September 17, 2002

Mr. John L. Skolds, President and Chief Nuclear Officer Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3 -

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE: CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR LICENSED OPERATOR QUALIFICATIONS (TAC NOS. MB2695 AND

MB2696)

Dear Mr. Skolds:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 245 and 249 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated August 1, 2001 as supplemented on June 19 and September 9, 2002.

These amendments revise TS 5.3.1 to state that the licensed operators shall comply with the qualification requirements in 10 CFR Part 55, rather than the American National Standards Institute's (ANSI) standard ANSI N18.1-1971.

A copy of the safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Biweekly *Federal Register* Notice.

Sincerely,

/RA by SWall for/

John P. Boska, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 245 to DPR-44

2. Amendment No. 249 to DPR-56

3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

CC:

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Site Vice President Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Plant Manager Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Regulatory Assurance Manager Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station P.O. Box 399 Delta, PA 17314

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Roland Fletcher Department of Environment Radiological Health Program 2400 Broening Highway Baltimore, MD 21224

Correspondence Control Desk Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 1-N-1 Kennett Square, PA 19348 Rich Janati, Chief
Division of Nuclear Safety
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Department of Environmental Protection
Rachel Carson State Office Building
P.O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Board of Supervisors Peach Bottom Township 545 Broad Street Ext. Delta, PA 17314-9203

Mr. Richard McLean
Power Plant and Environmental
Review Division
Department of Natural Resources
B-3, Tawes State Office Building
Annapolis, MD 21401

Dr. Judith Johnsrud National Energy Committee Sierra Club 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16803

Manager-Financial Control & Co-Owner Affairs Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038-0236

Manager Licensing-Limerick and Peach Bottom Exelon Generation Company, LLC Nuclear Group Headquarters Correspondence Control P.O. Box 160 Kennett Square, PA 19348

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

CC:

Director - Licensing
Mid-Atlantic Regional Operating Group
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control
P.O. Box 160
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Vice President-Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Exelon Generation Company, LLC 4300 Winfield Road Warrenville, IL 60555

Senior Vice President Mid-Atlantic Regional Operating Group Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-N Kennett Square, PA 19348

Senior Vice President, Nuclear Services Exelon Generation Company, LLC 4300 Winfield Road Warrenville, IL 60555

Vice President, Mid-Atlantic Operations Support Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-N Kennett Square, PA 19348

Manager License Renewal Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348 Mr. John L. Skolds, President and Chief Nuclear Officer Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3 -

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE: CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR LICENSED OPERATOR QUALIFICATIONS (TAC NOS. MB2695 AND

MB2696)

Dear Mr. Skolds:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 245 and 249 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated August 1, 2001 as supplemented on June 19 and September 9, 2002.

These amendments revise TS 5.3.1 to state that the licensed operators shall comply with the qualification requirements in 10 CFR Part 55, rather than the American National Standards Institute's (ANSI) standard ANSI N18.1-1971.

A copy of the safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Biweekly *Federal Register* Notice.

Sincerely,

/RA by SWall for/

John P. Boska, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 245 to DPR-44

2. Amendment No. 249 to DPR-56

3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PDI-2 Reading JAndersen SRichards OGC RPulsifer MO'Brien JBoska RDennig ACRS

GHill (4) DTrimble (IEHB) BPlatchek, RGN-I RPelton

*Input received 7/12/02, no major changes made.

