

May 29, 1991

P-137

Docket Nos. 50-282
and 50-306

Mr. T. M. Parker, Manager
Nuclear Support Services
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Parker:

SUBJECT: ERRATA FOR AMENDMENT NOS. 96 AND 89 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE
DPR-42 AND DPR-60 (TAC NOS. 79690 AND 79691)

The changes to the plant Technical Specifications (TS) implemented by License Amendment Nos. 96 and 89, which were transmitted to you by letter dated May 9, 1991, have been found to contain text errors on page TS.6.2-7. A corrected page TS.6.2-7 is attached and should replace the page previously transmitted.

Sincerely,

/s/

Armando Masciantonio, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION
DOCKET FILE
NRC & LOCAL PDRs
PD31 R/F
BBOGER
JZWOLINSKI
PSHUTTLEWORTH
AMASCIANTONIO
OC/LFMB

OGC
DHAGAN
GHILL(4) ✓
WANDA JONES
CGRIMES
ACRS(10)
GPA/PA

LA/PD31:DRP345
PSHUTTLEWORTH
5/28/91

PM/PD31:DRP345
AMASCIANTONIO
5/28/91 asm

Mr
D/PD31:DRP345
LMARSH
5/29/91

DF01
11

9106070086 910529
FDR ADOCK 05000282
FDR

RECEIVED NRC



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 29, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-282
and 50-306

Mr. T. M. Parker, Manager
Nuclear Support Services
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Parker:

SUBJECT: ERRATA FOR AMENDMENT NOS. 96 AND 89 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE
DPR-42 AND DPR-60 (TAC NOS. 79690 AND 79691)

The changes to the plant Technical Specifications (TS) implemented by License Amendment Nos. 96 and 89, which were transmitted to you by letter dated May 9, 1991, have been found to contain text errors on page TS.6.2-7. A corrected page TS.6.2-7 is attached and should replace the page previously transmitted.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "A.S. Masciantonio", is written above the typed name.

Armando Masciantonio, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. T. M. Parker
Northern States Power Company

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating
Plant

cc:

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. E. L. Watzl, Site General Manager
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Route 2
Welch, Minnesota 55089

Joseph G. Maternowski
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
Suite 200
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
1719 Wakonade Drive East
Welch, Minnesota 55089

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Executive Director for
Operations
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. William Miller, Auditor
Goodhue County Courthouse
Red Wing, Minnesota 55066

- b. Content and method of submission of presentations to the Operations Committee
- c. Mechanism for scheduling meetings
- d. Provision for meeting agenda
- e. Use of subcommittees
- f. Review and approval, by members, of OC actions
- g. Provision for distribution of minutes

C. Maintenance Procedures

1. Maintenance work requests and their associated procedures required by specification 6.5.C and changes thereto, shall be prepared, reviewed and approved. Each procedure or procedure change shall be reviewed by a qualified individual(s) other than the individual(s) who prepared the procedure or procedure change. The appropriate superintendent (as previously designated by the Plant Manager) shall approve these procedures.
2. Individuals responsible for reviews performed in accordance with the requirements of specification 6.2.C.1 above shall meet or exceed the qualifications described in section 4.2 or 4.4 of ANSI N13.1-1971 and shall be previously designated by the Plant Manager. Such reviews shall include a determination of whether or not additional cross disciplinary review is necessary.
3. All reviews shall include a determination of the need for a safety evaluation to establish whether or not an unreviewed safety question is involved.