1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 NEMEROVSKI 14 EVICE 13 14 EVICE 13 14 EVICE 13 14 EVICE 14 EVICE 15 16	JAMES L. LOPES (No. 63678) JANET A. NEXON (No. 104747) KENNETH A. NEALE (No. 126904) HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY, FALK & RABKIN A Professional Corporation Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4065 Telephone: 415/434-1600 Facsimile: 415/217-5910 Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY UNITED STATES BAN NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN FRANCISC In re PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a California corporation, Debtor. Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640	IKRUPTCY T OF CAL CO DIVISIO Case No	FORNIA
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	DECLARATION OF HUDSON T. MARTIN GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR O COLLATERAL TO FACILITATE COM	PLIANCE	Add 06° MAI Center Add 06° MAI Center

r

•

I, Hudson T. Martin, declare as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

28

HOWARD

EROVSKI

ANAD FALK

FRAEKIN

1. I am the Director of the Insurance Department for PG&E Corporation, parent company of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, debtor and debtor in possession herein ("PG&E" or the "Debtor"), a position I have held since 1991. In such capacity, I am responsible for providing insurance and related risk-management services to PG&E, and managing the company's surety bond program. My department is responsible for filing applications to establish PG&E's self-insured status with local, state and federal agencies.

2. This Declaration is based on my personal knowledge of PG&E's operations and financial position. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated herein.

3. I make this declaration in support of PG&E's motion for Order Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral to Facilitate Compliance with Applicable Law (the "Motion").

4. From time to time, in the ordinary course of its business, PG&E is involved in construction projects for which PG&E must hire outside contractors for purposes of the construction. In its capital budget for the current fiscal year, PG&E has allocated substantial funds for capital expenditures, some of which are slated for construction projects for which PG&E will hire outside contractors. California has recently enacted a law which requires PG&E to provide security to the contractor for PG&E's payment obligations under certain construction projects. In order to provide such security, PG&E will need to use up to \$24 million of cash collateral for these purposes for projects commenced in 2002.

5. In addition, PG&E is required to provide certain financial assurances under applicable state and federal laws and regulations for environmental liability associated with closure remediation and/or post-closure monitoring and maintenance and third party compensation. While PG&E formerly was able to self-insure such liabilities, it is currently not able to meet the state's requirements for self-insurance, and thus is required to either provide escrow or trust accounts, letters of credit or bonds for such liabilities. PG&E could have up to \$30 million in such obligations over the next twelve months.

6. PG&E from time to time is required to provide financial assurances to DECLARATION OF HUDSON T. MARTIN ISO MOTION RE USE OF CASH COLLATERAL

-1-

various local, state and federal agencies in connection with the ordinary course of its business. Where previously PG&E provided such financial assurances in the form of performance bonds, it no longer has the ability to obtain such bonds on an unsecured basis.

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

HOWARD RICE FMFRONSKI

PRABIKIN

7. PG&E has determined that it will be required to post cash collateral for the required financial assurance arrangements—either to the bonding company, the letter of credit issuer, the trust account or escrow account, or the direct beneficiary of the financial assurance arrangement. PG&E intends to use cash escrow accounts or trust funds for these obligations whenever possible, as it believes that escrow accounts and trust funds represent the most cost-effective and readily available method for providing the necessary financial assurances.

8. Pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3110.5, an owner who contracts for a private work of improvement for construction, alteration, addition to or repair upon real property in an amount exceeding \$5,000,000 (if the owner's interest is a fee simple interest) or \$1,000,000 (if the owner's interest is less than a fee simple interest), must provide one of three specified forms of security for the project. The security must be in the form of (i) a payment bond from a California admitted surety meeting certain credit requirements; (ii) a letter of credit from a financial institution; or (iii) a cash payment to an escrow account maintained in California in which the contractor would have a first priority security interest. It is my understanding that the law requires collateral in the amount of 25% of the total amount of the contract if the contract is scheduled to be substantially completed within six months of commencement of the work, or 15% of the total amount of the contract in all other cases.

9. In the event that PG&E decides to use a payment bond or letter of credit to
meet its obligations under Civil Code Section 3110.5 with respect to a particular
construction contract, PG&E has determined that it will have to post cash collateral to secure
its obligations to the surety or financial institution issuing such instrument. The use of an
escrow account in which the contractor would have a security interest also would require
PG&E to use cash collateral, but would be less expensive than the bond or letter of credit

DECLARATION OF HUDSON T. MARTIN ISO MOTION RE USE OF CASH COLLATERAL

-2-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

= 15

RABIT

alternative arrangements.

10. Under state and federal regulations, certain financial responsibility requirements are imposed on entities such as PG&E for environmental liability associated with remediation, third party compensation, closure, and/or post-closure monitoring and maintenance. For example, PG&E is required to place \$4,425,997 in trust for the benefit of the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant and the Martin Service Center by August 1, 2002. The trust is designed to cover closure and post-closure cost estimates and third party compensation for bodily injury and property damage, at certain sites. Further, on or before August 1, 2002, PG&E must place \$1,010,000 in trust for the benefit of the State of California Water Resources Control Board for third party compensation at petroleum underground storage tank sites (pursuant to the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 280, Subpart H and the California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 18, Article 3). PG&E anticipates that a number of other, similar requirements may be imposed in the future.

11. In addition to the categories set forth above, PG&E anticipates that it will need to provide additional financial assurances in the nature of cash deposits for a variety of different purposes. Examples of such purposes include satisfying requirements of governmental agencies, counties and cities in connection with applications for special licenses and permits, satisfying requirements of the Department of Motor Vehicles in connection with the ownership and operation of PG&E's vehicle fleet, and posting appeal bonds. PG&E anticipates that such miscellaneous financial assurance requirements will not exceed \$10 million over the next twelve-month period.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10^{+1} day of July, 2002 at San Francisco, California.

WD 071002/1-1419905/120/1008330/v2

DECLARATION OF HUDSON T. MARTIN ISO MOTION RE USE OF CASH COLLATERAL -3-