central loading tube or one of the peripheral loading tube positions, and then removed from the bottom discharge tube after a single pass through the core.

Since the AVR monitor pebbles produced negligible heat (i.e., they contained no fuel), the number of melted wires provided an indication of the maximum helium coolant temperature seen by that pebble. Unexpectedly, a significant number of monitor pebbles (i.e., six loaded in the central core region, sixteen in the peripheral core region) proved to have melted all twenty wires, thus indicating a maximum coolant temperature in excess of 1280°C. These results were with the AVR operating at a nominal coolant outlet temperature of 950°C.

After briefly describing these AVR monitor-pebble results, the staff asked a series of questions to find out to what extent the PBMR design team had considered the implications of the AVR test results when stating that the maximum fuel operating temperature is 1060°C in the PBMR when the nominal coolant outlet temperature is 900°C. Dr. Johan Venter (RSA) responded with the familiar explanation that the AVR results can be attributed to the neutron moderating effects from the graphite "noses" that protruded from the side reflector into outer core region of the AVR and that are absent in the PBMR design. Specifically, as has been previously claimed (e.g., Nuclear Engineering and Design, Volume 121, No. 2, p. 144, July 1990), Dr. Venter indicated that the unexpected hot spots seen in the AVR monitor-pebble results were caused by elevated power production in the fuel pebbles near the graphite noses.

The staff commented that this explanation seems to be inconsistent with the data, since the inner radial core region - far removed from the graphite noses - showed roughly as many hot spots as the outer core region (i.e., more monitor pebbles were loaded into the outer fuel region than the inner). The staff then asked whether the familiar explanation of the AVR monitor-pebble results has been supported by a detailed analysis that quantitatively predicts the observed range of maximum coolant temperatures in the AVR and whether the prediction of the maximum fuel operating temperature in the PBMR has been based on similarly detailed analysis. Dr. Venter said he did not know the particular details of the thermal analyses for the AVR test results and the PBMR design but that he would look into them and have detailed answers provided at a future meeting. The staff expects to further pursue the issue of maximum fuel operating temperatures in relation to the fuel testing program and the integral testing planned for the demonstration module in South Africa.

**Mail Envelope Properties** (3C90B257.C0F : 7 : 49395)

**Subject:** AVR Melt Wire Assessment Report  
**Creation Date:** Thursday, March 14, 2002 9:23AM  
**From:** Stuart Rubin

**Created By:** SDR1@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

exeloncorp.com

kevin.borton (Borton, Kevin F.)

nrc.gov

owf2\_po.OWFN\_DO

RXC CC (Ralph Caruso)

YXO CC (Yuri Orechwa)

nrc.gov

owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

AEC CC (Amy Cabbage)

USS CC (Undine Shoop)

nrc.gov

owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO

DSF1 CC (Diane Flack)

nrc.gov

twf5\_po.TWFN\_DO

DEC1 CC (Donald Carlson)

FXE CC (Farouk Eltawila)

JHF CC (John Flack)

ROM CC (Ralph Meyer)

**Post Office**

owf2\_po.OWFN\_DO

owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO

twf5\_po.TWFN\_DO

**Route**

exeloncorp.com

nrc.gov

nrc.gov

nrc.gov

nrc.gov

**Files**

Att5b-Exelon-June.wpd

MESSAGE

**Size**

5309

2854

**Date & Time**

03/14/02 09:10AM

03/14/02 09:23AM

**Options**

<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard
<b>Reply Requested:</b>	No
<b>Return Notification:</b>	None
<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No
<b>Security:</b>	Standard