Docket No. 50-397

August 22, 1994

Mr. J. V. Parrish (Mail Drop 1023) Assistant Managing Director, Operations Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Dear Mr. Parrish:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT FOR THE WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M88628)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 131 to the Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 for WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated January 6, 1994.

The amendment relocates the requirements related to seismic monitoring instrumentation from the TS to the final safety analysis report (FSAR) and plant procedures. Existing requirements will be maintained and controlled in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and TS 6.8.1.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> notice.

Sincerely, Original signed by: James W. Clifford, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:	DISTRIBUTION:	
1. Amendment No. 131 to NPF-21	Docket File	NRC & Local PDRs
2. Safety Evaluation	EAdensam	DFoster-Curseen
	DHagan, T4A43	GHill (2), T5C3
cc w/enclosures:	OPA, 2G5	OC/LFDCB, T9E10
See next page	JRoe	PDIV-2/RF
	TQuay	OGC, 15B18
	CGrimes, 11E22	ACRS (10), P-315
	Region IV	JClifford
	MShuaibi	KPerkins, RIV/WCFO
	GBagchi	
~	-	

OFC	LA/DRPW	I/P04-2	PM/PDIV-2	ECGB	OTSB 74-157		B/PDIV-2
NAME	DFoster-Curseen	MShuaibi	JClifford	\$53geni	CGrimes	ATEO M ZOKE	TQuay
DATE	7/13/94	7/21 /94	7/21/94		7/26/94	7/2794	8  22/94

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9409130216 PDR ADOCK DOCUMENT NAME: WNP88628.AMD

070020

NRC FILE GENTER COPY

Mr. J. V. Parrish (Mail Drop 1023) Assistant Managing Director, Operations Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Dear Mr. Parrish:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT FOR THE WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M88628)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 131 to the Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 for WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated January 6, 1994.

The amendment relocates the requirements related to seismic monitoring instrumentation from the TS to the final safety analysis report (FSAR) and plant procedures. Existing requirements will be maintained and controlled in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and TS 6.8.1.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly Federal Register notice.

> Sincerely, Original signed by: James W. Clifford, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No.131 to 2. Safety Evaluation cc w/enclosures: See next page	NPF-21 Docket File EAdensam DHagan, T4A43 OPA, 2G5 JRoe TQuay CGrimes, 11E22 Region IV MShuaibi GBagchi	NRC & Local PDRs DFoster-Curseen GHill (2), T5C3 OC/LFDCB, T9E10 PDIV-2/RF OGC, 15B18 ACRS (10), P-315 JClifford KPerkins, RIV/WCFO

OFC	LA/DRPW	I/PDA-2			OTS # 54-157		B/PDIV-2
	DFoster-Curseen	MShuaibi	JClifford	Bragent	CGrimes	NTEO M Zokies	TQuay
DATE	11:3/94		7/21/94		7/26/94	7/2794	8 /ze/94
JAIL	1112134		11- 11	1.2.	1.66		

FICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: WNP88628.AMD



### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON. D.C. 29555-0001

August 22, 1994

Docket No. 50-397

Mr. J. V. Parrish (Mail Drop 1023) Assistant Managing Director, Operations Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Dear Mr. Parrish:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT FOR THE WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M88628)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 131 to the Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 for WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated January 6, 1994.

The amendment relocates the requirements related to seismic monitoring instrumentation from the TS to the final safety analysis report (FSAR) and plant procedures. Existing requirements will be maintained and controlled in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and TS 6.8.1.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is **a**lso enclosed. A notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular biweekly <u>Federal</u> Register notice.

Sincerely,

lames (1) Phill.

James W. Clifford, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No.131 to NPF-21 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page Mr. J. V. Parrish Washington Public Power Supply System

. '

cc: Mr. J. H. Swailes WNP-2 Plant Manager Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

G. E. C. Doupe, Esq. (Mail Drop 396) Washington Public Power Supply System 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Mr. Frederick S. Adair, Chairman Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council P. O. Box 43172 Olympia, Washington 98504-3172

Mr. H. K. Kook (Mail Drop PE20) WNP-2 Licensing Manager Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Mr. Paul R. Bemis (Mail Drop PE20) Regulatory Programs Manager Washington Public Power Supply System P. O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968 WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2 (WNP-2)

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Harris Tower & Pavilion 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Chairman Benton County Board of Commissioners P. O. Box 69 Prosser, Washington 99350-0190

