Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

Mr. Sol Burstein
Executive Vice President
Wisconsin Electric Power Company
231 West Michigan Street
Milwaukee. Wisconsin 53201

Dear Mr. Burstein:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 1/2 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-24 and Amendment No. 1/7 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27 for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated July 10, 1979.

These amendments modify the qualification requirements for the plant site radiation protection manager.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #I Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 42 to DPR-24
- 2. Amendment No. 47 to DPR-27
- 3. Safety Evaluation
- 4. Notice of Issuance

cc: w/enclosures See next page

8004300276 60

7					
OFFICE			 	[
0		,			
SURNAME			 		
. DATE	 	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 		
		<u> </u>	 l	1	

Distribution
Docket Files 50-266 I&E (5)
and 50-301

B. Jones (8)
B. Scharf (10) NRC PDR (2) Local PDR D. Brinkman NRR Rdg ORB1 Rdg B. Harless C. Miles D. Eisenhut R. Diggs B. Grimes H. Denton W. Gammill ACRS (16) T. J. Carter C. Parrish **TERA** C. Trammell NSIC

Attorney, OELD

R. Vollmer

TAC # 7726 and 8645

OFFICE	DOR: ORB1	DOR:ORB1	DOR:AD:ORP	OELD	DOR:ORB1	
		b. CSParrish			ASchwencer	
•		11//79			11/ /79	



March 19, 1980

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

Mr. Sol Burstein
Executive Vice President
Wisconsin Electric Power Company
231 West Michigan Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Dear Mr. Burstein:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-24 and Amendment No. 47 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27 for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application transmitted by letter dated July 10, 1979.

These amendments modify the qualification requirements for the plant site radiation protection manager.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Fnclosures:

1. Amendment No. 42 to DPR-24

2. Amendment No. 47 to DPR-27

3. Safety Evaluation

4. Notice of Issuance

cc: w/enclosures See next page - 2 -

cc: Mr. Bruce Churchill, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Document Department
University of Wisconsin
Stevens Point Library
Stevens Point, Wisconsin 54481

Mr. Glenn A. Reed, Manager Nuclear Operations Wisconsin Electric Power Company Point Beach Nuclear Plant 6610 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Walter L. Myer Town Chairman Town of Two Creeks Route 3 Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241

Chairman
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
Hill Farms State Office Building
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

Ms. Kathleen M. Falk General Counsel Wisconsin's Environmental Decade 114 E. Mifflin Street Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Director, Technical Assessment Division Office of Radiation Programs (AW-459) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Crystal Mall #2 Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Federal Activities Branch Region V Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 230 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-266

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 42 License No. DPR-24

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee) dated July 10, 1979, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission:
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-24 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (B) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 42, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: March 19, 1980



WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-301

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 47 License No. DPR-27

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee) dated July 10, 1979, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-27 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (B) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 47, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A. Schwencer, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: March 19, 1980

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-24 AMENDMENT NO. 47 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-27 DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301

Revise Appendix A as follows:

Remove Page

15.6.3/4/5-1

Insert Pages

15.6.3-1

15.6.4/5-1

15.6.3 Facility Staff Qualifications

- 15.6.3.1 Each member of the facility staff shall meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 for comparable positions.
- 15.6.3.2 Except as provided in 15.6.3.3, either the Radiochemical Engineer or the Health Physicist shall meet the following requirements:
 - a. The individual shall have a bachelor's degree or the equivalent in a science or engineering subject, including some formal training in radiation protection. For purposes of this paragraph, "equivalent" is as follows:
 - Four years of formal schooling in science or engineering;
 or
 - (2) Four years of applied radiation protection experience at a nuclear facility; or
 - (3) Four years of operational or technical experience or training in nuclear power; or
 - (4) Any combination of the above totalling four years.
 - b. Except as provided in d., below, the individual shall have at least five years of professional experience in applied radiation protection. A master's degree in a related field is equivalent to one year of experience and a doctor's degree in a related field is equivalent to two years of experience.
 - c. Except as provided in d., below, at least three of the five years of experience shall be in applied radiation protection work in a nuclear facility dealing with radiological problems similar to those encountered in nuclear power plants.
 - d. If the individual has a bachelor's degree specifically in health physics, radiological health, or radiation protection, at least three years of professional experience is required; if the individual has a master's or a doctor's degree specifically in health physics, radiological health, or radiation protection, at least two years of professional experience is required. This experience shall be in applied radiation protection in a nuclear facility dealing with radiological problems similar to those encountered in nuclear power plants.
- In the event the position of Radiochemical Engineer or Health Physicist is vacated and neither the remaining individual nor the proposed replacement meets the qualifications of 15.6.3.2, but one of these individuals is determined to be otherwise well qualified, then concurrence of NRC shall be sought in approving the qualification of that individual.

