

Entergy Nuclear Northeast Entergy Nuclear Operations. Inc.

Indian Point Energy Center P.O. Box 308 Buchanan, NY 10511 Tel 914 736 8001 Fax 914 736 8012

Robert J. Barrett Vice President, Operations Indian Point 3

July 29, 2002 IPN-02-062

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-286 License No. DPR-64 Licensee Event Report # 2002-002-00 Inoperable Isolation Valve Seal Water System Due to a Mispositioned Valve Is Outside Technical Specifications and a Safety System Functional Failure

Dear Sir:

The attached Licensee Event Report (LER) 2002-002-00 is hereby submitted as required by 10 CFR 50.73. This event is of the type defined in 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v)(C) and 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) for a condition recorded in Entergy's corrective action process as Condition Report CR-IP3-2002-01978.

Entergy is making no new commitments in this LER.

Very truly yours,

Robert J. Barrett

Vice President Operations Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

cc: See next page

522

Docket No. 50-286 IPN-02-062 Page 2 of 2

Mr. Hubert J. Miller **Regional Administrator** Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406-1415

> **INPO Record Center** 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission **Resident Inspectors' Office** Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 337 Buchanan, NY 10511-0337

Mr. Paul Eddy NYS Department of Public Service 3 Empire Plaza Albany, NY 12223

cc:

•

~

| NRC FORM 366 (1-2001) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) | | | | | APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 6-30-2001 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to bis1@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a nerson is not required to respond to the information collection DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|
| FACILITY NAME | E(1) | | | | | | | | | | | GE (3) | | | |
| Indian Point 3 | | | | | | | 05000 286 1 OF 4 | | | | | | 4 | | |
| TITLE (A) Inoperable Isol | ation Valv | e Seal Wa | ter Sys | tem Due to a Mis | sposi | tioned V | alve Is | Outside Te | chn | ical Specifications | and a S | afety Sy | stem Func | tional Failure | |
| EVEN | DATE (5) | | LER NUMBER (6) RE | | | PORT D | ATE (7) | | OTHER FAC | | CILITIES INVOLVED (8) | | | | |
| | | | | | REV | | | | FA | CILITY NAME | DOG | CKET NI | | | |
| MO | DAY | YEAR | YEAR | | NO | MO | DAY | YEAR | | | | 05000 |) | | |
| | | | | | ~~ | | ~~~ | | FA | CILITY NAME | DO | | | | |
| 05 | 17 | 01 | 02 | - 02 - | | 07 | 29 | 02 | L | | | 05000 | - | | |
| OPERAT | | 1 | — <u> </u> | | <u>s sue</u> | | | | IE R | EQUIREMENTS OF 10 | CFR 8: | | | | |
| MODE | (9) | <u> </u> | | | | |)3(a)(3)(| (!) | | 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) | | 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) | | | |
| POWE | | 100 | 20.2203(a)(1) 50.36 | | |)3(a)(4) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | | |
| LEVEL | 10) | | | | 1 | 0.36(c)(1)(i)(A) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) | | 73.71(a)(4) 73.71(a)(5) | | | | |
| | | | | 0.2203(a)(2)(i) | + | | <u>c)(1)(ii)(</u> | <u>A)</u> | | 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) | +- | OTHE | | | |
| | | | |).2203(a)(2)(ii) | + | 50.36(| | | | 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) | | Specify | | act below or in | |
| | | | |).2203(a)(2)(iii) | + | <u>.</u> | <u>a)(3)(ii)</u> | | Х | 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) | | NHC F | 000 3004 | | |
| | | | | 0.2203(a)(2)(iv) | | | a)(2)(i)(| | | 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) | | | | | |
| | | | | 0.2203(a)(2)(v) | <u> ×</u> | T | a)(2)(i)(| | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | | | | |
| | | | | 0.2203(a)(2)(vi) | | | a)(2)(i)(| | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) | - 88 | | | | |
| | | | 20 | 0.2203(a)(3)(i) | ENCI | | a)(2)(ii) | A) FOR THIS | 1 61 | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) | | | | | |
| NAME | | | | | E1131 | | | | | LEPHONE NUMBER (1 | nclude / | Area Cod | e) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | (914) 271-7363 | | | | | | |
| Stephen Pro | | COMPL | | | | COMP | NENT | | | SCRIBED IN THIS F | FPOR | T (13) | | | |
| | | COMPL | | | | COMP | | FALONE | | | | | | | |
| CAUSE | SYSTEM COMPONEN MANU- T FACTURER TO EPIX | | PORTABLI TO EPIX | E CAUSE | | SYSTEM COMPO | | PONENT | DNENT FA CTURER | | REPORTABLE TO EPIX | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SU | PPLEME | NTAL | REPORT EXPE | CTE | D (14) | | | | EXPECTED | M | IONTH | DAY | YEAR | |
| YES (If | yes, comp | lete EXP | ECTE | SUBMISSION | DAT | Έ). | XN | 10 | | SUBMISSION DATE (15) | | | | | |
| | | | | annroximately 1 | | | ed type | written line | (<i>a</i> | (16) | | | | | |
| | Tupo 1 | | | | | | | | | ered that a | manu | allv | opera | ted vent | |