Mr. R. C. Barr U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 69 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

M. H. Philips, Jr., Esq. Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW. Washington, DC 20005-3502



UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

### WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

### DOCKET NO. 50-397

### NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2

## AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 131 License No. NPF-21

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by the Washington Public Power Supply System (licensee) dated January 6, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations:
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9409130225 940822 PDR ADOCK 05000397 P PDR (2) <u>Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 131 and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and to be implemented within 30 days of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Brettim

Theodore R. Quay, Director Project Directorate IV-2 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 22, 1994

## ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT

## AMENDMENT NO. 131 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-21

### DOCKET NO. 50-397

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

### REMOVE

### INSERT

vi	vi
xiii	xiii
xxii	xxii
3/4 3-61	3/4 3-61
3/4 3-62	
3/4 3-63	
B 3/4 3-5	B 3/4 3-5

<u>INDEX</u>

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		PAGE
<u>3/4.0 A</u>	PPLICABILITY	. 3/4 0-1
<u>3/4.1 R</u>	EACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS	
3/4.1.1	SHUTDOWN MARGIN	. 3/4 1-1
3/4.1.2	REACTIVITY ANOMALIES	. 3/4 1-2
3/4.1.3	CONTROL RODS	
	Control Rod Operability	. 3/4 1-3
	Control Rod Maximum Scram Insertion Times	•
	Four Control Rod Group Scram Insertion Times	•
	Control Rod Scram Accumulators	•
	Control Rod Drive Coupling Control Rod Position Indication	-
	Control Rod Drive Housing Support	•
3/4.1.4	CONTROL ROD PROGRAM CONTROLS	. 5/4 1-15
	Rod Worth Minimizer	. 3/4 1-16
	Rod Sequence Control System	. 3/4 1-17
	Rod Block Monitor	. 3/4 1-18
3/4.1.5	STANDBY LIQUID CONTROL SYSTEM	. 3/4 1-19
3/4.1.6	FEEDWATER TEMPERATURE	. 3/4 1-23
<u>3/4.2 P(</u>	OWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS	
3/4.2.1	AVERAGE PLANAR LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE	3/4 2-1
3/4 2.2	APRM SETPOINTS	3/4 2-2
3/4.2.3	MINIMUM CRITICAL POWER RATIO	3/4 2-3
3/4.2.4	LINEAR HEAT GENERATION RATE	3/4 2-4
3/4.2.5	(RESERVED FOR FFTR)	
3/4.2.6	POWER/FLOW INSTABILITY	3/4 2-5
3/4.2.7	STABILITY MONITORING - TWO LOOP OPERATION	3/4 2-7
3/4.2.8	STABILITY MONITORING - SINGLE LOOP OPERATION	3/4 2-9
WASHINGTO	NNUCLEAR - UNIT 2 V An	endment No

Amendment No. 94

## INDEX

# LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SECTION		PAGE
3/4.3	INSTRUMENTATION	
3/4.3.1	REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-1
3/4.3.2	ISOLATION ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-10
3/4.3.3	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-25
3/4.3.4	RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	
	ATWS Recirculation Pump Trip System Instrumentation	3/4 3-37
	End-of-Cycle Recirculation Pump Trip System Instrumentation	3/4 3-41
3/4.3.5	REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-47
3/4.3.6	CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-52
3/4.3.7	MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	
	Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation	3/4 3-58
	Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation	3/4 3-64
	Remote Shutdown Monitoring Instrumentation	3/4 3-67
	Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	3/4 3-70
	Source Range Monitors	3/4 3-76
	Traversing In-Core Probe System	3/4 3-77
	Loose-Part Detection System	3/4 3-78
	Explosive Gas Monitoring Instrumentation	3/4 3-79
3/4.3.8	TURBINE OVERSPEED PROTECTION SYSTEM	3/4 3-82
3/4.3.9	FEEDWATER SYSTEM/MAIN TURBINE TRIP SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	3/4 3-84

