D C R 016

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

Mr. C. W. Fay, Vice President Nuclear Power Department Wisconsin Electric Power Company 231 West Michigan Street, Room 308 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Dear Mr. Fay:

SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENT 1 TO NUREG-0737 REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF COMMISSION

ORDER DATED JULY 2, 1984

Re: Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

By letter dated May 21, 1985, you requested an extension of the completion date for submission of the Detailed Control Room Design Review (DCRDR) Summary Report including proposed implementation schedule (Item 2.b of the Commission's Order) from October 31, 1985 until March 31, 1986. The Commission's Order allows that an extension of time may be granted by the Director, Division of Licensing, for good cause shown.

The staff has transmitted its evaluation of your DCRDR Program Plan in its January 22, 1985 letter. Our letter identified 10 concerns which the staff felt, if resolved, would increase the benefits of the DCRDR and the likelihood that the NUREG-0737 Supplement 1 requirements would be met. A meeting was held April 3, 1985 to resolve these concerns and review the status and schedules for conducting the DCRDR at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant.

You state in your May 21 letter three primary reasons for the delay in conducting the DCRDR. First, the concerns identified in the staff's January 22, 1985 letter and discussed during the April 3, 1985 meeting required modification of the DCRDR program plan prior to implementation of the execution phase of the DCRDR. You state that your experience with other plants involved in the DCRDR efforts have indicated that an effective and comprehensive CRDR takes a minimum of 1 year to complete. Because resolution of staff concerns and modification of your program plan did not allow for the start of the execution phase until March 1985, you believe that a March 31, 1986 completion schedule is necessary for an effective and comprehensive review at Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2.

Second, you state that the DCRDR effort is linked closely with the Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) upgrade effort. The EOPs were used as the basis for the system function review and task analysis. You also state that delays in the EOP development program resulted in final drafts of the EOPs not being available for use in the system function review and task analysis until May 1985, about 6 months later than originally scheduled. You further state that the delays in the system function review and task analysis result in corresponding delays in the verification of required instrumentation and validation of control room functions which are now scheduled to be completed in November 1985.

Third, you state that delays in the planning phase of the DCRDR resulted from unanticipated outage related problems on Unit 2 during the fall 1984 refueling outage, the resolution of which detracted from the efforts of those involved in the DCRDR. Further, you state that delays in installation of new auxiliary safety instrumentation panels in the Point Beach common control room delayed completion of the photography work for the full scale mockup by about 5 months. These panels contain several new post-accident monitoring instruments in response to NUREG-0737.

Based on your submittals, we find that you have shown good cause for the requested delays, that the delays are in response to staff concerns or are otherwise intended to increase the effectiveness of your detailed control room design review, that the delays appear reasonable, and that you have made a good faith effort to comply with the schedule contained in the Commission's Order of July 2, 1984. Therefore, in accordance with the terms of the July 2, 1984 Commission Order, we conclude that there is adequate justification for modification of the Commission's Order and hereby grant the requested delay.

Sincerely,

/ Hugh L. Thompson, Jr., Director

Division of Licensing

Dh morah

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File TColburn BGrimes CMiles NRC & L PDRs PKreutzer JPartlow SECY OELD Gray File JZwolinski RDiggs ACRS 10 Rdg File ORAB

HThompson EJordan TBarnhart 8

ORB#3:DL ORB#3:DL ORB##1DL
PKreutzer TColburn;ef EButcher
10/10/85 \0/10/85

ORB#5:DL JZwolinski 1°/15/85 OELD AD: OR redcy BLin 10/15/85 Dry

D.DW HThompson 10 / (685 Mr. C. W. Fay Wisconsin Electric Power Company Point Beach Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2

cc: Mr. Bruce Churchill, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James J. Zach, Manager Point Beach Nuclear Plant Wisconsin Electric Power Company 6610 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Mr. Gordon Blaha Town Chairman Town of Two Creeks Route 3 Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Chairman
Public Service Commission
of Wisconsin
Hills Farms State Office Building
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Executive Director for Operations 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Resident Inspector's Office U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 6612 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241