

November 17, 1995

Mr. Robert E. Link, Vice President  
Nuclear Power Department  
Wisconsin Electric Power Company  
231 West Michigan Street, Room P379  
Milwaukee, WI 53201

SUBJECT: POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT RELATED TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN EXEMPTION FROM CERTAIN  
TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS OF APPENDIX E TO 10 CFR PART 50  
(TAC NOS. M93812 AND M93813)

Dear Mr. Link:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No  
Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated October 6,  
1995, as supplemented November 3, 1995. The proposed exemption would allow a  
one time exemption (for 1995) from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, § IV.F.2.b,  
which requires that "Each licensee at each site shall annually exercise the  
onsite emergency plan."

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for  
publication.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266  
and 50-301

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File  
PD3-3 r/f  
ACRS

PUBLIC  
Waxelson, RIII  
EAdensam (E-mail)

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PTBEACH\PTB93812.EA

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure, "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure  
"N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDIII-3	E	PM:PDIII-3	OGC	PD:PDIII-3
NAME	DFoster-Curseen		AHansen JK for		GMarcus GHM
DATE	11/6/95		11/7/95	11/13/95	11/17/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

140066

9602150115 951117  
PDR ADOCK 05000266  
PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

CP-1

DF011

November 3, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Joseph P. Mann Library, 1516 Sixteenth Street, Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of November 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

Gail H. Marcus, Director  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Distribution:

Docket File	PUBLIC
PD3-3 r/f	EAdensam (E-mail)
ACRS	WAxelsson, RIII

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PTBEACH\PTB93812.EA

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure  
"N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDIII-3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PM:PDIII-3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OGC	<input type="checkbox"/>	PD:PDIII-3	<input type="checkbox"/>
NAME	DFoster-Curseen		AHansen JK for		APT		GMarcus GHM	
DATE	11/6/95	df-c	11/7/95		11/13/95		11/17/95	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 17, 1995

Mr. Robert E. Link, Vice President  
Nuclear Power Department  
Wisconsin Electric Power Company  
231 West Michigan Street, Room P379  
Milwaukee, WI 53201

SUBJECT: POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT RELATED TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN EXEMPTION FROM CERTAIN  
TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS OF APPENDIX E TO 10 CFR PART 50  
(TAC NOS. M93812 AND M93813)

Dear Mr. Link:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated October 6, 1995, as supplemented November 3, 1995. The proposed exemption would allow a one time exemption (for 1995) from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, § IV.F.2.b, which requires that "Each licensee at each site shall annually exercise the onsite emergency plan."

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Allen G. Hansen", is positioned above the typed name.

Allen G. Hansen, Project Manager  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266  
and 50-301

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Robert E. Link, Vice President  
Wisconsin Electric Power Company

Point Beach Nuclear Plant  
Unit Nos. 1 and 2

cc:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Gregory J. Maxfield, Manager  
Point Beach Nuclear Plant  
Wisconsin Electric Power Company  
6610 Nuclear Road  
Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Town Chairman  
Town of Two Creeks  
Route 3  
Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Chairman  
Public Service Commission  
of Wisconsin  
P.O. Box 7854  
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Regional Administrator  
U.S. NRC, Region III  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4531

Resident Inspector's Office  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
6612 Nuclear Road  
Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Ms. Sarah Jenkins  
Electric Division  
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin  
P.O. Box 7854  
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Mr. Bill Franz, Region 5  
Environmental Review Coordinator  
77 West Jackson Boulevard  
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONWISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANTENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain technical requirements of Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin (Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27, respectively, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, the licensee).

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would grant an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2., for annually exercising the onsite Emergency Plan at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant in the year 1995.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated October 6, 1995, as supplemented November 3, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is needed to allow the licensee to begin loading dry cask storage containers in December 1995 in order to minimize the time that Point Beach will not have the capacity for a full-core offload. The licensee has stated that emergency response personnel who would be involved in the emergency exercise will be involved in oversight of the process for loading the dry cask storage containers. Therefore, the licensee anticipates the 1995 emergency exercise to have a negative impact on the oversight of the storage of spent fuel in the independent spent fuel storage installation at the Point Beach plant.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the one-time action is acceptable because the licensee has demonstrated excellent performance in the emergency preparedness area during the last two Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) periods and has performed an emergency drill in August 1995 involving major elements of the Point Beach Emergency Plan with no significant deficiencies.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has

no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Point Beach.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 3, 1995, the staff consulted with the Wisconsin State official, Ms. Sarah Jenkins, of the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT


Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 6, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated

November 3, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Joseph P. Mann Library, 1516 Sixteenth Street, Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of November 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Gail H. Marcus".

Gail H. Marcus, Director  
Project Directorate III-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation