Mr. Richard R. Grigg Chief Nuclear Officer Wisconsin Electric Power Company 231 West Michigan Street, Room P379 Milwaukee, WI 53201

SUBJECT:

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RE: CHANGES TO THE RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM REFERENCES TO 10 CFR PART 20

(TAC NOS. M97839 AND M97840)

Dear Mr. Grigg:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for amendment dated January 21, 1997 (TSCR 195). The proposed amendment would update all references to 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation," contained in Technical Specification Section 15.6.11, "Radiation Protection Program."

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Orig. signed by Linda L. Gundrum, Project Manager Project Directorate III-1 Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

**Enclosure: Environmental Assessment** 

cc w/encl: See next page

<u>Distribution</u>:

Docket File

OGC

PUBLIC

**ACRS** 

PD31 r/f

EAdensam (EGA1)

JGrobe, RIII

JMcCormick-Barger, RIII

NII FILL GENTLER COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\WPDOCS\PTBEACH\PTB97839.EA

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PD31:PM	Ш	PD31:LA	ĮΕ	C:PGEB	Ε	OGG Juliumgla	PD31:PD
NAME	LGundrum:	db,	CJamersø	₩.	DMarthews 1		Silter	JHannon <i>M</i>
DATE	7129197	Z	7/29/97	71	8/ 6/97		8/12/97	8/22/97

CIAL RECORD COPY

9709020227 970822 PDR ADDCK 05000266





# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 22, 1997

Mr. Richard R. Grigg Chief Nuclear Officer Wisconsin Electric Power Company 231 West Michigan Street, Room P379 Milwaukee, WI 53201

SUBJECT:

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RE: CHANGES TO THE RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM REFERENCES TO 10 CFR PART 20

(TAC NOS. M97839 AND M97840)

Dear Mr. Grigg:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for amendment dated January 21, 1997 (TSCR 195). The proposed amendment would update all references to 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation," contained in Technical Specification Section 15.6.11, "Radiation Protection Program."

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Linda L. Gundrum, Project Manager

Lenda L. Lundrum

Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

**Enclosure:** Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Richard R. Grigg Wisconsin Electric Power Company

CC:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Scott A. Patulski Vice President Point Beach Nuclear Plant Wisconsin Electric Power Company 6610 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Mr. Ken Duveneck Town Chairman Town of Two Creeks 13017 State Highway 42 Mishicot, Wisconsin 54228

Chairman
Public Service Commission
of Wisconsin
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Resident Inspector's Office U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 6612 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Ms. Sarah Jenkins
Electric Division
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Mike McMullen (5)
Environmental Review Coordinator
EPA Region 5
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Environmental Protection Agency
Chicago, IL 60604-3507

March 1997

# WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301 POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

## Identification of the Proposed Action:

By letter dated January 21, 1997, the licensee proposed to change Technical Specification (TS) 15.6.11, "Radiation Protection Program" by revising all references to 10 CFR Part 20, section 20.203 to section 20.1601, and by revising the footnote associated with this TS to indicate dose rates are those measured at no more than 30 centimeters from the source of radioactivity in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1601(a)(1).

### The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is needed for the licensee to be consistent with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I, in implementing the revised 10 CFR Part 20.

### **Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:**

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the TS and concludes that the administrative changes associated with updating the references to 10 CFR Part 20 will not increase the types or amounts of effluents that may be released offsite, nor increase individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, no changes are being made to the authorized power level, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other

environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

### Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2.

### Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 29, 1997, the staff consulted with the Wisconsin State official, Ms. Sarah Jenkins of the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 21, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at The Lester Public Library, 1001 Adams Street, Two Rivers, WI 54241.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of August 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Linda L. Gundrum, Project Manager

Linda L. Kundrum

Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation