From:

"Robert L Gill Jr" <rigill@duke-energy.com>

To:

"Rani Franovich" < RLF2@nrc.gov>

Date:

6/7/02 8:37AM

Subject:

Re: UFSAR Supp Summary for Crane Inspection Program

## Rani.

Let's discuss this entire topic next week. The SRP LR text contains review guidance for the UFSAR summaries and should be followed by all of us. Bob

"Rani

<ri>dil@duke-energy.com> Franovich" To:

"Clifford Munson" < CGM1@nrc.gov>, "Meena <RLF2@nrc.gov CC:

Khanna" <MKK@nrc.gov>, "Pei-Ying Chen" <PYC@nrc.gov>, "Stewart Bailey" <SNB@nrc.gov>,

06/07/2002

"Samson Lee" <SSL1@nrc.gov>, "Stephen Hoffman"

MA 80:80

<STH@nrc.gov>

bcc:

Re: UFSAR Supp Summary for Crane Subject:

Inspection Program

Thanks for the reply, Bob.

In looking at the SRP Table you referred me to (Table 3.5-2), it appears that the reviewer is expected to verify that applicable codes, standards, Reg Guides, NUREGs, Generic Letters, EPRI Documents, and NEI documents are specified in the UFSAR Supplement. As such, it seems reasonable that Duke would provide that information in its UFSAR Supplements for Catawba and McGuire. Sam Lee and I discussed the intent of the SRP, and he confirmed that these references are expected to be provided in the UFSAR Supplement. Perhaps a broad review of the UFSAR Supplement is warranted to ensure that references to these kinds of documents are provided.... Rani

>>> "Robert L Gill Jr" <rigill@duke-energy.com> 06/07/02 06:26AM >>>

## Rani,

The original RAI B.3.10-1 concerns the acceptance criteria for the Crane Inspection Program. As stated in the Duke response, these criteria are idenitfied in ASME/ANSI requirements and OSHA regulations. Your initial feedback was could we specifically reference ANSI B30.2.0. Now you seem to want to add in other documents that we listed simply to demonstrate that the program is effective. Normally, we do not list documents used to demonstrate effectiveness of a program within the UFSAR Summary description. Adding these to the summary is not necessary.

With respect to ANSI B30.2.0 we were non-specific in the RAI response as to

what specific numerical requirement applies. If the staff requires a commitment to a specific ANSI standard in order to make its reasonable assurance finding for this program in the SDER, then Duke can add ANSI B30.20 to the UFSAR Supplement Summary description for the Crane Inspection Program.

Bob

"Rani

Franovich"

<ri>duke-energy.com>

<RLF2@nrc.gov

"Pei-Ying Chen"

<PYC@nrc.gov>, "Samson

Lee" <SSL1@nrc.gov>

bcc:

06/06/2002

Subject:

Re: UFSAR Supp

Summary for Crane

03:56 PM

Inspection Program

Bob, In the RAI response, a number of other references are provided as well (e.g. OSHA regulations, NRC Inspection Procedure 62002, and NEI 96-03). Because of the wording of the RAI response, it is not clear to the staff that these have been adopted by Duke. As such, including these references in the UFSAR Supplement (or not including them) will indicate to the staff what documents are material to defining the Duke AMPs. Just let me know what you plan to do. Thanks-Rani

Thanks, Bob. Is there a reluctance to reference the standard in the UFSAR supplement by name (ANSI B30.2.0)?

>>> "Robert L Gill Jr" <rigill@duke-energy.com> 06/06/02 01:40PM >>> Rani.

Debbie and I have your request of earlier today and propose that we can add the following to the UFSAR Supplement summary description of the Crane Inspection Program for each station:

Add the following sentence to Acceptance Criteria -"The acceptance criterion is in accordance with the criterion identifed in ASME/ANSI requirements and OSHA regulations."

Please advise if this is acceptable. Bob