

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 08/19/02 EDO CONTROL: G20020477
DOC DT: 08/01/02
FINAL REPLY:

James Riccio
Greenpeace

TO:

Commission

FOR SIGNATURE OF : ** PRI **

CRC NO: 02-0526

Chairman Meserve

DESC: Regulations Governing Early Site Permits and New
Reactor Licensing

ROUTING:

Travers
Paperiello
Kane
Norry
Craig
Burns
Cyr, OGC

DATE: 08/08/02

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:
NRR Collins

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-01

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Aug 07, 2002 12:01

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-02-0526 **LOGGING DATE:** 08/07/2002
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: James Riccio
AFFILIATION: DC
ADDRESSEE: Richard Meserve
SUBJECT: Opposes the NEI and nuclear industry proposed changes to Part 52....

ACTION: Signature of Chairman
DISTRIBUTION: RF, SECY to Ack

LETTER DATE: 08/01/2002

ACKNOWLEDGED No
SPECIAL HANDLING: *Comm Forces*

NOTES: OCM #11450

FILE LOCATION: Adams

DATE DUE: 08/21/2002 **DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20020477

GREENPEACE

702 H Street, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20001
Tel: 202-462-1177 • Fax: 202-462-4507
www.greenpeaceusa.org

11450

August 1, 2002

The Honorable Richard A. Meserve, Chairman
The Honorable Dr. Nils J. Diaz, Commissioner
The Honorable Greta J. Dicus, Commissioner
The Honorable Edward McGaffigan, Jr., Commissioner
The Honorable Jeffrey S. Merrifield, Commissioner
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

Over the last several months I have been attending the meetings between the NRC's New Reactor Licensing Project Office and the Nuclear Energy Institute, Exelon, Entergy and Dominion. Some of these meetings and many of the discussions have concerned changing the NRC's regulations governing early site permits, design certifications and combined licenses.

During the course of these meetings it has become increasingly evident that the proposed rule changes being suggested by the Nuclear Energy Institute and the nuclear industry are not due to any defect in the rule. The proposed changes will not relieve the industry of any "unnecessary regulatory burden" nor will it make the process more efficient or effective.

The NEI and the nuclear industry are seeking to change NRC's regulations due to their inability to choose a specific reactor design that they intend to construct. This reticence on the part of Exelon, Entergy and Dominion is not due to the lack of potential nuclear plant designs. The NRC has already certified several nuclear power plant designs and is in the process of certifying several more.

Rather, Exelon, Entergy and Dominion have failed to choose a specific nuclear reactor design because none of the reactors are economically viable. While I appreciate the industry's predicament, the inability of new nuclear power plants to compete in a deregulated electricity market is not a legitimate reason to alter NRC regulation.

I am aware that the Commission, at the behest of the nuclear industry, is currently considering several changes to the regulations governing early site permits and new reactor licensing. I ask that you reject the NEI and industry proposed changes to Part 52. To do otherwise will only serve to undermine public confidence in the legitimacy of the NRC and any future reactor licensing process.

I thank you for your time and consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed by)

James Riccio
Nuclear Policy Analyst
Greenpeace