Ì

**INDEX** 

BASES		
SECTION		PAGE
INSTRUMENTATIO	<u>)N</u> (Continued)	
3/4.3.7	MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	
	Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation	B 3/4 3-4
	Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation	B 3/4 3-5
	Remote Shutdown Monitoring Instrumentation	B 3/4 3-5
	Accident Monitoring Instrumentation	B 3/4 3-5
	Source Range Monitors	B 3/4 3-5
	Traversing In-Core Probe System	B 3/4 3-5
	Loose-Part Detection System	B 3/4 3-6
	Explosive Gas Monitoring Instrumentation	B 3/4 3-6
3/4.3.8	TURBINE OVERSPEED PROTECTION SYSTEM	B 3/4 3-6
3/4.3.9	FEEDWATER SYSTEM/MAIN TURBINE TRIP SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	B 3/4 3-6
3/4.4 REACTO	R COOLANT SYSTEM	
3/4.4.1	RECIRCULATION SYSTEM	B 3/4 4-1
3/4.4.2	SAFETY/RELIEF VALVES	B 3/4 4-1
3/4.4.3	REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM LEAKAGE	
3/4.4.3	Leakage Detection Systems	B 3/4 4-la
	Operational Leakage	B 3/4 4-2
3/4.4.4	CHEMISTRY	B 3/4 4-2
3/4.4.5	SPECIFIC ACTIVITY	B 3/4 4-3
3/4.4.6	PRESSURE/TEMPERATURE LIMITS	B 3/4 4-4
3/4.4.7	MAIN STEAM LINE ISOLATION VALVES	B 3/4 4-5

INDEX

------

BASES		·····
SECTION		PAGE
3/4.5 EMERGE	NCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS	
3/4.5.1	and 3/4.5.2 ECCS - OPERATING and SHUTDOWN	B 3/4 5-1
3/4.5.3	SUPPRESSION CHAMBER	B 3/4 5-2
3/4.6 CONTAI	NMENT SYSTEMS	
3/4.6.1	PRIMARY CONTAINMENT	
	Primary Containment Integrity	B 3/4 6-1
	Primary Containment Leakage	B 3/4 6-1
	Primary Containment Air Locks	B 3/4 6-1
	MSIV Leakage Control System	B 3/4 6-1
	Primary Containment Structural Integrity	B 3/4 6-2
	Drywell and Suppression Chamber Internal Pressure	B 3/4 6-2
	Drywell Average Air Temperature	
	Drywell and Suppression Chamber Purge System	
3/4.6.2	DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEMS	B 3/4 6-3
3/4.6.3	PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES	B 3/4 6-4
3/4.6.4	VACUUM RELIEF	B 3/4 6-5
3/4.6.5	SECONDARY CONTAINMENT	<b>B</b> 3/4 6-5
3/4.6.6	PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERE CONTROL	B 3/4 6-5
3/4.7 PLANT	SYSTEMS	
3/4.7.1	SERVICE WATER SYSTEMS	B 3/4 7-1
3/4.7.2	CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY FILTRATION SYSTEM	B 3/4 7-1
3/4.7.3	REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM	B 3/4 7-1
3/4.7.4	SNUBBERS	B 3/4 7-2

xiv

<u>INDEX</u>

LIST OF TABLE	S	
TABLE	PA	<u>3E</u>
1.1	SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY NOTATION	-9
1.2	OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS	10
2.2.1-1	REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS 24	-4
B2.1.2-1	UNCERTAINTIES USED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE FUEL CLADDING SAFETY LIMIT	-3
3.2.3-1	MCPR OPERATING LIMITS FOR RATED CORE FLOW Delete	ed
3.3.1-1	REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION 3/4 3	-2
3.3.1-2	REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM RESPONSE TIMES	-6
4.3.1.1-1	REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	-7
3.3.2-1	ISOLATION ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	12
3.3.2-2	ISOLATION ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS 3/4 3-	16
3.3.2-3	ISOLATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION RESPONSE TIME 3/4 3-	19
4.3.2.1-1	ISOLATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	22
3.3.3-1	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	26
3.3.3-2	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	30
3.3.3-3	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM RESPONSE TIMES	33
4.3.3.1-1	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	34
3.3.4.1-1	ATWS RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION	-38
3.3.4.1-2	ATWS RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	39
4.3.4.1-1	ATWS RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	40