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML022000259

| OFFICE | PDI-2/PM | PDI-2/LA | IEHB* | OGC | PDI-2/SC(A) |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| NAME | SWall for JBoska | TLC for MO'Brien | DTrimble | AFernandez | JAndersen |
| DATE | 9/16/02 | 7/24/02 | 07/12/02 | 9/16/02 | 9/17/02 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

PSEG NUCLEAR LLC

DOCKET NO. 50-277

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 245 License No. DPR-44

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon Generation Company), and PSEG Nuclear LLC (the licensees), dated August 1, 2001, as supplemented on June 19 and September 9, 2002, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I.
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-44 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 245, are hereby incorporated in the license. Exelon Generation Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/

James W. Andersen, Acting Chief, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical

Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 17, 2002

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 245

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-44

DOCKET NO. 50-277

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove</u> <u>Insert</u> 5.0-5 5.0-5

EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

PSEG NUCLEAR LLC

DOCKET NO. 50-278

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 249 License No. DPR-56

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon Generation Company), and PSEG Nuclear LLC (the licensees), dated August 1, 2001, as supplemented on June 19 and September 9, 2002, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I.
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-56 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 249, are hereby incorporated in the license. Exelon Generation Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

/RA/

James W. Andersen, Acting Chief, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical

Specifications

Date of Issuance: September 17, 2002

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 249

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR 56

DOCKET NO. 50-278

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

 Remove
 Insert

 5.0-5
 5.0-5

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 245 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-44 AND AMENDMENT NO. 249 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-56

EXELON GENERATION COMPANY, LLC

PSEG NUCLEAR, LLC

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-277 AND 50-278

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 1, 2001, Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon, parent company of the licensees of the following plants) submitted an application for license amendments for Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2; Byron Station, Units 1 and 2; Clinton Power Station; Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3; LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2; Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3; Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1; and Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for approval. Exelon provided additional information by letters dated June 19 and September 9, 2002. Exelon requested approval of changes to the plant-specific technical specifications (TSs) to revise the requirements regarding facility staff qualifications and licensed operator and nonlicensed personnel training programs. The application stated that the changes would revise requirements that have been superseded based on licensed operator training programs being accredited by the Institute for Nuclear Power Operations (INPO), promulgation of the revised 10 CFR Part 55, "Operators' Licenses," which became effective on May 26, 1987, and adoption of a systems approach to training as required by 10 CFR 50.120, "Training and Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel." Exelon's June 19 and September 9, 2002, letters provided clarifying information within the scope of the Federal Register notice and did not change the NRC staff's proposed no significant hazard consideration determination dated October 31, 2001 (66 FR 55018).

While Exelon's application covered all its nuclear facilities listed above, this safety evaluation is specifically for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (PBAPS).

2.0 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

On March 20, 1985, the NRC issued the Commission Policy Statement on Training and Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel, which endorsed the training accreditation process and the National Academy for Nuclear Training (NANT). In Generic Letter 87-07, "Information Transmittal of Final Rulemaking for Revisions to Operator Licensing - 10 CFR Part 55 and Conforming Amendments," dated March 19, 1987, and in NUREG-1262,

"Answers to Questions at Public Meetings Regarding Implementation of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 55 on Operators' Licenses," published November 1987, the NRC staff indicated it would accept a facility's licensed operator training program if the facility certified in writing that the program was accredited and based on a systems approach to training (SAT). This certification would supersede the requirement of American National Standards Institute (ANSI) N18.1-1971, "Selection and Training of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel," and ANSI/ANS-3.1-1978, "Selection, Qualification and Training of Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants." Facility licensees were advised to submit a request to the NRC for an administrative change to their licensing basis documents to revise or delete, as appropriate, the requirements that had been superseded.

In 1992 the NRC published its proposed rule 10 CFR 50.120. The proposed rule stated that, if adopted, the rule would supersede the Policy Statement on Training and Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel and would not result in any change to accredited programs. The NRC concluded that accredited programs, implemented consistent with industry objectives and criteria, would be in compliance with this regulation. In April 1993 the NRC published its final rule on training and qualification of nuclear power plant personnel. The rule requires nuclear power plant licensees to establish, implement, and maintain SAT-based training programs for nine non-licensed positions. Accreditation of these nine training programs is an acceptable means of meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 50.120.