On June 1, 2002, a Nuclear Plant Operator discovered that valve (IV-1428) on the Isolation Valve Seal Water System (IVSWS) header was mispositioned in the open position making the IVSWS system inoperable. The open vent valve could have prevented pressurization of the IVSWS headers and the seal water in the IVSWS Tank would have drained in less than 2 hours. IVSWS is designed to provide a containment isolation valve water seal after a postulated loss of coolant accident to mitigate containment isolation valve leakage. The inoperability of the system since May 17, 2001 violated Technical Specifications and was a safety system functional failure. The cause of the mispositioning of the valve was human error in the failure to perform all system restoration steps during performance of a surveillance test. Upon discovery, the operator closed the vent valve and restored the IVSWS to operability. Corrective actions for past mispositioning events address the cause and extent of condition. A review of 3PT-R025A and other procedures written in similar format will be conducted to determine necessary changes. The inoperable IVSWS had no significant effect on public health and safety since the containment isolation valves remained operable and would have minimized plant leakage after a postulated loss of coolant accident.

| NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY (11-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|----------------------|--------------------|---|---------|----|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | 1 | LER NUMBER (6) | | | PAGE (3 | i) |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | | |
| Indian Point 3 | 05000286 | 02 | - 002 - | 00 | 2 | OF | 4 |

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On June 1, 2002, at 100% steady state reactor power, a Nuclear Plant Operator (NPO) discovered that a manually operated header vent valve (IV-1428) on the Isolation Valve Seal Water System (IVSWS) {BD} was mispositioned in an open position. The discovery was made while performing a verification of Check off List COL-CB-4, during an extent of condition action from a prior mispositioning event. Upon discovery, the operator closed the vent valve and restored the IVSWS to operability. Operations recorded the mispositioning and initiated a Condition Report (CR-IP3-2002-1978) as required by the Indian Point 3 Corrective Action Program.

The IVSWS assures the leak tight integrity of some containment isolation valves (CIVs) by providing a water seal. The water seal is injected from a single seal water tank {TK} through five IVSWS headers into the process line between isolation valves, between the discs of double disc isolation valves, and into the packing lantern ring for several globe valves oriented so that packing could see containment atmosphere. The initial injection pressure is greater than peak accident pressure (initially at 47 psig). The IVSWS water tank is sized to provide the water seal for 24 hours, without operator action, after a postulated loss of coolant accident. The valves being sealed have leak test acceptance criteria that assure there is sufficient water in the tank. The open vent valve would have caused a loss of seal injection function since water in the IVSWS tank would have drained in less than 2 hours and pressure could not have been maintained in the header.