· · ·

<u>INDEX</u>

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAG	<u>;E</u>
3.3.4.2-1	END-OF-CYCLE RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION	13
3.3.4.2-2	END-OF-CYCLE RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SETPOINTS 3/4 3-4	4
3.3.4.2-3	END-OF-CYCLE RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SYSTEM RESPONSE TIMES	15
4.3.4.2.1-1	END-OF-CYCLE RECIRCULATION PUMP TRIP SYSTEM SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	16
3.3.5-1	REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION	8
3.3.5-2	REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	50
4.3.5.1-1	REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	51
3.3.6-1	CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION	53
3.3.6-2	CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION SETPOINTS	5
4.3.6-1	CONTROL ROD BLOCK INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	6
3.3.7.1-1	RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	9
4.3.7.1-1	RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	50
3.3.7.3-1	METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	5
4.3.7.3-1	METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	i6
3.3.7.4-1	REMOTE SHUTDOWN MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	i8
4.3.7.4-1	REMOTE SHUTDOWN MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	i9

.

۰.

-

## SEISMIC MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

## LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

Note: Pages 3/4 3-62 and 3/4 3-63 have been deleted.

## METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.7.3 The meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3.7.3-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With one or more meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels inoperable for more than 7 days, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 10 days outlining the cause of the malfunction and the plans for restoring the instrumentation to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 3.0.4 are not applicable.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.7.3 Each of the above required meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK and CHANNEL CALIBRATION operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3.7.3-1.

#### BASES

## MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION (Continued)

## 3/4.3.7.3 METEOROLOGICAL MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the meteorological monitoring instrumentation ensures that sufficient meteorological data are available for estimating potential radiation doses to the public as a result of routine or accidental release of radioactive materials to the atmosphere. This capability is required to evaluate the need for initiating protective measures to protect the health and safety of the public. This instrumentation is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.23, "Onsite Meteorological Programs," February, 1972.

## 3/4.3.7.4 REMOTE SHUTDOWN MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the remote shutdown monitoring instrumentation ensures that sufficient capability is available to permit shutdown and maintenance of HOT SHUTDOWN of the unit from locations outside of the control room. This capability is required in the event control room habitability is lost and is consistent with General Design Criterion 19 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50.

## 3/4.3.7.5 ACCIDENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the accident monitoring instrumentation ensures that sufficient information is available on selected plant parameters to monitor and assess important variables following an accident. This capability is consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.97, "Instrumentation for Light Water Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant Conditions During and Following an Accident," December 1975 and NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," November 1980.

## 3/4.3.7.6 SOURCE RANGE MONITORS

The source range monitors provide the operator with information of the status of the neutron level in the core at very low power levels during startup and shutdown. At these power levels, reactivity additions shall not be made without this flux level information available to the operator. When the intermediate range monitors are on scale, adequate information is available without the SRMs and they can be retracted.

## 3/4.3.7.7 TRAVERSING IN-CORE PROBE SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the traversing in-core probe system with the specified minimum complement of equipment ensures that the measurements obtained from use of this equipment accurately represent the spatial neutron flux distribution of the reactor core.

#### BASES

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION (Continued)

3/4.3.7.8 NOT USED

3/4.3.7.9 NOT USED

## 3/4.3.7.10 LOOSE-PART DETECTION SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the loose-part detection system ensures that sufficient capability is available to detect loose metallic parts in the primary system and avoid or mitigate damage to primary system components. The allowable out-of-service times and surveillance requirements are consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.133, "Loose-Part Detection Program for the Primary System of Light-Water-Cooled Reactors," May 1981.

3/4.3.7.11 NOT USED

### 3/4.3.7.12 EXPLOSIVE GAS MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

This instrumentation provides for monitoring the concentrations of potentially explosive gas mixtures in the WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM to ensure that the concentration of potentially explosive gas mixtures contained in the offgas holdup system is maintained below the flammability limits of hydrogen. Maintaining the concentration of hydrogen below its flammability limit in accordance with Specification 3/4 11.2.6 provides assurance that the releases of radioactive materials will be controlled in conformance with the requirements of General Design Criterion 60 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50.

## 3 4.3.8 TURBINE OVERSPEED PROTECTION SYSTEM

This specification is provided to ensure that the turbine overspeed protection system instrumentation and the turbine speed control valves are OPERABLE and will protect the turbine from excessive overspeed. Protection from turbine excessive overspeed is required since excessive overspeed of the turbine could generate potentially damaging missiles which could impact and damage safety-related components, equipment or structures.

## 3/4.3.9 FEEDWATER SYSTEM/MAIN TURBINE TRIP SYSTEM ACTUATION INSTRUMENTATION

The feedwater system/main turbine trip system actuation instrumentation is provided to initiate the feedwater system/main turbine trip system in the event of reactor vessel water level equal to or greater than the level 8 setpoint associated with a feedwater controller failure.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

## SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

### RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 131 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-21

## WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

## NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2

### DOCKET NO. 50-397

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated January 6, 1994, Washington Public Power Supply System submitted a request for changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) for Washington Nuclear Project No. 2 (WNP-2). The proposed changes would delete the requirements related to seismic monitoring instrumentation from the TS and relocate them to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and plant procedures. The requirements of these TS, however, will still be maintained and controlled pursuant to the requirements of TS 6.8.1, "Procedures and Programs," and 10 CFR 50.59, "Changes, tests, and experiments."

### 2.0 EVALUATION

Section 50.36 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations established the regulatory requirements related to the content of TS. The rule requires that TS include items in specific categories, including safety limits, limiting conditions for operation, and surveillance requirements; however, the rule does not specify the particular requirements to be included in a plant's TS. The NRC developed criteria, as described in the "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors" (58 FR 39132), to determine which of the design conditions and associated surveillances need to be located in the TS "to obviate the possibility of an abnormal situation or event giving rise to an immediate threat to the public health and safety." Briefly, those criteria are (1) detection of abnormal degradation of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, (2) boundary conditions for design-basis accidents and transients, (3) primary success paths to prevent or mitigate design-basis accidents and transients, and (4) functions determined to be important to risk or operating experience. The Commission's final policy statement acknowledged that its implementation may result in the relocation of existing technical specification requirements to licensee controlled documents and programs.

Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 100 requires that seismic monitoring instrumentation be provided to promptly determine the magnitude of a seismic event and evaluate the response of those features important to safety. This capability is required to allow for a comparison of the measured response to that used in

9409130230 940822 PDR ADUCK 05000397 P PDR PDR the design basis for the unit. Comparison of such data is needed to (1) determine whether the plant can continue to be operated safely and (2) permit such timely action as may be appropriate. However, these components are not factored into accident analyses at WNP-2 nor do they affect the margin of safety of the plant. Seismic instrumentation does not actuate any protective equipment or play any direct role in the mitigation of an accident. The capability of the plant to withstand a seismic event or other design-basis accident is determined by the initial design and construction of systems, structures, and components. The instrumentation is used to alert operators to the seismic event and evaluate the plant response. Therefore, requirements related to the seismic monitoring instrumentation do not satisfy any of the above final policy statement criteria and need not be included in the TS. In addition, the proposed amendment does not involve a change in the manner in which the plant will be operated, maintained, or tested. The requirements described in the affected TS will be maintained, and any subsequent changes to the plant procedures or the FSAR related to these instruments will be made in accordance with TS 6.8.1 and 10 CFR 50.59.

On this basis, the staff concludes that TS LCO 3.3.7.2, Surveillance Requirements (SRs) 4.3.7.2.1 and 4.3.7.2.2, Tables 3.3.7.2-1 and 4.3.7.2-1, and the Bases for TS 3/4.3.7.2, which are related to seismic monitoring instrumentation, do not need to be controlled by TS; changes to these requirements are adequately controlled by 10 CFR 50.59 and TS 6.8.1. Should the licensee's determination conclude that an unreviewed safety question is involved, due to either (1) an increase in the probability or consequences of accidents or malfunctions of equipment important to safety, (2) the creation of a possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously, or (3) a reduction in the margin of safety, as defined in the basis for any TS, NRC approval and a license amendment would be required prior to implementation of the change. NRC inspection and enforcement programs also enable the staff to monitor facility changes and licensee adherence to updated final safety analysis report commitments and to take any remedial action that may be appropriate.

The staff has concluded, therefore, that relocation of the requirements related to seismic monitoring instrumentation (TS LCO 3.3.7.2, SRs 4.3.7.2.1 and 4.3.7.2.2, Tables 3.3.7.2-1 and 4.3.7.2-1, and the Bases for TS 3/4.3.7.2) is acceptable because (1) their inclusion in technical specifications is not specifically required by 10 CFR 50.36 or other regulations, (2) these requirements are not required to avert an immediate threat to the public health and safety, and (3) changes that are deemed to involve an unreviewed safety question will require prior NRC approval in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59(c).

### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Washington State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (59 FR 14902). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: M. Shuaibi

Date: August 22, 1994