On January 18, 2001, the NRC published NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2001-01, "Eligibility of Operator License Applicants," to familiarize licensees with the NRC's current guidelines for the gualification and training of reactor operator and senior operator license applicants. RIS 2001-01 acknowledged that 10 CFR 55.31(a)(4) allows the NRC to accept an application for an operator's license if the facility licensee certifies that the applicant has successfully completed a Commission-approved training program that is based on a systems approach to training. In addition, RIS 2001-01 stated that: (1) a training program would be considered approved by the NRC when it receives or renews accreditation from the National Nuclear Accrediting Board (NNAB); (2) accreditation of operator training programs suggests that facilities are implementing the education and experience guidelines endorsed by the NNAB; (3) NANT guidelines for education and experience (those in effect in 1987 or those issued in January 2000) outline acceptable methods for implementing the Commission's regulations; and (4) the NRC staff encourages all facility licensees to review their requirements and commitments related to licensed operator and senior operator education and experience and to update their documentation (e.g., Final Safety Analysis Report, Technical Specifications, and training program descriptions) to "enhance consistency and minimize confusion."

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

The changes proposed by Exelon will revise, clarify, or delete, as appropriate, requirements regarding facility staff qualifications and licensed operator and non-licensed personnel training programs at the facilities named above in Section 1.0. The requested changes will update requirements that had been outdated based on licensed operator training programs being accredited by INPO, promulgation of the revised 10 CFR Part 55, and adoption of a systems approach to training as required by 10 CFR 50.120.

The licensed operator training programs and the training programs for the nine non-licensed positions required by 10 CFR 50.120 at the Exelon facilities are accredited by the NNAB and

are based on a systems approach to training as stated in the August 1, 2001, submittal.

Proposed TS Section 5.3, "Unit Staff Qualifications," describes the requirements for unit staff qualifications. In addition, the education and experience eligibility requirements for reactor operator and senior reactor operator license applicants will be described in applicable station training procedures. The revised wording of this section specifies that "the education and experience eligibility requirements of the operator license applicants, and changes thereto, shall be approved by the NRC and described in an application station training procedure." Changes to the procedures addressing issues other than education and experience eligibility requirements may be made consistent with the Exelon procedure revision process. Exelon stated that a note will be added to the applicable procedures to serve as a reminder for the procedure writer to ensure that the requirements imposed by this TS section are followed (i.e., content of the applicable sections/pages will not be changed without prior NRC approval).

The NRC staff concludes that the modified education and experience eligibility requirements in Section 5.3 of the TSs for PBAPS, and the procedural excerpts providing education and experience eligibility flowcharts as described in the submittal of June 19, 2002, are adequate because they conform with the reactor operator and senior reactor operator license eligibility criteria and standards acceptable to the NRC, and, per the wording in the TSs, affords the NRC final approval authority for changes to eligibility criteria contained within the applicable procedures. In order to assure that approval of future changes to the operator qualifications will be done by a license amendment, the staff clarified the TSs by adding a specific document reference (the licensee's letter of June 19, 2002) that contains the operator qualifications. The licensee's letter of September 9, 2002, acknowledged that this clarification was being added to the TSs. In addition, the changes will not affect the 10 CFR 50.36(c)(5) requirement to ensure the licensee maintains administrative controls that ensure the operation of the facility in a safe manner.

4.0 SUMMARY

The NRC staff concludes that the modified education and experience eligibility requirements in Section 5.3 of the TSs, and the procedural excerpts providing education and experience eligibility flowcharts submitted with the June 19, 2002, submittal are adequate because they conform with the reactor operator and senior reactor operator license eligibility criteria and standards acceptable to the NRC, and that with the revised TS wording and reference to the licensee's June 19, 2002, letter, it is clear that the NRC has final approval authority through the license amendment process for changes to eligibility criteria. Further, the proposed TS changes are consistent with 10 CFR Part 55 and 10 CFR 50.120 and do not adversely affect nuclear safety or plant operations.

5.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Pennsylvania State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental

impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. Pelton

Date: September 17, 2002