

July 8, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Omid Tabatabai, Project Manager
License Renewal Section
License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: DOMINION'S SBO and Non EQ Cable AMA Letter

On June 19, 2002, Mike Henig of Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCo) transmitted an electronic mail (e-mail) to Omid Tabatabai and provided Dominion's revised SBO and Non-EQ Cables Aging Management Activity Letter, Serial No. 02-297. The e-mail is attached.

Attachment: As Stated

cc: PUBLIC

Docket Nos. 50-338, 50-339, 50-280, and 50-281

Package: ML021890549

From: <Michael_Henig@dom.com>
To: <OTY@nrc.gov>
Date: 6/19/02 10:51AM
Subject: SBO and Non EQ Cable AMA Letter

Omid,

Attached below for your information and review is a revised pdf file with regards to Dominion's response to SBO. Your prompt review is requested so that the formal letter can be transmitted to the Staff.

Any questions, please advise. Thank you in advance for your support in this matter.

Michael W. Henig

Phone: 804-273-2237
Fax: 804-273-3554

Email: Michael_Henig@dom.com

----- Forwarded by Michael Henig/NUC/VANCPower on 06/19/02 10:39 AM -----

David Lippard

To: Michael Henig/NUC/VANCPower@VANCPower, Tom

06/19/02 10:38 Snow/NUC/VANCPower@VANCPower

AM

cc:

Subject: SBO and Non EQ Cable AMA Letter

Mike,

Attached is the revised pdf file for the SBO letter. It incorporates comments from our phone call with Omid and the electrical reviewers on Monday June 17, 2002. THIS DRAFT IS DATED 6/18/02.

The major changes are summarized below:

1) An Attachment 2 has been added to include the supplemented attributes of the Non-EQ Cable Monitoring program. This effectively provided a revised RAI response to RAI 3.6.2-1 that was previously provided by letter dated 11/30/01.

2) Page 4 added words in ist bullet to re-affirm that control cables were in scope.

3) Page 9, 2nd Para. of "Conclusion " was revised to address concern (Jim L.) regarding the "If adverse conditions..." statement. SBO cables are clearly identified as being part of the Non-EQ Cable Monitoring AMA.

4) Page 9-10, 3rd Para. of "Conclusion..." was revised to address the testing issue.

NOTE that items 2, 3, and 4 above are for the Surry portion of the document. The North Anna portion has the same revisions.

(See attached file: 02-297 DRAFT.pdf)

CC: <Bill_Corbin@dom.com>, <Julius_Wroniewicz@dom.com>, <David_Roth@dom.com>, <David_Hostetler@dom.com>, <Paul_Aitken@dom.com>, <David_Lewis@shawpittman.com>, <Tom_Snow@dom.com>, <Diane_Aitken@dom.com>, <Marc_Hotchkiss@dom.com>, <Lillian_Cuoco@dom.com>