15.6.4 Training

15.6.4.1 A retraining and replacement training program for the facility staff shall be maintained under the direction of the Training Supervisor and shall meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Section 5.5 of ANSI N18.1-1971 and Appendix "A" of 10 CFR Part 55.

15.6.4.2 A training program for the Fire Brigade shall be maintained under the direction of the Fire Protection Supervisor and shall meet or exceed the requirements of Section 27 of the NFPA Code-1976, except that the meeting frequency may be quarterly.

15.6.5 Review and Audit

15.6.5.1 Duty and Call Superintendents

- a. To assist and counsel the Shift Supervisor in case of Significant Operating Events, a Duty and Call Superintendent Group has been established. The Duty and Call Superintendent Group shall consist of any qualified person designated by the Manager Nuclear Power Division.
- b. In the event of a reportable occurrence, the Shift Supervisor shall communicate with at least one Duty and Call Superintendent before taking other than the immediate on-the-spot action required. One Duty and Call Superintendent will be assigned to be "on call" at all times. The Duty and Call Superintendent provides continuously available counsel, call out backups, and review to the Shift Supervisor.

15.6.5.2 Manager's Supervisory Staff

FUNCTION

15.6.5.2.1 The Manager's Supervisory Staff (MSS) shall function to advise the Manager - Nuclear Power Division on all matters related to nuclear safety.



SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 42 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-24

AND AMENDMENT NO. 47 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-27

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301

Introduction

By letter dated July 10, 1979, Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee) requested a change to the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Technical Specifications. This change would upgrade the qualifications of the Site Health Physicist (Radiation Protection Manager).

Evaluation

The proposed change in Technical Specifications 15.6.3.2 and 15.6.3.3 delineates the minimum requirements for the position of the Site Health Physicist. Sections 15.6.3.2.(a), (b) and (c) conform to the regulatory position of Regulatory Guide 1.8 and are therefore acceptable. Section 15.6.3.2.(d), however, proposes that a candidate for the site Radiation Protection Manager (RPM) having a bachelor's degree in health physics (HP), radiological health (RH) or radiation protection (RP) need only have two years of professional experience in applied radiation protection in a nuclear facility dealing with radiological problems similar to those encountered in nuclear power plants in lieu of the five years recommended by Regulatory Guide 1.8. With an advanced degree (e.g., Masters or Ph.D) in HP, RH or RP, the candidate need only have I year of this professional experience. The staff did not agree with the licensee's position and proposed that a candidate having a BS in HP, RH or RP should have a minimum of three years of applicable professional experience, while a candidate with a Masters or Ph.D in these subject areas should have a minimum of two years of applicable experience. With this education and experience, the staff felt that the intent of Regulatory Guide 1.8 would be more closely followed since a professional degree in health physics provides technical experience in solving problems dealing with radiation dosimetry, shielding, biological effects, instrumentation theory, etc. The licensee agreed with the staff's position, and the Technical Specifications have been revised accordingly.

Additionally, Section 15.6.3.3 of the Technical Specifications would provide for the appointment of an RPM in the event that an individual does not meet the qualifications of Section 15.6.3.2 but is otherwise well qualified (e.g., a non-degreed health physicist certified in reactor health physics by the American Board of Health Physics). In this case, NRC staff concurrence in approval of the individual would be required. This Technical Specification is therefore acceptable to the staff since we recognize that it is difficult to provide specifications that include very exceptional people who may not meet the exact recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.8 but are otherwise well qualified and therefore would meet the intent of that Guide.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that the amendments do not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendments involve an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to $10~\rm CFR~\S51.5(d)(4)$, that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendments do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: March 19, 1980

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 42 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-24, and Amendment No. 47 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27 issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (the facilities) located in the Town of Two Creeks, Manitowac County, Wisconsin. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendments modify the qualification requirements for the plant site radiation protection manager.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR $\S51.5(d)(4)$ an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated July 10, 1979, (2) Amendment Nos. 42 and 47 to License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Document Department, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point Library, Stevens Point, Wisconsin 54451. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of March, 1980.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

hevellar

A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1

Division of Operating Reactors