Operations conducted a review of Protective Tagging Orders (PTO), maintenance databases, COLs and test records to determine when IV-1428 could have been mispositioned. Operations concluded that the last time IV-1428 was verified to be in the correct position was May 13, 2001. The Operations review also determined the cause of the event. On May 13, 2001, IV-1428 was verified closed during the restoration from the fifth of six leak tests required by surveillance 3PT-R025A, "Leakage Test for IVSWS Station 1". On May 17, 2001, the sixth and final leak test for 3PT-R025A "Leakage Test For IVSWS Station 1" was performed. That leak test opened vent valve IV-1428. There were eight operators and two test group supervisors involved but there is no record that the final steps for system restoration were performed as required by 3PT-R025A. Interviews with several of the operators involved indicated the operators could not recall the details of the test they performed over a year ago. The procedure is written to perform six different tests in any sequence. Common set-up and restoration sections are provided for each test. Performance steps in these set-up and restoration sections allow for only one set of verification initials/signatures and operators are required to make copies of these steps for each test. Operations concluded that the process of adding duplicate pages to the procedure with hand written notes referencing the various sections created an error trap which could cause the operators to omit the final restoration steps.

| | DOCKET (2) | | LER NUMBER (6) | | | PAGE (3 |) |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | | |
| ndian Point 3 | 05000286 | 02 | - 002 - | 00 | 3 | OF | 4 |
| IARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional CAUSE OF EVENT | al copies of NRC Form 366 | A) (17) | | | | | |
| The cause of the mispositi perform all system restora R025A. | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIVE ACTIONS | | | | | | | |
| The following corrective a Corrective Action Program | | | | | er th | e Ente | rgy |
| The immediate corrective position (close) and response to the position (| ve action was to estore the IVSWS | place v to oper | alve IV-142 ability. | 8 in it: | s cor | rect | |
| Past mispositioning events that will correct this issues, individual error Mispositioning events OPS-APL-0016. A | cause. The cor ors and process/ vents in January new Operations | rective procedur and Mar Policy (| actions add e issues. ch 2001 res subsequentl | lress hu sulted in y added | man p n Act to | erform ion Pl | anc an |
| Administrative Pr checks, pre-job b was issued. The corrective action initiatives, have | oriefs, procedur Operations Poli ns, with the eff | al usage cy and t orts of | , place kee he Action F the Watch C | eping an Plan OPS Crews to | d coa -APL- supp | ching 0016 ort th | car |
| o A root cause and 2002. Corrective reinforcement of expectation for p | e actions are ai the requirement | med at t s for pr | he human pe ocedures in | erforman -hand, | ce is reinf | sue su orcing | lch |
| | | | | | | | |
| Corrective action was initiated at the 25 series identified at made based on test group at the set group at the | | | | | | | |
| the 25 series identified a | recommendations view of other va result of an ex | (CR-IP3- lve line | 2002-01978) sups was not | : requir | | | |

function of structures or systems that are needed to control the release of radioactive material. This event meets the reporting criteria because the vent valve on the IVSWS was found mispositioned, which rendered the IVSWS inoperable from May 17, 2001 until the valve was closed on June 1, 2003. The event is also reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), operation in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification (TS). TS 3.6.9 requires IVSWS to be operable.

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET (2) | L I | LER NUMBER (6 | 5) | Ĺ . | PAGE (| 3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------|
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | | |
| | 0500000 | | - | - | | | |
| Point 3 NTIVE (If more space is required, use addition | 05000286 | 02 | - 002 | - 00 | 4 | OF | |
| A review of Licensee Even to identify any LERs due mispositioning. No such | to a safety syst | em funct | | | | | |
| SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE | | | | | | | |
| This event had no signif | icant effect on t | he healt | h and safe | ty of th | e pub | olic. | |
| No event occurred that w | ould require the | IVSWS to | perform i | ts funct | ion. | | |
| | e whole body and | | | | | | • |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam | testing cordance operabil accordan tion doe | which nued with ity c ice wi s not guency | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |
| guidelines specified in conducted with the valve of the isolation valves Containment Leakage Rate containment isolation va Inservice Testing Progra impact on the large earl loss of the IVSWS system Level II IPE. | 10 CFR 100 based s closed and the is assured by lea Testing Program lves is demonstra m. Further, the y release frequen as a release pat | on Type . seal wat kage tes and the ted by t loss of cy or th h was no | A leakage er drained ting in ac continued esting in IVSWS func e core dam t required | testing Contine operabil accordan tion doe age freq to be m | which nued with ity c ace wi es not puency odele | was integ the f the th th have y. Th | ri e le e a |

••